




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 7 : C12N 15/13, 15/40, 15/55, 15/62, 15/82, 15/31, 5/10, C07K 19/00, A01H 5/00		A2	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/23593
(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP99/07844		(43) International Publication Date: 27 April 2000 (27.04.00)	
(22) International Filing Date: 15 October 1999 (15.10.99)		(74) Agent: VOSSIUS & PARTNER; Siebertstrasse 4, D-81675 München (DE).	
(30) Priority Data: 98119630.6 16 October 1998 (16.10.98) EP 666/BOM/98 16 October 1998 (16.10.98) IN		(81) Designated States: BR, CA, IN, MX, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(71) Applicant: FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER ANGEWANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V. [DE/DE]; Leonrodstrasse 54, D-80636 München (DE).		Published <i>Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.</i>	
(72) Inventors: FISCHER, Rainer; Senserbachweg 218, D-52074 Aachen (DE). SCHILLBERG, Stefan; Breitenberg 24, D-52072 Aachen (DE). NÄHRING, Jörg; Kullenhofwinkel 38A, D-52074 Aachen (DE). SACK, Markus; Brabantner Weg 17, D-52134 Aachen (DE). MONECKE, Michael; Roermonderstrasse 42, D-52072 Aachen (DE). LIAO, Yu-Cal; Vaalsstrasse 48, D-52064 Aachen (DE). SPIEGEL, Holger; Höhenweg 78, D-52072 Aachen (DE). ZIMMERMAN, Sabine; Rochusstrasse 48, D-52062 Aachen (DE). EMANS, Neit; Val de la Berwinne 13, B-4890 Thimister-Clermont (BE). HOLZEM, Achim; Höhenweg 61, D-52072 Aachen (DE).			

(54) Title: MOLECULAR PATHOGENICIDE MEDIATED PLANT DISEASE RESISTANCE

(57) Abstract

The invention provides gene constructs comprising a nucleotide sequence(s) encoding an antibody, antibodies, or part(s) thereof, that specifically bind to structural or non structural proteins of a pathogen(s). The invention also provides a nucleotide sequence(s) encoding a peptide, or a protein(s), or enzymes that are detrimental or toxic to the said pathogen(s). The invention provides the means and methods for the *in vivo* assembly of two or more proteins, encoded by separate nucleotide sequences, into a protein complex. These antibodies, toxins or complexes may be expressed and targeted to cellular membranes or plant cell compartments in different orientations and also can be cleaved *in vivo* by different proteases to become active. These agents are named "molecular pathogenicides". The means and methods are provided for immobilizing a recombinant protein capable of binding a pathogen, by recombinant DNA techniques. The means and methods are also provided for expressing molecular pathogenicides in membranes of monocotyledonous or dicotyledonous plants.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

Molecular Pathogenicide Mediated Plant Disease Resistance

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to gene constructs suitable for expressing agents to protect a plant against pathogens and the suitable proteins for such plant protection. These agents are named "molecular pathogenicides". This invention is related to the genetic engineering of plants and to means and methods for conferring pathogen resistance on a plant using a gene or genes encoding: a pathogen specific antibody and a pathogen specific antibody including a toxic activity which blocks stages of the pathogen life cycle, pathogen replication or pathogen movement within a plant or pathogen transmission from plant to plant. The means and methods are given for soluble expression of recombinant antibodies, antibody fusion proteins and antibody protein complexes in different plant cell compartments or the immobilisation of recombinant antibodies, antibody fusion proteins and antibody protein complexes in cellular membranes in different orientations and the display of recombinant proteins on the plant cell membrane. This invention also describes novel methods and protein binding partners for assembling protein complexes from individual polypeptide chains during expression of these proteins in vivo. Also given are the methods for activation of the molecular pathogenicides by in vivo proteolytic cleavage.

Several documents are cited throughout the text of this specification. Each of the documents cited herein (including any manufacturers specifications, instructions, etc.) are hereby incorporated by reference; however, there is no admission that any document cited is indeed prior art of the present invention.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Plant disease constitutes a major and ongoing threat to human food stocks and animal feed. Most crop plants are regularly exposed to one or more pathogen(s) that

can cause incredible damage resulting in substantial economical losses every year. Attack by pathogens, such as viruses, bacteria, fungi, nematodes and insects and is a severe economic problem, which impacts all economically important crops, for example rice, soybean, sweet potato, wheat, potato, grape, maize and ornamental plants. Current protective measures rely heavily on chemical control measures for pathogen vectors, which have undesirable environmental consequences.

A more effective approach to protecting plants from pathogen attack is to create plants that are endogenously resistant to pathogens. However, plant breeders have limited sources of resistance genes against plant diseases. This can now be achieved using genetic engineering techniques, by providing the plant with genetic information required for affecting the pathogens and for being resistant to the disease caused by the pathogen. For example, in the case of a viral pathogen, the host plant is resistant if it has the ability to inhibit or retard the replication of a virus, the symptoms of viral infection or the life cycle of the virus, including its transmission. "Resistant" is the opposite of "susceptible" and may be divided into three levels:

- 1) Full,
- 2) Medium,
- 3) Partial resistance,

A plant may be considered fully resistant when it shows no symptoms on infection and there is no evidence of pathogen replication or reproduction. The host plant may be resistant to the establishment of infection, pathogen reproduction and/or pathogen movement and transmission.

In recent years, the advances in plant molecular virology have enhanced the understanding of pathogen genome organisation and gene function. Moreover, genetic engineering of plants for virus resistance has recently provided new strategies for control of viral disease (Baulcombe, 1994), (Gadani et al., 1990), (Wilson, 1993). The following genes were expressed in transgenic plants in order to confer resistance: viral coat proteins, non-structural proteins of viral genomes, viral anti-sense transcripts, viral satellite RNAs, ribozymes and interferon genes (Baulcombe, 1994), (Gadani et al., 1990), (Wilson, 1993), (Harrison et al., 1987), (Namba et al., 1991), (Anderson et al., 1992). Although most of these approaches have been effective for attenuating infections, resistance was not complete and confined to a small spectrum of viral pathogens (Falk and Bruening, 1994), (Wilson, 1993) and bears significant risks (Palukaitis and Roossinck, 1996).

The major disadvantages of these methods are:

- 1) Host range is limited.
- 2) Pathogen range is limited.
- 3) Resistance is partial and though symptoms are delayed infection still results in the disease.
- 4) Resistance could be broken in case of coat protein mediated resistance and ribozyme mediated resistance.
- 5) Expression of viral proteins can lead to enhanced pathogen activity. For example, in the case of viral coat protein mediated resistance, cross encapsidation of mild non-pathogenic strains of virus by the expressed coat protein can occur which then leads to development of a more severe disease.

An alternative way to protect plants against pathogen infection is the generation and expression of recombinant antibodies (rAbs), which are often referred to as "**Plantibodies**". Pathogen-specific recombinant antibodies targeted to different compartments of plant cells or different plant organs overcome many of the problems mentioned before and confer a broader spectrum of resistance to disease (Baulcombe, 1994). To achieve this, recombinant antibodies (Plückthun, 1991), (Winter and Milstein, 1991) against the target proteins have to be generated by cloning the corresponding antibody heavy and light chain genes from hybridoma cells, synthetic, semi-synthetic and immunocompetent phage display or ribosome display libraries; or by the generation of fully synthetic designer antibodies. This is followed by subsequent modification and rAb expression in different compartments of heterologous hosts such as bacteria, yeast, algae, baculovirus infected insect cells, mammalian cells and plants. For example, antibodies and antibody-fusion proteins binding to conserved functional domains of viral coat proteins, movement proteins, replicases or transmission factors can be used to inactivate such targets inside or outside the plant cell through immunomodulation. The feasibility of expressing recombinant antibodies (Plückthun, 1991), (Winter and Milstein, 1991) for the generation of resistance has been shown recently for both animal (Chen et al., 1994), (Duan et al., 1994), (Marasco et al., 1993) and plant viruses (Tavladoraki et al., 1993), (Voss et al., 1995), (Zimmermann et al., 1998). Single chain antibody fragments derived from monoclonal antibodies (scFvs) (Bird et al., 1988) directed against *Rev* (Duan et al., 1994) and gp120 (Chen et al., 1994) (Marasco et al., 1993)

of HIV, inhibited HIV-replication, virion assembly and syncytia formation when expressed intracellularly, or within the ER of human cells.

Interestingly, intracellular expression of an scFv specific for the artichoke mottled crinkle virus coat protein in transgenic Tobacco caused a reduction of infection and a delay in symptom development (Tavladoraki et al., 1993). Targeting of TMV-specific full-size antibodies to the intercellular space of Tobacco plants inhibited viral infections up to 70% (Voss et al., 1995). In the latter case, plant produced antibodies showed the same specificity and affinity for TMV (Fischer et al., 1998) as the parental murine antibody. Cytosolic expression of an engineered scFv derived from this anti-TMV antibody yielded fully resistant Tobacco plants, even under systemic infection conditions (Zimmermann et al., 1998). These studies demonstrate the potential of heterologously expressed recombinant antibodies to combat pathogens via intra- or extra-cellular modulation of pathogen proteins.

Plant cells can synthesise large amounts of antibodies that are functionally indistinguishable from the source monoclonal. For example, full-size antibodies (Düring et al., 1990), (Hiatt et al., 1989), (Voss et al., 1995), Fab-fragments (De Neve et al., 1993), scFvs (Owen et al., 1992; Zimmermann et al., 1998), (Tavladoraki et al., 1993), scFv fusion proteins (Spiegel et al., Plant Science 149 (1999), 63-71), bispecific scFv (Fischer et al., 1999) and dAbs (Benvenuto et al., 1991) have been successfully expressed in Tobacco, Potato (Schouten et al., 1997) or *Arabidopsis*, reaching expression levels as high as 6.8% of the total protein (Fiedler et al., 1997).

Targeting of recombinant antibodies by exploiting known protein trafficking signal sequences now permits rAb expression in the cytoplasm (scFv fragments (Tavladoraki et al., 1993; Zimmermann et al., 1998)), the endoplasmic reticulum (Fiedler et al., 1997), chloroplasts (Düring et al., 1990) and the intercellular space (Benvenuto et al., 1991; De Neve et al., 1993; Voss et al., 1995; Zimmermann et al., 1998) (full-size, Fab fragments, scFvs and single domain Abs). These results demonstrate the flexibility of the plant system to express any recombinant antibody or recombinant antibody fragments in almost all plant compartments, using targeting sequences that also may be from plants or derived from other eukaryotes.

The advantage of targeted protein expression is that the rAbs can be expressed where the pathogen is most vulnerable and where they will have the maximal protective effect. In patent application WO 96/09398 the use of antibody-fusion proteins as agents for controlling crop disease caused by pathogens is proposed.

The antibody delivers a toxin which kills the pathogen in transgenic plants or when expressed or applied as an external immunotoxin. WO 96/09398 is focussed on recombinant Ab-fusion proteins – single polypeptides that are either genetically, chemically or "biochemically" linked to form an immunotoxin. However, WO 96/09398 does not provide proof of principle for antibody mediated pathogen resistance and it was doubtful whether any of the hypothetical examples in WO 96/09398 would work to the extent that a protection of plants against pathogen attack can be obtained sufficient to comply with the needs of the breeders and farmers. Thus, there is still a need of means and methods for conferring antipathogenic/predator characteristics to transgenic plants.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The objective of this current patent application is to provide means and methods for protecting plants, in particular monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous agricultural crops and ornamental plants, against pathogens in a more effective and environmentally sensitive manner. Accordingly, the solution to the technical problem is achieved by providing the embodiments characterised in the claims.

As will be described hereinbelow, the above-mentioned objective is met according to the invention by any one of the following or any combination of the following inventions: i) the expression of pathogen specific recombinant antibodies and parts thereof, or ii) by fusing antibodies or parts thereof to toxins, proteins, or enzymes having activity against the pathogens or to the effective parts of these toxins or enzymes, and then expressing these fusion proteins, or iii) by assembling protein complexes composed of an antibody or fragment thereof *in vivo* using the novel binding proteins described here and or iv) including a specific protease sensitive sequence, that is cleaved (e.g. in the presence of the pathogen or in a specific plant cell compartment) to release and or activate the toxic activity of any of the recombinant proteins in i) to iii), and or v) targeting or integrating any of the recombinant proteins in i) to iv) to cell membranes in any orientation. These agents are also named "molecular pathogenicides". Thus, in one aspect the present invention relates to a fusion protein comprising

- (a) at least one binding domain specifically recognising an epitope of a plant pathogen; and
- (b) at least one further domain comprising a protein or peptide sequence which is toxic to the pathogen or detrimental to its replication, transmission or life cycle.

Said domains can be linked by covalent or non-covalent bonds. In a preferred embodiment of the fusion protein of the invention said binding domain comprises an antibody, a T-cell receptor, a pathogen specific receptor, a peptide specific for an epitope of a pathogen, or at least the binding site of any one of those.

In another aspect, the invention relates to membrane associated binding domains and further domains, respectively, as defined herein.

The fusion proteins composed of a pathogen specific antibody and toxin molecule can be made by fusing the respective parts by genetic or biochemical means. In addition, the chimeric protein can preferably be assembled *in vivo* from its parts by the plant or via expression in the organisms' endogenous protein machinery. In a particularly preferred and advantageous embodiment of the invention, these domains or parts thereof, fusion proteins or protein complexes can also be targeted to organelles and plant cell compartments or immobilised and membrane anchored by the addition of signal sequences and or membrane anchors. The recombinant molecular pathogenicide protein preferably contains specific protease cleavage sequences that are cleaved *in vivo*, by a plant and/or a pathogen specific protease(s), to release and or activate the toxic agent(s), or parts thereof, upon infection.

The fusion protein of the present invention can further comprise a carrier protein suitable for delivering the fusion protein or its domains into a host cell, preferably plant cell or a cellular compartment thereof. Furthermore, the fusion protein of the present invention can comprise a fluorophore such as green fluorescent protein fused to at least one of the above-described domains the fusion protein consists of. In a further aspect, the present invention relates to a pathogenicide comprising at least one binding and/or further domain as defined herein and a cellular targeting sequence and/or membrane localisation sequence and/or motif that leads to membrane anchoring. Preferably, the membrane localisation sequence is proteolytically sensitive.

Suitable membrane anchor sequences, enabling the integration of secretory recombinant antibody fusion proteins and parts thereof in the plasma membrane, include the human T cell receptor transmembrane domains (Gross and Eshhar, 1992), glyco-phosphatidyl inositol (GPI) anchors (Gerber et al., 1992), immunoglobulin superfamily membrane anchors, tetraspan family members (Tedder and Engel, 1994; Wright and Tomlinson, 1994) and any transmembrane sequence(s) from a known protein or synthesised sequences that have a similar function and can be included in the target protein by recombinant DNA technology. Fusion of a protein to these sequences would permit display of the recombinant protein on the luminal face of organelles of the secretory or endocytic pathway or the plant cell membrane. This has the advantage that the recombinant protein can be targeted to the intracellular space where many pathogens are most vulnerable.

In addition, the antibodies or parts thereof, or the recombinant antibody fusion proteins, or parts thereof, may be targeted to cell membranes where they could face the cytosolic side of the membrane. Suitable targeting sequences for cytoplasmic display, include the transmembrane domains of: KAR1, for nuclear membrane integration (Rose and Fink, 1987), middle-T antigen (Kim et al., 1997), for plasma membrane integration and cytochrome b5, for ER membrane integration (Kim et al., 1997). C-terminal linkages to fatty acids using consensus amino acid sequences leading to post translational prenylation, farnesylation, palmitoylation, myristoylation or ankyrin sequence motifs can also be used. This cytoplasmic display method has the significant advantage that the recombinant proteins can be localised at the site of intracellular pathogen replication, where they will have the most potent effect. In addition, membrane localisation of proteins stabilises the protein and reduces the effect of C-terminal protein degradation *in vivo*. Preferably, the pathogenicity of the invention comprises the fusion protein described herein.

In a particularly preferred embodiment, the present invention relates to the described pathogenicities wherein said binding domain(s) and/or said further domain(s) are capable of self assembly *in vivo*.

In a further embodiment, the present invention relates to a polynucleotide encoding a fusion protein or pathogenicity of the invention. Thus, the invention relates to one or

more gene constructs that encode a nucleotide sequence encoding an antibody or part thereof which is specific for a pathogen and in the case of fusion proteins, for a nucleotide sequence encoding a protein, enzyme or peptide which has detrimental effects on a pathogen and ideally is toxic to the pathogen. This invention includes antibodies specific for the pathogen and/or for host proteins utilised by the pathogen during its life cycle. This invention also relates to chimeric proteins that consist of an antibody, antibodies or parts thereof, which are specific for a pathogen, and a protein or peptide which has detrimental or ideally toxic effects on the pathogen and which has been constructed by biochemically linking the antibody or parts thereof to the toxin. Furthermore, the present invention relates to a vector comprising the polynucleotide of the invention. Said vector can comprise separate polynucleotides encoding at least one of said binding domain(s) and/or said further domain(s) of the above-described fusion protein. In addition, the present invention relates to a composition comprising vectors wherein each vector contains at least one polynucleotide encoding at least one binding domain and/or at least one further domain of the fusion protein or the pathogenicide of the invention; and wherein the expression of at least two of said polynucleotides results in the production of said fusion protein or said pathogenicide or assembly of the same in vivo.

In a preferred embodiment of the vector or the composition of the invention the polynucleotide is operatively linked to regulatory sequences allowing the expression of the fusion protein, pathogenicide or the domains thereof in a host cell. Said regulatory sequence can be a constitutive, chimeric, tissue specific or inducible promoter.

Furthermore, the present invention relates to a host cell comprising any one of the above-described polynucleotides, vectors or vectors of the compositions.

In another embodiment the present invention relates to a method for the production of a molecular pathogenicide comprising:

- (a) culturing the host cell of the invention under conditions suitable for the expression of the polynucleotide; and
- (b) recovering the fusion protein, pathogenicide or the domains thereof from the culture.

The present invention also relates to a molecular pathogenicide obtainable by the method of the invention or encodable by the polynucleotide of the invention.

This invention also relates to *in vivo* assembled protein complexes composed of one or more discrete polypeptide chains, encoded by separate nucleotide sequences on one or more constructs, that are assembled by the plant or expression organisms protein synthesis machinery into a protein complex.

Furthermore, the present invention relates to a method for the production of pathogen resistant transgenic plants, plant cells or plant tissue comprising the introduction of a polynucleotide or vector of the invention or the vectors of the composition of the invention into the genome of a plant, plant cell or plant tissue.

The present invention also relates to a transgenic plant cell which contains stably integrated into the genome a polynucleotide or vector of the invention or the vectors of the composition of the invention or obtainable according to the method of the invention.

In addition, the present invention relates to a transgenic plant or plant tissue comprising the above-described plant cells or obtainable by the method of the invention. Encompassed are also the transgenic plants wherein the fusion protein or pathogenicide are made functional against pathogens by *in vivo* assembly after co-transformation of at least two independent plant expression constructs or after sexual crossing to form hybrid offspring from two parental plants expressing one or more of the domains of the fusion protein or the pathogenicide, or any other form of genetic recombination. Preferably, the transgenic plant of the invention displays improved resistance against a pathogen that the wild type plant was susceptible to.

Furthermore, the present invention relates to harvestable parts and propagation material of a plant of the invention comprising plant cells of the invention.

In a still further embodiment, the present invention relates to a kit comprising any one of the described fusion proteins, pathogenicides, polynucleotides, compositions or molecular pathogenicides of the invention.

In another embodiment the present invention relates to the use of the described antibodies, fusion proteins, polynucleotides, vectors, compositions and molecular pathogenicides of the invention in agriculture for the protection of a plant against the action of a pathogen.

Some aspects of the present invention will be described herein below in more detail.

The term "binding domain" is used to denote polypeptide chain(s) which exhibit a strong monovalent, bivalent or polyvalent binding to a given epitope or epitopes. Preferably, said binding domain is an antibody or a binding site thereof. The antibodies may be generated by hybridoma technology, or ribosome display, or phage display, of natural naïve origin, or immunised origin, semi-synthetic or fully synthetic libraries. The term "antibody" is also used to denote designer antibodies. These antibody polypeptides are encoded by an immunoglobulin gene or immunoglobulin genes, or fragments thereof which specifically bind the given epitope or epitopes. The recognised immunoglobulin genes include the kappa and lambda light chain genes, the mu, delta, gamma, alpha and epsilon constant regions as well as all immunoglobulin variable regions from vertebrate, camelid, avian and pisces species. The term antibody, as used herein, includes in particular those antibodies synthesised or constructed *de novo* using recombinant DNA methodology, such as recombinant full-size antibodies, dimeric secretory IgA antibodies, multimeric IgM antibodies, F(ab')₂-fragments, Fab-fragments, Fv-fragments, single chain Fv-fragments (scFvs), bispecific scFvs, diabodies, single domain antibodies (dAb), minibodies and molecular recognition units (MRUs). Antibody sequences may be derived from any vertebrate, camelid, avian or pisces species using recombinant DNA technology, or also by using synthetic, semi-synthetic and naïve or immunocompetent phage and ribosome display libraries, gene shuffling libraries, and fully synthetic designer antibodies. In this invention, the antibodies are generated against specific pathogen or host plant epitopes that are involved in the pathogen replication, reproduction or life cycle.

The term "pathogen" is used to denote viral or virus like organisms, bacteria, mycoplasmas, fungi, insects or nematodes that affect the germination of seed, growth, development, reproduction, harvest, yield or utility of a plant.

The term "toxic" refers to an activity, which may be peptide or polypeptide encoded, that affects the reproduction or replication of a pathogen and/or any stages of its life cycle. In the case of viral pathogens, this includes entry into the plant, viral uncoating and disassembly, viral replication, viral assembly, cell to cell and long distance movement and the development, spread, or life cycle of the virus. Suitable toxic activities include RNase (Leland et al., 1998) and DNase, ribosome inactivating proteins (Barbieri et al., 1993), (Girbes et al., 1996), (Hartley et al., 1996) and or toxins with antimicrobial activity (Dempsey et al., 1998). Antibodies or recombinant proteins in themselves are also considered toxic when they affect the pathogen by binding to pathogen and or host proteins that are utilised by a pathogen during its replication, reproduction, life cycle or transmission. For example, a fusion protein composed of a virus specific antibody and a viral coat protein will interfere with virus reproduction by both binding to the virus and by disrupting viral assembly or disassembly in the host cell.

The term "molecular pathogenicide" refers to the antibodies and proteins described in this application, which have toxic effects on pathogen(s) either as single fusion proteins, when expressed in combination with other proteins, or when expressed as part of protein complexes that are assembled *in vivo*.

Monoclonal antibodies (Köhler and Milstein, 1975) can be raised against almost any epitope or molecular structure of a pathogen or host protein using several techniques. The most common method is the hybridoma technique starting with immunocompetent B lymphocytes from the spleen or thymus which are obtained after immunisation with native antigen, recombinant antigen, antigen fusion proteins, antigen domains or by *in vitro* or genetic immunisation. In addition, recent advances in molecular biology techniques now permit the use of cloned recombinant antibody fragments and antibodies derived from mice and other organisms than the mouse. Suitable recombinant antibody fragment(s) include the complete recombinant full-size antibodies, dimeric secretory IgA antibodies, multimeric IgM antibodies, the F(ab')₂ fragment, the Fab-fragment, the Fv-fragment, single chain antibody fragments

(scFvs), single binding domains (dAbs), a bivalent scFv (diabody) (Poljak, 1994), minibody (Carter and Merchant, 1997), bispecific scFv antibodies (Plückthun and Pack, 1997) where the antibody molecule recognises two different epitopes, (which may be from the pathogen or the host or both the pathogen and the host), triabodies and any other part of the antibody such as, molecular recognition units (MRUs), which show binding to the target epitopes. Genes encoding these suitable recombinant antibody fragment(s) may be derived from vertebrates, camelids, avian or pisces species.

Also, single chain antibodies that have affinities for pathogen or host structures and proteins can be identified using phage display libraries or ribosome display libraries, gene shuffled libraries, which can be constructed from synthetic, semi-synthetic or naïve and immunocompetent sources (Plückthun, 1991; Winter et al., 1994; Winter and Milstein, 1991). Phage display and suitable techniques can be used to specifically identify antibodies, or fragments thereof, with the desired binding properties. Using recombinant antibody technology it is possible to identify antibodies or fragments that are highly specific for a single pathogen, or, which recognise a consensus epitope conserved between several pathogens, where the antibodies will have a broad specificity against pathogens. The durability and effect of antibody mediated resistance can be improved by i) recombinant antibody affinity maturation, ii) CDR randomisation and selection, iii) stabilisation by framework optimisation of a selected pathogen specific antibody, iv) bi-specific antibody expression, v) the generation of antibody fusion proteins, or vi) the expression of antibodies in combinations with others that may potentiate their individual effects. For example, surface plasmon resonance as employed in the BIAcore system can be used to increase the efficiency of phage displayed antibodies selections, yielding a high increment of affinity from a single library of phage antibodies which bind to an epitope of a pathogen (Schier, Human Antibodies Hybridomas 7 (1996), 97-105; Malmberg, J. Immunol. Methods 183 (1995), 7-13). The recombinant antibodies can be identified and utilised according to methods that are familiar to anyone of ordinary skill in the art.

Antibodies

This invention describes antibodies or fragments thereof which recognise structures of the pathogen or host plant and directly or indirectly lead to resistance or partial

resistance when expressed alone or when expressed as chimeric fusion protein coupled to a toxic activity or when expressed and assembled *in vivo* with a toxic activity to form an *in vivo* assembled molecular pathogenicide protein complex.

Antibodies can be generated that recognise pathogen-specific epitopes or host plant-specific epitopes which have a role in the life cycle of a pathogen. Suitable antibodies for engineering viral resistance include, but are not limited to, those binding to conserved functional domains of viral coat proteins, movement proteins, or replicases and are an approach to obtain broad-spectrum resistance and reduce the environmental risks by inactivating the targets inside and/or outside the plant cell through immunomodulation. The feasibility of this approach has been recently shown for both animal (Chen et al., 1994), (Duan et al., 1994), (Marasco et al., 1993) and plant viral resistance (Tavladoraki et al., 1993), (Voss et al., 1995), (Zimmermann et al., 1998). These antibodies or fragments thereof may be inactivating in themselves or in combination with one or more other antibodies, or a toxin, or in combination with a carrier, transmembrane domain or signal peptide. Importantly, plant pathogen resistance can be enhanced by the co-expression of multiple antibodies.

In a particular preferred embodiment, the present invention relates to one of the above-described antibodies wherein the antibody or a derivative thereof is capable of binding to the functional domain of a viral movement and/or replicase protein. As could be surprisingly demonstrated in Examples 5 and 6, antibodies directed against a viral movement and replicase, respectively, can be used to engineer enhanced resistance against the virus the movement and replicase gene are derived from. The advantage of using the movement or replicase protein as a target for the antibody or a functional equivalent binding protein is that the functional domains within the movement protein and the replicase can be expected to be highly conserved among different viruses. Thus, the expression of an antibody directed against such a conserved epitope of, for example, the movement protein of TMV can also be expected to be effective against related viruses. Furthermore, due to the conservation of the functional domains in these two viral proteins, a further advantage is that the heterogeneity within one single virus group should not be as high as for, e.g., the coat protein. Thus, the finding of the present invention that the movement and replicase protein of a virus are accessible to antibody targeting within a plant cell, a novel concept for the generation of virus resistant plants became

feasible. It is therefore, that in one separate aspect the present invention relates to such antibodies for engineering virus resistance in plants. Viruses that can be the target of this approach are any that use movement proteins during infection as well as all viruses that encode a replicase gene. This can be expected to be effective because viral movement is a common feature of many viral infections (McLean et al., Trends Microbiol. 1, (1993), 105-9) and replicases are essential for viral pathogenesis. The importance of approaches targeting these proteins is underscored by the fact that expressing wild type or defective versions of movement or replicase proteins often results in resistance (Beachy, (1997), Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 8:215-220). Transgenic plants expressing defective mutant TMV movement protein are resistant to multiple viruses, presumably because of disruptions in intercellular viral movement (Cooper et al., (1995), Virology 206, 307-313) and replicase expression is an effective resistance strategy (Anderson et al., (1992), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:8759-8763; Baulcombe, (1994), Trends Microbiol. 2:60-63; Brederode et al., (1995), Virology 207:467-474; Nguyen et al., (1996), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93:12643-12467; Rubino and Russo, (1995), Virology 212:240-243).

A disadvantage of the current antibody mediated resistance approaches may be the choice of viral coat proteins as target. Plant viral coat proteins have a broad structural diversity and this can restrict the effect of the expressed antibodies to a small range of viruses and under selective stress, the viral coat protein sequence can alter without loss of function. Generation of recombinant antibodies directed against conserved functional domains of viral replicases and movement proteins may provide a better route for obtaining pathogen resistant plants with a broad-spectrum resistance against viruses. The antigen for producing any one of the above-described antibodies can be derived from naturally occurring movement or replicase proteins or fragments thereof or can be recombinantly produced, chemically synthesized and/or derivatized by methods well known to the person skilled in the art some of which are also further discussed herein. In view of the above, the invention also relates to polynucleotides encoding the above-described antibodies, vectors comprising the same and host cells transformed therewith. Suitable vectors, host cells and strategies for the expression of recombinant antibodies in plants are described herein and can be easily adapted from any one of the other embodiments described herein.

Toxins

Toxins include all proteins and peptides that have a detrimental or toxic effect on a pathogen during its life cycle and/or an effect on the pathogen during plant infection or pathogen replication, spread or transmission. This includes toxins that specifically kill an infected host cell and so limit the spread and development of a disease.

Suitable toxins include the following:

- toxic peptide(s) which are specific for the pathogen and mediates toxicity e.g. by membrane permeabilisation based on alteration of membrane potential (Ham et al., 1994; Sangster, 1997).
- blocking peptides which bind to structural or non structural pathogen proteins, or nucleic acid motifs, and inhibit pathogen function, growth, development or toxicity to the host (Hayakawa, 1991; Silburn et al., 1998).
- peptide mimics that bind to pathogen or host protein motifs and that modulate or block the pathogen's replication, e.g. peptide derivatives of proteinase inhibitors that play a physiological role as inhibitors of viral replication and can be used as antiviral agents (Bjorck et al., 1990), (Bjorck et al., 1989).
- binding domains, such as antibodies defined above specifically recognising an epitope of a plant pathogen.
- peptide mimics that bind to pathogen or host protein motifs and that modulate or block the pathogen's movement within the host plant. As an example, the BC peptide, which mimics the nuclear localisation signal region of HIV-1, reduces HIV-1 production by 75% when expressed in infected dividing cultured human T-cells (Friedler et al., 1998).
- toxins which kill the host cell where the pathogen is replicating and has penetrated the cytosol (Barbieri et al., 1993; Hartley et al., 1996; Madshus and Stenmark, 1992), for example (Ribosome inactivating proteins) RIPs which enter the cytosol and are among the most potent cytotoxins known. Ribosome-inactivation is achieved in all cases through the cleavage of an N-glycosidic bond between ribose and a specific adenine residue in the universally conserved sequence 5'-AGUACGA*GAGGA-3' (where A* indicates the target adenine) located 250-400nt from the 3' end of

23S/25S/28S rRNAs (Endo and Tsurugi, 1987), (Hartley et al., 1996). Ribosomes depurinated in this manner are unable to bind the EF-2/GTP complex and protein synthesis is blocked at the translocation step (Montanaro et al., 1975). A single RIP molecule is able to depurinate 1000-2000 mammalian cell ribosomes per min under physiological conditions (Eiklid et al., 1980; Endo and Tsurugi, 1988).

- proteins and enzymes such as RNase A that are potent cytotoxins (Leland et al., 1998). These cytotoxic ribonucleases degrade cellular RNA and cause cell death and can be used to kill infected cells and so prevent the proliferation and spread of a pathogen.

These are examples of proteins which will inhibit the replication of a pathogen at a RNA, DNA or protein level by either binding directly to a pathogen protein, replication intermediate or a host factor that is necessary for pathogen replication or movement or transmission and the pathogen life cycle. This strategy is particularly suitable for inactivating viral pathogens. In addition, toxins, such as RIPs or RNase A are described that are suitable for causing cell death on pathogen entry and so halting the spread of infection or proliferation of a pathogen.

In principle all antibodies, proteins, peptides and enzymes that have an activity, that may or may not be enzymatic, which are able to interfere with pathogen life cycles are suitable as part of the present constructs.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention said enzyme is chitinase or glucanase, glucose oxidase, superoxide dismutase, DNase or RNase or RIP or active fragments thereof either singly or in any combination(s).

Constructs

Gene constructs may comprise the following or any combination of the follow and may be encoded on one or more plasmids: Gene constructs may comprise a nucleotide sequence or nucleotide sequences encoding complete recombinant full-size antibodies, dimeric secretory IgA antibodies, multimeric IgM antibodies, the F(ab')₂ fragment, the Fab-fragment, the Fv-fragment, single chain antibody fragments (scFvs), single binding domains (dAbs), a bivalent scFv (diabody) (Poljak, 1994), minibody (Carter and Merchant, 1997), bispecific scFv antibodies (Plückthun and Pack, 1997; Fischer et al. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 262, 810-816 (1999)) where the antibody

molecule recognises two different epitopes that may come from the pathogen or the host or both, triabodies and any other part of the antibody (molecular recognition units (MRUs)) which shows binding to the target epitopes. Genes encoding these suitable recombinant antibody fragment(s) may be derived from vertebrates, camelids, avian or pisces species.

In the constructs according to the invention, the antibody is preferably fused to a complete sequence of a toxic agent or a part thereof which still has activity, or which is still functionally active. Also, the chimeric protein may be encoded by nucleotide sequences on one or more constructs and may be assembled *in vivo* by the plant or expression organisms protein assembly and translation machinery. The chimeric protein can also be obtained by biochemical assembly or *in vitro* or *in vivo* assembly of the chimeric immunotoxin subunits using the cells endogenous protein assembly machinery. The antibody, antibodies or fragments thereof are fused directly to the toxic agent or linked by a flexible spacer which does not interfere with the structure or function of the two proteins. Such flexible linkers include copies of the (Glycine-Glycine- Glycine- Glycine-Serine)_n linker, where n is 1 to 4 or more copies of the linker unit, the Genex 212 and 218 linker and the flexible linker peptide of *Trichoderma reesei* cellobiohydrolase I (CBHI) (Tumer et al., 1997), (Tang et al., 1996).

Constructs for cellular targeting and membrane localisation

In this invention, this targeting approach has the advantage that the molecular pathogenicide or antibody or fragment thereof can be expressed where the pathogen is most vulnerable to the action of the molecular pathogenicide and/or antibody or fragment thereof.

The desired cellular location of the molecular pathogenicide, or any components thereof, can be achieved by using the appropriate cellular targeting signals, these include but are not limited to signal peptides, targeting sequences, retention signals, membrane anchors, post translational modifications and/or membrane transmembrane domains that target the protein to the desired organelle, desired membrane (plasma membrane, ER, Golgi, nucleus, chloroplast or vacuole) or desired membrane orientation (cytoplasmic or luminal or plant cell membrane display) (Kim et al., 1997; Rose and Fink, 1987). Localisation sequences can be

targeting sequences which are described, for example in chapter 35 (protein targeting) of L. Stryer *Biochemistry* 4th edition, W.H. Freeman, 1995. Proteins synthesised without a functional signal peptide are not co-translationally inserted into the secretory pathway and remain in the cytosol. Proteins that carry a signal peptide that directs them to the secretory pathway, which may include a transmembrane sequence or membrane anchor, will be targeted for secretion by default or reside in their target membrane organelles. Targeting signals can direct proteins to the ER, retain them in the ER (LYSLYS motif and KDEL), TGN 38, or will target proteins to cell organelles such as the chloroplasts, vacuole, nucleus, nuclear membrane, peroxisomes and mitochondria. Examples for signal sequences and targeting peptides are described in (von Heijne, 1985) (Bennett and Osteryoung, 1991) (Florack et al., 1994). In addition, the targeting signals may be cryptic and encoded by a host plant cell or heterologous eukaryotic cell proteins or animal proteins where the localisation is known and where the protein can be cloned. By constructing a fusion protein with this protein, a molecular pathogenicide can be targeted to the localisation of the protein without the need for identification of the cryptic targeting signal. Suitable cryptic signals are those encoded by the resident Golgi enzymes.

The molecular pathogenicides described in this invention can be targeted to cellular membranes by incorporating heterologous sequences into the recombinant protein which permit its synthesis as a membrane protein or as a membrane associated protein or its post translational modification to associate it with cellular membranes. Suitable membrane anchor sequences, enabling the integration of recombinant antibody fusion proteins and parts thereof in the plasma membrane, include the human T cell receptor transmembrane domains (Gross and Eshhar, 1992), glycosphosphatidyl inositol (GPI) anchors (Gerber et al., 1992), immunoglobulin superfamily membrane anchors, tetraspan family members (Tedder and Engel, 1994; Wright and Tomlinson, 1994) and any transmembrane sequence(s) from a known protein or synthesised sequences that have a similar function and can be included in the target protein by recombinant DNA technology.

In addition, the antibodies or parts thereof, or the recombinant antibody fusion proteins, or parts thereof, may be targeted to cell membranes where they could face the cytosolic side of the membrane. Suitable targeting sequences for cytoplasmic display, include the transmembrane domains of: KAR1, for nuclear membrane integration (Rose and Fink, 1987), middle-T antigen (Kim et al., 1997), for plasma

membrane integration and cytochrome b5, for ER membrane integration (Kim et al., 1997). C-terminal linkages to fatty acids using consensus amino acid sequences leading to post translational prenylation, farnesylation, palmitoylation, myristoylation or ankyrin sequence motifs can also be used.

Constructs for antibody stabilisation by membrane display

Pathogen-specific recombinant antibodies can be fused to different transmembrane anchors to improve the expression levels and stability of these molecules inside the plant cell, by targeting the expressed recombinant protein to cell membranes in various orientations. This can be accomplished by adding:

- a) C-terminal localisation sequences to target and integrate recombinant cytosolic proteins with N-terminal leader peptides into the bilayer of cellular membranes, thus facing to the plant apoplast. Suitable membrane localisation sequences include the human T cell receptor β chain transmembrane domain and the human platelet derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) transmembrane domain, glyco-phosphatidyl inositol (GPI) anchors, immunoglobulin superfamily membrane anchors and any transmembrane sequence(s) from a known protein or synthesised sequences that have a similar function and can be included in the target protein by recombinant DNA technology.
- b) Amino terminal transmembrane proteins with either dual or tetrameric plasma membrane spanning domains to expose both the N- and C-termini of secretory recombinant proteins to the cytosol. This can be achieved by using suitable members of the tetraspan family including CD9, CD20, CD81 and the In-Hc-Ic dualspan typell-IV hybrid of the MHC invariant chain and H-2^d hybrid protein. This method enables the orientation of a secreted and membrane anchored antibody construct with its N- and C-terminus into the cytosol. Alternatively fusions to SNAP-25 can be used for the same orientation.
- c) C-terminal anchor sequences to target and integrate recombinant cytosolic proteins without N-terminal leader peptides into the bilayer of endomembranes posttranslationally. Suitable targeting sequences include transmembrane domains of KAR1 for nuclear membrane integration (Rose and Fink, 1987), middle-T antigen for plasma membrane integration (Kim et al., 1997) and cytochrome b5 for ER membrane integration (Kim et al., 1997).

- d) Addition of consensus motifs to the protein that permit C-terminal linkages to fatty acids by prenylation, farnesylation, palmitoylation, myristoylation in the cytosol which then lead to membrane integration.
- e) Addition of ankyrin sequence motifs (Lambert and Bennett, 1993; Peters and Lux, 1993).

Constructs for *in vivo* protein complex assembly

In addition, the antibody or fragment thereof can be encoded by a separate nucleotide sequence to that of the toxin and the antibody and toxin, either of which may encode membrane localisation or cellular targeting sequences, can be encoded by one or more vectors, e.g., plasmids. The constructs contain nucleotide sequences encoding complimentary binding proteins so that when the antibody, or fragment thereof, is genetically fused to one binding partner and the toxin, or fragment thereof, is genetically fused to the second binding partner, these two independent proteins will bear mutually recognising binding activities. When these two independent proteins are expressed in the same plant compartment, the binding domains will bind to form a molecular pathogenocide with two subunits and similar properties to an antibody-toxin fusion protein. Suitable binding domains/partners include:

- A single chain antibody and its corresponding epitope, where the single chain binds to the epitope and thereby enables binding between two independent proteins,
- leucine zippers (Carter et al., 1995),
- Antibody heavy and light chains, where one protein is fused to the heavy chain and assembly of heavy and light chain takes place in the ER,
- other homo- or hetero-binding domains.

Anyone of ordinary skill in the art will recognise that the component antibody, antibodies or fragments thereof or component pathogen binding peptides, as described, and component toxin or fragments thereof can each bear a binding partner. When expressed in the same compartment of a plant, or when encountering each other, these binding domains can then permit the assembly of a molecular pathogenocide with all the properties required from the components. Anyone skilled in the art will recognise that this can be achieved by other means than those described

above which are intended as examples to better illustrate the principle of *in vivo* assembly and are not intended to be taken as a limiting or a comprehensive description.

Carrier proteins

Anyone skilled in the art will also recognise that the various components of the present invention can be expressed in such a way that they are on the surface of a third carrier protein, suitable carriers include glutathione S-transferase (GST) encoded by *Schistosoma japonicum* (Smith and Johnson, 1988), TMV coat protein, maltose-binding protein and thioredoxin (LaVallie et al., 1993) or other proteins.

In addition, any of the components of the present invention may be tagged with a genetically encoded fluorophore, suitable fluorophores include, but are not limited to, the green fluorescent protein (GFP) from *Aequoria victoria*. This approach would be especially useful for monitoring the localisation of a pathogen or molecular pathogenicide during infection.

If the fusion protein or proteins are expressed in a heterologous organism for production of the protein or proteins, it may be necessary to modify the gene construct in order to match the codon preference of the organism and to remove mRNA motifs that reduce the stability of the transcript.

All of the components of the molecular pathogenicides described in this invention can be separately transformed into plant lines which can then be sexually crossed to give offspring that product the molecular pathogenicides in a functional form.

Anyone skilled in the art will recognise that the antibodies, peptides and toxins can be combined in several forms and encoded on different plasmids to produce proteins that have the desired effect on the pathogen. Anyone skilled in the art will also recognise that assembling the molecular pathogenicides from individually genetically encoded subunits can be achieved by several methods.

Target pathogens

Viruses, bacteria, mycoplasmas, fungi, nematodes, insects and other pathogens.

Vectors

The present invention also relates to vectors, particularly plasmids, cosmids, viruses, bacteriophages and other vectors used conventionally in genetic engineering that contain a polynucleotide according to the invention or any one of the above-described gene constructs. Methods which are well known to those skilled in the art can be used to construct various plasmids and vectors; see, for example, the techniques described in Sambrook, *Molecular Cloning A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory (1989) N.Y. and Ausubel, *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, Green Publishing Associates and Wiley Interscience, N.Y. (1989), (1994). Alternatively, the polynucleotides and vectors of the invention can be reconstituted into liposomes for delivery to target cells.

In a preferred embodiment, the polynucleotide present in the vector is linked to regulatory elements which allow the expression of the polynucleotide in prokaryotic and/or eukaryotic cells. Expression comprises transcription of the nucleic acid molecule preferably into a translatable mRNA. Regulatory elements ensuring expression in prokaryotic and/or eukaryotic cells are well known to those skilled in the art. In the case of eukaryotic cells they comprise normally promoters ensuring initiation of transcription and optionally poly-A signals ensuring termination of transcription and stabilisation of the transcript, for example, those of the 35S RNA from Cauliflower Mosaic Virus (CaMV). In this respect, the person skilled in the art will readily appreciate that the polynucleotides encoding at least one of the above-described domains of the fusion proteins or pathogenicide of the invention may encode all of the domains or only one. Likewise, said polynucleotides may be under the control of the same promoter or may be separately controlled for expression. Other promoters commonly used are the Figwort Mosaic virus promoter, the polyubiquitin promoter, and the actin promoter for ubiquitous expression. The termination signals usually employed are from the Nopaline Synthase or CaMV 35S gene. A plant translational enhancer often used is the TMV omega sequences, the inclusion of an intron (Intron-1 from the Shrunk gene of maize, for example) has been shown to increase expression levels by up to 100-fold. (Maiti et al., *Transgenic Research* 6 (1997), 143-156; Ni et al., *Plant Journal* 7 (1995), 661-676). Additional regulatory elements may include transcriptional as well as translational enhancers.

Possible regulatory elements permitting expression in prokaryotic host cells comprise, e.g., the P_L , *lac*, *trp* or *tac* promoter in *E. coli*, and examples for regulatory elements permitting expression in eukaryotic host cells are the *AOX1* or *GAL1* promoter in yeast or the *CMV*-, *SV40*-, *RSV*-promoter (Rous sarcoma virus), *CMV*-enhancer, *SV40*-enhancer or a globin intron in mammalian and other animal cells. In this context, suitable expression vectors are known in the art such as Okayama-Berg cDNA expression vector pcDV1 (Pharmacia), pCDM8, pRc/CMV, pcDNA1, pcDNA3 (In-vitrogene), pSPORT1 (GIBCO BRL). Advantageously, the above-described vectors of the invention comprises a selectable and/or scorable marker. Selectable marker genes useful for the selection of transformed hosts, for example plant cells, callus, plant tissue and plants are well known to those skilled in the art and comprise, for example, antimetabolite resistance as the basis of selection for dhfr, which confers resistance to methotrexate (Reiss, Plant Physiol. (Life Sci. Adv.) 13 (1994), 143-149); npt, which confers resistance to the aminoglycosides neomycin, kanamycin and paromycin (Herrera-Estrella, EMBO J. 2 (1983), 987-995) and hygromycin, which confers resistance to hygromycin (Marsh, Gene 32 (1984), 481-485). Additional selectable genes have been described, namely trpB, which allows cells to utilise indole in place of tryptophan; hisD, which allows cells to utilise histidinol in place of histidine (Hartman, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85 (1988), 8047); mannose-6-phosphate isomerase which allows cells to utilise mannose (WO 94/20627) and ODC (ornithine decarboxylase) which confers resistance to the ornithine decarboxylase inhibitor, 2-(difluoromethyl)-DL-ornithine, DFMO (McConlogue, 1987, In: Current Communications in Molecular Biology, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory ed.) or deaminase from *Aspergillus terreus* which confers resistance to Blasticidin S (Tamura, Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 59 (1995), 2336-2338). Useful scorable markers are also known to those skilled in the art and are commercially available. Advantageously, said marker is a gene encoding luciferase (Giacomin, Pl. Sci. 116 (1996), 59-72; Scikantha, J. Bact. 178 (1996), 121), green fluorescent protein (Gerdes, FEBS Lett. 389 (1996), 44-47) or β -glucuronidase (Jefferson, EMBO J. 6 (1987), 3901-3907). This embodiment is particularly useful for simple and rapid screening of cells, tissues and organisms containing a vector of the invention.

Host cells and expression of fusion proteins and pathogenicides

The present invention furthermore relates to host cells comprising a vector as described above or a polynucleotide according to the invention. The vector or polynucleotide according to the invention which is present in the host cell may either be integrated into the genome of the host cell or it may be maintained in some form extrachromosomally.

The host cell can be any prokaryotic or eukaryotic cell, such as bacterial, insect, fungal, plant or animal cells. Preferred fungal cells are, for example, those of the genus *Saccharomyces*, in particular those of the species *S. cerevisiae*.

Another subject of the invention is a method for the preparation of the above-described fusion proteins and pathogenicides which comprises the cultivation of host cells according to the invention which, due to the presence of a vector or a polynucleotide according to the invention, are able to express such a protein, under conditions which allow expression and optionally assembly of the fusion protein or pathogenicide and recovering of the so-produced protein from the culture. Depending on the specific constructs and conditions used, the protein may be recovered from the cells, from the culture medium or from both. For the person skilled in the art it is well known that it is not only possible to express a native protein but also to express the protein as fusion polypeptides or to add signal sequences directing the protein to specific compartments of the host cell, e.g., ensuring secretion of the peptide into the culture medium, etc. Furthermore, such a protein and fragments thereof can be chemically synthesised and/or modified according to standard methods described, for example herein.

The present invention furthermore relates to molecular pathogenicides encoded by the polynucleotides according to the invention or produced by the above-described method. In this context, it is also understood that the fusion proteins and pathogenicides according to the invention may be further modified by conventional methods known in the art.

Plant promoters and expression control elements

The fusion constructs are expressed in plants either stably in transgenic plants or transiently under the control of any type of promoter that is active in plants. For long-term resistance in host plants, high yield production of recombinant proteins, stable expression is preferred.

In general, such regulatory elements comprise a promoter active in plant cells. To obtain expression in all tissues of a transgenic plant, preferably constitutive promoters are used, such as the 35 S promoter of CaMV (Odell, Nature 313 (1985), 810-812) or promoters of the polyubiquitin genes of maize (Christensen, Plant Mol. Biol. 18 (1982), 675-689). In order to achieve expression in specific tissues of a transgenic plant it is possible to use tissue specific promoters (see, e.g., Stockhaus, EMBO J. 8 (1989), 2245-2251). Further examples are:

- a) Expression control elements (e.g. promoters listed below in b to f, enhancer sequences, transcriptional and translational enhancers, transcription terminators, polyadenylation sites etc.) and a selectable marker if necessary.
- b) Constitutive promoters such as the CaMV-35S (Benfey et al., 1989) and the *nos* promoter (Mitra and Gynheung, 1989).
- c) Viral subgenomic promoters.
- d) Tissue specific promoters and chimeric promoters (Ni et al., 1995), (Comai et al., 1990).
- e) Inducible promoters (Caddick et al., 1998).
- f) Transient expression systems (Kapila et al., 1997).

Known are also promoters which are specifically active in tubers of potatoes or in seeds of different plants species, such as maize, Vicia, wheat, barley etc. Inducible promoters may be used in order to be able to exactly control expression. An example for inducible promoters are the promoters of genes encoding heat shock proteins. Also microspore-specific regulatory elements and their uses have been described (WO96/16182). Furthermore, the chemically inducible Tet-system may be employed (Gatz, Mol. Gen. Genet. 227 (1991); 229-237). Further suitable promoters are known to the person skilled in the art and are described, e.g., in Ward (Plant Mol. Biol. 22 (1993), 361-366). The regulatory elements may further comprise transcriptional and/or translational enhancers functional in plants cells. Furthermore, the regulatory elements may include transcription termination signals, such as a poly-A signal, which lead to the addition of a

poly A tail to the transcript which may improve its stability.

Furthermore, it is in principle possible to modify the coding sequence in such a way that the protein is located in any desired compartment of the plant cell. These include the endoplasmatic reticulum, the vacuole, the mitochondria, the plastids, the apoplast, the cytoplasm etc. Methods how to carry out this modifications and signal sequences ensuring localisation in a desired compartment are well known to the person skilled in the art.

Transformation

Methods for the introduction of foreign DNA into plants are also well known in the art. These include, for example, the transformation of plant cells or tissues with T-DNA using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* or *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*, the fusion of protoplasts, direct gene transfer (see, e.g., EP-A 164 575), injection, electroporation, biolistic methods like particle bombardment and other methods known in the art. The vectors used in the method of the invention may contain further functional elements, for example "left border"- and "right border"-sequences of the T-DNA of *Agrobacterium* which allow for stably integration into the plant genome. Furthermore, methods and vectors are known to the person skilled in the art which permit the generation of marker free transgenic plants, i.e. the selectable or scorable marker gene is lost at a certain stage of plant development or plant breeding. This can be achieved by, for example cotransformation (Lyznik, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 13 (1989), 151-161; Peng, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 27 (1995), 91-104) and/or by using systems which utilise enzymes capable of promoting homologous recombination in plants (see, e.g., WO97/08331; Bayley, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 18 (1992), 353-361; Lloyd, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 242 (1994), 653-657; Maeser, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 230 (1991), 170-176; Onouchi, *Nucl. Acids Res.* 19 (1991), 6373-6378). Methods for the preparation of appropriate vectors are described by, e.g., Sambrook (*Molecular Cloning; A Laboratory Manual*, 2nd Edition (1989), Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY). Suitable strains of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and vectors as well as transformation of *Agrobacteria* and appropriate growth and selection media are well known to those skilled in the art and are described in the prior art (GV3101 (pMK90RK), Koncz, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 204 (1986), 383-396; C58C1 (pGV 3850kan), Deblaere, *Nucl. Acid Res.* 13 (1985), 4777; Bevan, *Nucleic. Acid Res.* 12(1984), 8711; Koncz, *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. USA 86 (1989), 8467-8471; Koncz, Plant Mol. Biol. 20 (1992), 963-976; Koncz, Specialised vectors for gene tagging and expression studies. In: Plant Molecular Biology Manual Vol 2, Gelvin and Schilperoort (Eds.), Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publ. (1994), 1-22; EP-A-120 516; Hoekema: The Binary Plant Vector System, Offsetdrukkerij Kanters B.V., Alblasterdam (1985), Chapter V, Fraley, Crit. Rev. Plant. Sci., 4, 1-46; An, EMBO J. 4 (1985), 277-287). Although the use of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* is preferred in the method of the invention, other *Agrobacterium* strains, such as *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*, may be used, for example if a phenotype conferred by said strain is desired.

Methods for the transformation using biolistic methods are well known to the person skilled in the art; see, e.g., Wan, Plant Physiol. 104 (1994), 37-48; Vasil, Bio/Technology 11 (1993), 1553-1558 and Christou (1996) Trends in Plant Science 1, 423-431. Microinjection can be performed as described in Potrykus and Spangenberg (eds.), Gene Transfer To Plants. Springer Verlag, Berlin, NY (1995).

The transformation of most dicotyledonous plants is possible with the methods described above. But also for the transformation of monocotyledonous plants several successful transformation techniques have been developed. These include the transformation using biolistic methods as, e.g., described above as well as protoplast transformation, electroporation of partially permeabilized cells, introduction of DNA using glass fibers, etc.

Transformation can be done using any method that leads to expression of construct or constructs in a plant and these methods can be used for stable transformation where the gene of interest is incorporated in the host plant DNA or where the construct is transiently expressed. Examples of transformation technology include:

- a) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* or *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* mediated transformation (Turpen et al., 1993; White, 1992): based on the insertion of a foreign DNA sequence into the plant genome carried on a plasmid DNA within the agrobacteria. The foreign gene is inserted into the plant genome together with bacterial plasmid sequences.
- b) Particle bombardment (Sanford et al., 1990), (Klein and Fitzpatrick-McElligott, 1993) or biolistic process (Furth, 1997): Particle bombardment uses particles coated with the DNA that penetrate the plant cell at high velocity and the DNA is incorporated into the host genome by host recombination processes. Besides

particle bombardment biolistic processes also include injection methods.

- c) Tissue electroporation (Chowrira et al., 1995; D'Halluin et al., 1992): under the influence of an electric field, DNA enters pores in the plant cell membrane and is incorporated into the plant genome by recombination.
- d) Use of liposomes or methods which increase the uptake of free DNA (Spörlein and Koop, 1991; White, 1992).
- e) Any method for integration of foreign DNA in a plant cell resulting in transiently or stably transformed plants.

Target plants

The present invention relates to transgenic plant cells which contain a polynucleotide, vector or composition of vectors of the invention. Preferably, said polynucleotide or vector is stably integrated into the genome.

As is immediately evident to the person skilled in the art, the vectors of the present invention can carry nucleic acid molecules encoding the domains of the antibody, fusion protein or pathogenicide of the invention either alone or in combination. The same applies to the above described plant cells, plant tissue and plants transformed therewith. Likewise, said nucleic acid molecules may be under the control of the same regulatory elements or may be separately controlled for expression. In this respect, the person skilled in the art will readily appreciate that the nucleic acid molecules encoding the domains of the fusion protein or pathogenicide can be expressed in the form of a single mRNA as transcriptional and optionally translational fusions. This means that domains are produced as separate polypeptides or in the latter option as a fusion polypeptide that is further processed into the individual proteins, for example via a cleavage site for proteinases that has been incorporated between the amino acid sequences of both proteins. The resultant protein domains can then self-assemble *in vivo*. Of course, the domains may also be expressed as a bi- or multifunctional polypeptide, preferably disposed by a peptide linker which advantageously allows for sufficient flexibility of both proteins. Preferably said peptide linker comprises plural, hydrophilic, peptide-bonded amino acids of a length sufficient to span the distance between the C-terminal end of one of said proteins and the N-terminal end of the other of said proteins when said polypeptide assumes a conformation suitable for biological activity of both proteins when disposed in aqueous solution in the plant cell. Examples

of the above-described expression strategies can be found in the literature, e.g., for dicistronic mRNA (Reinitiation) in Hefferon, J. Gen. Virol. 78 (1997), 3051-3059, fusion proteins are described in Brinck-Peterson, Plant Mol. Biol. 32 (1996), 611-620 and Hotze, FEBS Lett. 374 (1995), 345-350; bifunctional proteins are discussed in Lamp, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Com. 244 (1998), 110-114 and Dumas, FEBS Lett. 408 (1997), 156-160 and for linker peptide and protease it is referred to Doskeland, Biochem. J. 313 (1996), 409-414.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the transgenic plant cell comprises a selectable marker. As described above, various selectable markers can be employed in accordance with the present invention. Advantageously, selectable markers may be used that are suitable for direct selection of transformed plants, for example, the phosphinothricin-N-acetyltransferase gene the gene product of which detoxifies the herbicide L-phosphinothricin (glufosinate or BASTA); see, e.g., De Block, EMBO J. 6 (1987), 2513-2518 and Dröge, Planta 187 (1992), 142-151.

The presence and expression of the polynucleotides or vectors in the transgenic plant cells leads to the synthesis of a fusion protein, antibody or pathogenicide of the invention or assembly of the same which has an influence on pathogen resistance in plants containing such cells.

Thus, the present invention also relates to transgenic plants and plant tissue comprising transgenic plant cells according to the invention. Due to the expression of a fusion protein, the antibody against the viral movement and/or replicase protein or pathogenicide of the invention or their domains, e.g., in cellular compartments and/or plant tissue these transgenic plants may show various physiological, developmental and/or morphological modifications in comparison to wild-type plants. Advantageously, these transgenic plants display a resistance against a pathogen that the corresponding wild type plant was susceptible to.

In general, the plants which can be modified according to the invention can be derived from any desired plant species. They can be monocotyledonous plants or dicotyledonous plants, preferably they belong to plant species of interest in agriculture, wood culture or horticulture, such as crop plants (e.g. maize, rice, barley, wheat, rye,

oats etc.), potatoes, oil producing plants (e.g. oilseed rape, sunflower, pea nut, soy bean, etc.), cotton, sugar beet, sugar cane, leguminous plants (e.g. beans, peas etc.), wood producing plants, preferably trees, etc.

In yet another aspect, the invention also relates to harvestable parts and to propagation material of the transgenic plants according to the invention. Harvestable parts can be in principle any useful parts of a plant, for example, leaves, stems, fruit, flowers, seeds, roots etc. Propagation material includes, for example, seeds, fruits, cuttings, seedlings, tubers, rootstocks etc.

Kits

In addition, the present invention relates to a kit comprising the above-described antibodies, fusion protein, pathogenicide, polynucleotide or vectors. The kit of the invention may contain further ingredients such as selection markers and components for selective media suitable for the generation of transgenic plant cells, plant tissue or plants. The kit of the invention may advantageously be used for carrying out the method of the invention and could be, *inter alia*, employed in a variety of applications, e.g., in the diagnostic field or as research tool. The parts of the kit of the invention can be packaged individually in vials or in combination in containers or multicontainer units. Manufacture of the kit follows preferably standard procedures which are known to the person skilled in the art. The kit or its ingredients according to the invention can be used in plant cell and plant tissue culture, for example in agriculture. The kit of the invention and its ingredients are expected to be very useful in breeding new varieties of, for example, plants which display improved properties such as those described herein.

It is also immediately evident to the person skilled in the art that the polynucleotides and vectors of the present invention can be employed to produce transgenic plants with a further desired trait due to genetic engineering (see for review TIPTEC Plant Product & Crop Biotechnology 13 (1995), 312-397). This can be, for example, an acquired resistance to other pathogens or quality improvements of the plants comprising (i) herbicide tolerance (DE-A-3701623; Stalker, Science 242 (1988), 419), (ii) insect resistance (Vaek, Plant Cell 5 (1987), 159-169), (iii) virus resistance (Powell, Science 232 (1986), 738-743; Pappu, World Journal of Microbiology &

Biotechnology 11 (1995), 426-437; Lawson, Phytopathology 86 (1996), 56 suppl.), (vi) ozone resistance (Van Camp, BioTech. 12 (1994), 165-168), (v) improving the preserving of fruits (Oeller, Science 254 (1991), 437-439), (vi) improvement of starch composition and/or production (Stark, Science 242 (1992), 419; Visser, Mol. Gen. Genet. 225 (1991), 289-296), (vii) altering lipid composition (Voelker, Science 257 (1992), 72-74), (viii) production of (bio)polymers (Poirer, Science 256 (1992), 520-523), (ix) alteration of the flower colour, e.g. by manipulating the anthocyanin and flavonoid biosynthetic pathway (Meyer, Nature 330 (1987), 667-678, WO90/12084), (x) resistance to bacteria, insects and fungi (Duering, Molecular Breeding 2 (1996), 297-305; Strittmatter, BioTechnology 13 (1995), 1085-1089; Estruch, Nature Biotechnology 15 (1997), 137-141), (xi) inducing and maintaining male and/or female sterility (EP-A1 0 412 006; EP-A1 0 223 399; WO93/25695) and (xii) remediation of contaminated soils (Cunningham, TIBTECH 13 (1995), 393-397).

These and other embodiments are disclosed and encompassed by the description and examples of the present invention. Further literature concerning any one of the methods, uses and compounds to be employed in accordance with the present invention may be retrieved from public libraries, using for example electronic devices. For example the public database "Medline" may be utilised which is available on the Internet, for example under <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PubMed/medline.html>. Further databases and addresses, such as <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>, <http://www.infobiogen.fr/>, http://www.fmi.ch/biology/research_tools.html, <http://www.tigr.org/>, are known to the person skilled in the art and can also be obtained using, e.g., <http://www.lycos.com>. An overview of patent information in biotechnology and a survey of relevant sources of patent information useful for retrospective searching and for current awareness is given in Berks, TIBTECH 12 (1994), 352-364.

Description of the figures

Figure 1 shows a description of various orientations for molecular pathogenicide display on cellular membranes. Recombinant molecular pathogenicides can be targeted by cellular signals and expressed in several orientations on cellular membranes, for example: A: where the recombinant protein faces the cytosol or

extracellular space after fusion to a transmembrane domain or after post translational lipid modification and B: where the recombinant protein is fused to a protein with 4 transmembrane domains. In C and D possible orientations of toxins are displayed.

In addition, the toxin and or recombinant antibody fragment can be fused to the c terminal of any of the example protein structures.

N: protein amino terminal; C: protein carboxy terminal; tm: transmembrane domain; rAb: recombinant antibody fragment or binding domain.

Figure 2 shows example constructs for membrane anchoring of scFv24 in the plant cell plasma membrane (see example 1). 35SS: 35S promoter from Cauliflower Mosaic Virus with duplicated enhancer; CHS 5'-UT: chalcone synthase 5' untranslated region; Leader peptide: original murine leader sequence from the parental monoclonal antibody 24 light chain; V_L: Variable domain of the parental monoclonal antibody 24 light chain; V_H: Variable domain of the parental monoclonal antibody 24 heavy chain; Linker: 14 amino acid linker sequence; c-myc: c-myc epitope tag sequence; TcR β : Human T cell receptor β chain; PDGFR_{TM}: Platelet derived growth factor receptor transmembrane domain; Term: termination sequence from Cauliflower mosaic virus.

Figure 3 shows example constructs for molecular pathogenicide display facing the cell cytoplasm. 35SS: 35S promoter from Cauliflower Mosaic Virus with duplicated enhancer; CHS 5'-UT: chalcone synthase 5' untranslated region; V_L: Variable domain of the parental monoclonal antibody 24 light chain; V_H: Variable domain of the parental monoclonal antibody 24 heavy chain; Linker 1: 14 amino acid linker (Genex 212) sequence; Linker 2: 10 amino acid linker (Gly4Ser)₂ sequence; Term: termination sequence from Cauliflower mosaic virus.

Figure 4 shows example constructs for viral coat protein antibody fusion proteins and various potential carrier antibody-protein fusion proteins. scFv24: single chain antibody derived from parental monoclonal mAb24 recognising a neotope on the surface of intact TMV virions; GST: Glutathione S-transferase from *Schistosoma japonicum*; Omega sequence: Tobacco Mosaic virus 5' untranslated region; linker: 10 Amino acid (Gly₄Ser)₂ linker sequence; His6: 6 histidine residue epitope tag sequence; 35SS: 35S promoter from Cauliflower Mosaic Virus with duplicated

enhancer; TRXec: Thioredoxin from *Escherichia coli*; TRXnt: Thioredoxin from *Nicotiana tabacum*; CP: coat protein monomer from Tobacco mosaic virus; TMV 3' UT: Tobacco Mosaic virus 3' untranslated region.

Figure 5 shows the strategy and example constructs for *in vivo* molecular pathogenicide assembly using an antibody: antigen interaction as the binding partners for *in vivo* assembly. The two binding partners are an epitope tag and a high affinity antibody which specifically recognises this epitope tag. To assemble a molecular pathogenicide protein complex, the epitope specific antibody is genetically fused to a pathogen specific antibody and the epitope tag is genetically fused to the toxin sequence. Both of these recombinant proteins are then expressed in the same cell compartment. The epitope specific antibody binds the epitope expressed on the surface of the toxin. This high affinity interaction then gives a molecular pathogenicide protein complex, which specifically recognises the pathogen and bears a toxic activity. Linker 4 can encode specific protease cleavage sites.

The epitope and pathogen specific antibodies can also be included in the constructs in the same orientation but where the epitope specific antibody precedes the pathogen specific antibody in the 5' to 3' direction.

A: schematic of molecular pathogenicide protein complex assembly in a cell compartment; B: Example constructs showing two possible arrangements (Ab1 and Ab2) of the individual V_L and V_H domains of both the pathogen specific and epitope specific antibody fragments; C: two possible arrangements (Tox 1 and Tox2) for epitope toxin fusion proteins.

Figure 6 shows the strategy and example constructs for *in vivo* molecular pathogenicide assembly using an antibody heavy chain: antibody light chain interaction as the binding partners for *in vivo* assembly. The two binding partners are an antibody heavy chain and an antibody light chain which specifically recognises this epitope tag. To assemble a molecular pathogenicide protein complex, the epitope specific antibody is genetically fused to a pathogen specific antibody heavy chain C-terminus. Both of these recombinant antibody heavy chain and light chains are then expressed in the same cell compartment, where they assemble via disulphide bridges to give a molecular pathogenicide protein complex, which

specifically recognises the pathogen and bears a toxic activity. Linker 1 can encode specific protease cleavage sites or the epitope specific antibody that recognizes an epitope tagged toxin. Also, the toxin can fused to the N-terminus of the antibody heavy chain using linker 1, or the N or C terminus of the light chain.

A: schematic of the final assembled molecular pathogenicide. B: example constructs.

Figure 7 shows a cDNA construct for targeting and expression of scFv24 on plant cell membranes. cDNAs of mAb24 variable light (V_L) and heavy chain (V_H) domains connected by a 14 amino acid linker were fused to the the human TcR β transmembrane domain and cloned into *EcoRI* and *XbaI* restriction sites of the plant expression vector pSS (33). The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from pscFv24-TcR β is depicted in SEQ ID NO: 3. 35SS = double enhanced CaMV-35S promoter; CHS-5'-UT = 5' untranslated region of the chalcone synthase; LP = signal sequence of the murine mAb24 light chain; *c-myc* = *c-myc*-epitope tag; TM = transmembrane domain; TCaMV = CaMV termination sequence.

Figure 8 shows the levels of functional scFv24-TcR β in transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 suspension cell lines. scFv24 production levels in tobacco BY-2 cell extracts and the culture supernatant were analyzed by ELISA using the anti-mAb24 antisera and are indicated as ng scFv24 per g cell material. T1_{BY-2}-T2_{BY-2} = transgenic BY-2 suspension cell lines producing scFv24-TcR β .

Figure 9 shows Western blot analysis of a T₁ tobacco plant producing scFv24-TcR β . Equivalent amounts of protein from intercellular washing fluids and total soluble proteins from one T₁ plant producing scFv24-TcR β (lane 1 and 2) were separated on a 12 % (w/v) reducing SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to nitrocellulose. Recombinant protein was detected by using a rabbit anti-mAb24 antisera as primary antibody and goat-anti rabbit antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase as a secondary antibody and followed by NBT/BCIP staining. Estimated molecular weights of recombinant proteins are indicated (Marker). IWF = intercellular washing fluid; TSP = total soluble protein.

Figure 10 shows the subcellular localization of membrane anchored scFv24-TcR β in transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 protoplasts by indirect immunofluorescence. Fixed

protoplasts from non-transgenic BY-2 cells (A) and line T2_{BY-2} producing scFv24-TcR β (B, C, D) were labeled either using anti-mAb24 antisera as a primary antibody followed by a FITC conjugated goat-anti rabbit secondary antibody (A, B, D) or using anti-human TcR β antibody as a primary antibody, followed by an FITC conjugated goat-anti mouse secondary antibody (C). Magnification = $\times 400$.

Figure 11 shows immunogold localization of scFv24- in transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 leaves. Ultrathin-sections from plant line T4_{SR1} producing scFv24-TcR β were probed with rabbit anti-mAb24 antisera primary antibody and 12 nm gold particle conjugated goat-anti rabbit secondary antibody. Arrowheads indicate immunogold labelling of membrane anchored scFv24-TcR β plant cell plasma and nuclear membrane.

Figure 12 shows cDNA constructs for targeting and expression of cytosolic scFv24 to plant cell membranes. scFv24 cDNA, comprising variable light chain (V_L) and heavy chain (V_H) domains connected by a 14 amino acid 212 linker (linker 2), were fused to the C-terminal transmembrane domains using the Gly₄Ser linker (linker 1) and subcloned into the plant expression vector pSS. A) Cytoplasmic targeting vectors containing a C-terminal transmembrane domain. B) Cytoplasmic targeting control vector lacking a transmembrane domain (construction of this vector is described in: Zimmermann et al., 1998). 35SS = enhanced CaMV-35S promoter; CHS 5'UT = 5' untranslated region of chalcone synthase; KAR1 = transmembrane domain of KAR1 for nuclear membrane integration; mT = transmembrane domain of middleT antigen plasma membrane integration; cyt b5 = transmembrane domain of cytochrome b5 for ER membrane integration; syn1 = transmembrane domain of synaprobrevin1 for membrane integration; His6 = histidine 6-tag; c-myc = c-myc-epitope tag; TCaMV = CaMV termination sequence. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from pscFv24-kar1 is depicted in SEQ ID NO: 12. Codon usage of the yeast KAR1 transmembrane domain was adapted to tobacco, wheat and pea; except the TGT (Cys) and CTT (Leu) codons which are rare codons for wheat. The Ile codon ATA (in the transmembrane domain) is also a rare codon for wheat and the Leu codon CTG (in the transmembrane domain) is a rare codon for pea and tobacco, but both codons were necessary to introduce a *Bst*1107 restriction site. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from pscFv24-mT is shown in SEQ ID NO: 13. Codon usage of

the transmembrane domain of murine poliovirus middle-T antigen was adapted to tobacco, wheat and pea. The Ala codon GCG and the Leu codon CTG (both in the transmembrane domain) are rare codons for tobacco and pea, but both were necessary to introduce a *Eco47-3* restriction site. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from pscFv24-cytb5 is shown in SEQ ID NO: 14. Codon usage of rat liver cytochrome b5 transmembrane domain was adapted to tobacco, wheat and pea; except the very C-terminal codon (Asp) which is a rare codon for wheat. The Ala codon GCG (in the transmembrane domain) is also a rare codon for pea and tobacco and the Ile codon ATA (in the transmembrane domain) is a rare codon for wheat, but both codons are necessary to introduce a *EcoRV* restriction site. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from pscFv24-syn1 is depicted in SEQ ID NO: 15. Codon usage of human synaptobrevin transmembrane domain was adapted to tobacco, wheat and pea; except the last codon (Asp) which is a rare codon for wheat.

Figure 13 shows a cDNA construct for targeting and expression of scFv24-PE400. scFv24 cDNA, comprising variable light chain (V_L) and heavy chain (V_H) domains connected by a 14 amino acid 212 linker (linker 2), were fused to the C-terminal *Pseudomonas* exotoxin domain III using a cellobiohydrolase I (CBH) linker of *Trichoderma reesei* and subcloned into the plant expression vector pSS. 35SS = enhanced CaMV-35S promoter; CHS 5'UT = 5' untranslated region of chalcone synthase; LP = signal sequence of the murine mAb24 light chain; linker 1 = Gly₄Ser linker; tag54 = epitope tag; TCaMV = CaMV termination sequence. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from pscFv24-PE400 is shown in SEQ ID NO: 18.

Figure 14: Molecular pathogenicide based on a single chain (scFv24) fusion to *E. coli* RNaseE. The two domains of the pathogenicide were connected by a short Gly-Gly-Gly-Ser linker peptide. This set up can be modified in multiple ways by using different scFv antibodies binding to structural and nonstructural viral target proteins, other RNase genes or domains thereof fused to either the N- or the C-terminus of any selected scFv cDNA.

Figure 15 shows constructs for expression of scFv24 fusion proteins in the cytoplasm and ER of plant cells. scFv24 cDNA, comprising variable light chain (V_L)

and heavy chain (V_H) domains connected by a 14 amino acid 212 linker (linker 2), were fused to CP using the $(Gly_4Ser)_2$ linker (linker 1) and subcloned into the plant expression vector pSS. A) Cytoplasmic targeting vectors containing a C-terminal His6 or KDEL sequence. B) Cytoplasmic targeting control vectors lacking a fusion partner. C) ER retention vector. D) Apoplastic targeting vector (the fusion protein is secreted to the apoplast and will enter the cell by binding to invading TMV virions). 35SS = enhanced CaMV-35S promoter; • = 5' untranslated region of TMV; LP = codon optimized original mouse leader peptide sequence from mAb24; CP = fusion partner TMV coat protein; His6 = histidine 6-tag; KDEL = ER retention signal; Pw = TMV 3' untranslated region. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from CP-scFv24H is shown in SEQ ID NO: 24. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from CP-scFv24K is shown in SEQ ID NO: 25. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from scFv24H is shown in SEQ ID NO: 26. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from scFv24K is shown in SEQ ID NO: 27. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from L-CP-scFv24K is shown in SEQ ID NO: 28.

Figure 16 shows protein levels of ER retained scFv- fusion proteins. *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 leaves were transiently transformed with recombinant agrobacteria containing the construct L-CP-scFv24K and incubated for three days. Total soluble protein was isolated and levels of functional scFv24-fusion protein, was quantified in a TMV-specific ELISA and indicated as ng per gram leaf material. The column represents the mean value of four leaves. Standard deviations are indicated.

Figure 17 shows Western blot analysis of ER retained fusion proteins. Affinity purified L-CP-scFv24K was separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Blots were probed with CP-specific mAb29 primary antibody followed by alkaline phosphatase conjugated goat-anti rabbit and goat-anti mouse secondary antibody and NBT/BCIP staining. Lane 1: Prestained protein marker; lane 2: TMV-affinity purified L-CP-scFv24K.

Figure 18 shows levels of cytoplasmic expressed fusion proteins. *N. benthamiana* leaves were transiently transformed with recombinant agrobacteria and incubated for three days. Total soluble protein was isolated and levels of functional scFv24,

expressed as part of the fusion proteins, were quantitated in a TMV-specific ELISA and indicated as ng per gram leaf material. Each column represents the mean value of four leaves and demonstrates the protein levels of constructs CP-scFv24H and scFv24H containing a C-terminal His6 sequence and protein levels of constructs CP-scFv24K and scFv24K containing a C-terminal KDEL sequence. Standard deviations are indicated with bars.

Figure 19 shows the cloning of TMV 30K movement protein specific antibodies into the plant expression vector pSSH1. Single chain fragments obtained by phage display were subcloned into the BISCA2429 expression cassette (Fischer et al., (1999) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 262, 810-816) using *Nco* I/ *Sal* I restriction sites. Resulting temporary constructs (5'- UTR of TMV omega sequence, scFv, His6 tag) were subcloned into the plant expression vector pSSH1 using *Eco* RI/ *Xba* I subcloning assembling the final vector for plant transformation and expression (35 S promoter, 5'- UTR of TMV omega sequence, scFv, His6 tag, 3'- UTR and Terminator of CaMV) of the anti 30K scFv.

Figure 20 shows the reactivity in ELISA of 10 different 30K-specific scFv antibodies against GST-30K (biotinylated and non biotinylated) and GST (A) and Reactivity in ELISA of the same 10 scFv fragments against five different Domains of the 30K movement protein expressed as GST-fusion proteins (B). The 30K-specific scFvs were selected from a phage library derived from GST-30K immunized mice.

Figure 21 shows the amino acid residues of two selected scFv binding to the 30K movement protein of TMV obtained by phage display using GST-30K immunized mice for PCR-based amplification of V_H- and V_L-fragments. scFv 30-1= 30K specific scFv No. 1, scFv 30-2= 30K specific scFv No. 2. Aminoacid residues were derived from cDNA-sequencing of the respective phage derived scFv-cDNA clones as described (Figure 19).

Figure 22 shows the time course (44h, 48h, 52h, 56h, 62h and 72h post inoculation, p.i.) for monitoring the accumulation of coat protein in transgenic and non-transgenic anti-30K scFv expressing plants detected by western blotting using a TMV coat protein specific antibody (mAb 24) upon inoculation with TMV *vulgare*. SR1= SR1

wild-type plants, NC= negative control (Transgenic SR1 expressing the antitumor scFv T84.66).

Figure 23 shows aminoacid sequences derived from the cDNA sequence of antiviral scFv-antibodies obtained by hybridoma rescue (Figure 24) directed against the 3a movement protein of CMV (23a), a component of the TMV replicase (23b, 54K of TMV) and a plant virus minimal protein (23c, 3min of PLRV).

Figure 24 shows binding competition between antibodies and PLRV ssRNA. 100 ng of the GST-3min protein were incubated for 30 min at RT with either indicated amounts of polyclonal anti-3min antibodies (pAb, lanes 3, 4, 5 and 6), anti-3min antibody (mAb, lanes 7, 8, 9 and 10) and polyclonal anti-GST antibodies (lane 1) or without antibody (lane 2). The complexes were mixed with 0.5 ng of radioactively labeled ssRNA probe, incubated for 25 min at 4°C and irradiated with UV light at RT. After digestion of unprotected RNA by RNase A, the complexes were analysed by 15% SDS-PAGE and the dried gel was autoradiographed.

Figure 25: Epitope mapping of three different antiviral antibodies namely (a) mAb 29 (see example 1), (b) scFv 54-1 (Example 6, Figure 23b, Figure 29) and (c) scFv 3a-2 (Example 6, Figure 23a). Sequences were obtained from phage ELISA positive clones after the third round of biopanning using two peptide display libraries (Cortese et al., (1995) Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 6, 73-80). Resulting sequences were aligned and the consensus epitope was determined. In each case the epitope could be mapped within the parental sequence of the different viral sequences analysed.

Figure 25a: Epitope mapping and consensus-sequence of mAb 29 using peptide display and two different peptide display libraries (9mer random library (pVIII 9aa) and 9mer (9aa.Cys) constrained library). From the 9mer random library 10 different positive clones could be characterized and sequenced, from the 9mer constrained library 5 positive clones were characterized. Both libraries resulted in the identification of the same epitope based on a consensus sequence which could be mapped within the TMV-coatprotein sequence.

Figure 25b: Epitope mapping and consensus-sequence of scFv 54-1 using peptid display (9mer linear library). From the 9mer linear library 4 different positive clones

could be characterized and sequenced, resulting in the identification of the same scFv epitope based on a consensus sequence which could be mapped within the 54K protein sequence.

Figure 25c: Epitope mapping and consensus-sequence of scFv 3min using peptide display and two different peptide display libraries (9mer random library (pVIII 9aa) and 9mer (9aa.Cys). From the 9mer random library 8 different positive clones could be characterized and sequenced, from the 9mer constrained library 7 positive clones were characterized. Both libraries resulted in the identification of the same epitope based on a consensus sequence which could be mapped within the border region of GST-3min.

Figure 26 shows a cDNA construct for studying assembly of recombinant proteins. Constructs for expression of bisoFv2429, PE280-tag29 and PE400-tag29 in the apoplast of plant cells and GST-tag29 in bacteria.

A) scFv cDNAs, composed of mAb24 and mAb29, variable light chain (V_L) and heavy chain (V_H) domains connected by a 14 amino acid 212 linker (linker 2), were fused using the CBHI-linker. bisoFv2429 was subcloned into the plant expression vector pSS. B) PE280 composed of PE domain II (aa 280-364) and domain III (aa 381-609) was fused to the epitag-29 via a Gly₄Ser linker (linker 1). PE280-tag29 was subcloned into the plant expression vector pSS. C) PE400 composed of PE domain III (aa 381-609) was fused to the epitag-29 via a Gly₄Ser linker (linker 1). PE400-tag29 was subcloned into the plant expression vector pSS. D) The epitag-29 was fused to the C-terminus of GST via a Gly₄Ser linker (linker 1) in the pGEX-5X-3 vector. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from PE280-tag29 is shown in SEQ ID NO: 161. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from PE400-tag29 is shown in SEQ ID NO: 162.

35SS = double enhanced CaMV-35S promoter; Ptac = *tac* promoter; CHS-5'-UT = 5' untranslated region of the chalcone synthase; LP = leader peptide of the murine monoclonal antibody mAb24 light chain; GST = glutathione S-transferase; his6 = histidine6 tag; tag29 = epitag-29; TCaMV = CaMV termination sequence.

Figure 27 shows the detection of GST-tag29 by immunoblot. Serial dilutions of bacterial produced and affinity purified GST-tag29 in PBS (A) or protein extract of *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 (B) were separated on a 12 % (w/v) reducing SDS-

PAA gel and transferred to nitrocellulose. Recombinant protein was detected by using rAb29 and goat-anti mouse antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase as a secondary antibody and followed by NBT/BCIP staining. Amounts of GST-tag29 loaded and molecular weights of the prestained protein marker are indicated.

Figure 28 shows simulation of *in vivo* assembly by ELISA. Assembly of biscFv2429 from transgenic plants and GST-tag29 produced in bacteria followed by affinity purification was analysed by ELISA. The components were added in the following order to the microtiter plate: Polyclonal TMV rabbit-anti TMV antibodies (7 μ g/ml), 1% BSA for blocking, TMV virions (1 μ g/ml), plant extracts from a transgenic plant producing biscFv2429 (diluted 1:10 or 1:100), bacterially expressed GST-tag29, mouse anti-GST mAb (1 μ g/ml), 1:5000 diluted alkaline phosphatase labelled goat anti-mouse Fc antibody and substrate. Controls were performed using extracts from a non-transgenic tobacco plant. The levels of *in vivo* assembly are indicated as OD 405nm. Each column represents the mean value of two independent ELISA experiments. Standard deviations are indicated with bars.

Figure 29 shows immunoblot of PE280-tag29 transient transformed tobacco leaves. Tobacco leaves transformed using recombinant agrobacteria were incubated for 72h and protein extract was isolated. 15 μ l and 5 μ l of total soluble proteins from tobacco leaves producing PE280-tag29, 10 μ l of a non-transgenic plant (WT) and 400ng affinity purified GST-tag29 as control were separated on a 12 % (w/v) reducing SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to nitrocellulose. Recombinant protein was detected by using a mouse anti-GST mAb as primary antibody and goat-anti mouse antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase as a secondary antibody, followed by chemiluminescence detection. The arrow indicates the position of the degraded PE280-tag29 band. Molecular weights of a prestained marker are indicated.

Figure 30 shows a cDNA construct for targeting and expression of scFv24 on plant cell membranes. cDNAs of mAb24 variable light (V_L) and heavy chain (V_H) domains connected by a 14 Genex-212 amino acid linker (36) were fused to the PDGFR and cloned into *EcoRI* and *XbaI* restriction sites of the plant expression vector pSS (33). 35SS = double enhanced CaMV-35S promoter; CHS-5'-UT = 5' untranslated region of the chalcone synthase; c-myc = c-myc-epitope tag; TM = transmembrane domain;

TCaMV = CaMV termination sequence. The DNA sequence of the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment from pscFv24-PDGFR is depicted in SEQ ID NO: 163.

Figure 31 shows the levels of functional scFv24-PDGFR in transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 suspension cell lines. scFv24 production levels in tobacco BY-2 cell extracts and the culture supernatant were analyzed by ELISA using the anti-mAb24 antisera and are indicated as ng scFv24 per g cell material. P1_{BY-2}-P8_{BY-2} = transgenic BY-2 suspension cell lines producing scFv24-PDGFR.

Figure 32 shows Western blot analysis of T₁ tobacco plants producing scFv24-PDGFR. Equivalent amounts of protein from intercellular washing fluids and total soluble proteins from three T₁ plants producing scFv24-PDGFR were separated on a 12 % (w/v) reducing SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to nitrocellulose. Recombinant protein was detected by using a rabbit anti-mAb24 antisera as primary antibody and goat-anti rabbit antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase as a secondary antibody (A) or using a murine anti-c-myc antibody as primary antibody and goat-anti mouse antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase as secondary antibody (B) and followed by NBT/BCIP staining. Estimated molecular weights of recombinant proteins are indicated (marker). IWF = intercellular washing fluid; TSP = total soluble protein.

Examples

The following examples are given to better describe the practice and applications of the present invention and should not be considered to be a limiting description nor interpreted to limit the scope and applications of the present invention. Those skilled in the art will recognise that various modifications can be made to the methods and genes described here without substantively departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention.

Example 1: Expression of a membrane integrated anti-viral antibody

Plasma membrane targeted expression of a recombinant antibody against the coat protein of Tobacco Mosaic virus (TMV)

The following steps are taken:

- 1) Antibodies against the coat protein of TMV, intact virions or specific coat protein peptides and monoclonals are generated by hybridoma technology.
- 2) Hybridoma cell lines are cloned and cDNA sequences encoding the antibody heavy and light chains are cloned to generate a recombinant antibody or any recombinant version thereof. This is achieved using antibody heavy and light specific oligonucleotides and the reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction using isolated mRNA from a single hybridoma clone. This permits cloning of the full size antibody.
- 3) The cloned full size specific antibody heavy and light chain cDNAs from step 2 are used as a template for amplification of the heavy and light chain variable domains using specific oligonucleotide primers including a linker peptide sequence (i.e. GENEX 212 or (Gly₄Ser)_n) and splice overlap extension polymerase chain reaction. This step then provides the single chain antibody fragment and the two variable domains are linked by a 14 amino acid sequence.
- 4) The recombinant scFv gene from step 3 is inserted in a microbial or eukaryotic expression vector.
- 5) The binding specificity and function of the recombinant scFv (i.e. specificity and affinity for the target antigen) is checked after expression of the construct from step 4 in a heterologous host, such as in the periplasm of *E. coli*, using ELISA, surface plasmon resonance or western blotting.

- 6) A signal sequence is added to the 5' end of the recombinant scFv nucleotide sequence from step 3. A 3' linker peptide sequence (human T cell receptor constant domain) is added and this is then followed by the addition of a 3' transmembrane sequence from the human T cell receptor β chain. Suitable membrane localisation sequences also include the platelet derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) transmembrane domain.
- 7) The 5' untranslated region from chalcone synthase is added to the 5' end of the construct from step 6.
- 8) The chimeric gene from step 7 is then inserted into a plant expression vector, such as pSS (Voss et al., 1995), upstream of the 3' untranslated region from Cauliflower mosaic virus, or any other source and the termination region from Cauliflower mosaic virus downstream of the 35S promoter (Figure 2). This vector also contains a selectable marker. In case of markerless and vectorless gene transfer selection marker sequences can be omitted.
- 9) *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* is transformed by N_2 transformation with the construct from step 8.
- 10) Expression and function of the recombinant scFv construct in plants are checked by transient expression in plant cells and ELISA, surface plasmon resonance or western blotting.
- 11) Transgenic plants are generated by transferring the construct from step 8, and a screenable selection marker, which is present in the pSS expression vector (e.g. the NPT-II gene for kanamycin resistance), into the plant genome by *Agrobacterium* mediated transformation.
- 12) Regenerated plants are screened using the selection marker for integration of the fusion gene.
- 13) Expression of the fusion protein in regenerated plants is followed by western blotting cell extracts, ELISA or surface plasmon resonance analysis.
- 14) The activity of the expressed fusion protein (i.e. affinity and specificity) is checked by ELISA using intact TMV virions as the antigen.
- 15) Localisation of the fusion protein is checked by indirect immuno-fluorescence, or confocal microscopy or immuno-electron microscopy.
- 16) The activity of the antibody in generating resistance against viruses is assayed by viral infection bioassays on transgenic plants, generated in steps 11 to 12 by using virions or infectious transcripts.

The orientation of Type II or tetraspan membrane protein can be exploited to permit display of molecular pathogenics to the cytoplasm after their synthesis in the secretory pathway. For cytoplasmic display of the recombinant scFv, steps 6) to 16) of example 1 are repeated with the following adaptations. The C-terminal membrane localisation sequence including the linker sequence and leader sequence of step 6 in example 1 are removed and a suitable linker and N-terminal targeting sequence belonging to the tetraspan family is added to the pathogen specific recombinant antibody to target and posttranslationally integrate recombinant proteins into the bilayer of plasma membranes. Suitable members of the tetraspan family include CD9, CD20, CD81 and the In-Hc-Ic dualspan typeII-IV hybrid of the MHC invariant chain and H-2^d hybrid protein. This method enables the orientation of a secreted and membrane anchored antibody construct with its N- and C-terminus into the cytosol.

Anyone of skill in the art will recognise that these steps can be followed for any other pathogen by selecting antibodies or fragments thereof specific for the target pathogen. For example, antibodies can be raised and cloned against structural and non structural proteins of any pathogen. Membrane anchor sequence(s) can be substituted against any sequence that facilitates membranes integration and provides a biological function. Moreover, example 1 can be combined with expression of any one of examples 2-8 in any combination(s) to give high level resistance to disease.

Construction of the scFv24 fusion expression cassette

To integrate the TMV-specific scFv24 into the plant cell membrane, the antibody fragment was fused to an N-terminal mammalian signal peptide and C-terminal receptor transmembrane domain. The mouse N-terminal light chain signal peptide from the parental antibody (mAb24) used to generate scFv24 was used to target fusion proteins to the secretory pathway. The transmembrane domain sequence of the human T-cell receptor β chain (TcR β) was selected for fusion with the C-terminus of scFv24, for heterologous targeting of the scFv24 antibody to the plasma membrane. To ensure proper folding of the expressed single chain antibody fragments, the construct contained the constant region of TcR β (pscFv24-TcR β) as a linker sequence between the scFv24 fragment and the membrane anchor (Figure 7). The cloning of the neotope-specific anti-tobacco mosaic virus (anti-TMV) single-chain fragment scFv24CM including the leader peptide has been described (Zimmermann

et al. 1998). To generate the fusion construct pscFv24-TcR β (Figures 2 and 7), a cDNA fragment encoding the constant and transmembrane domain of the human TcR β chain (Yoshikai et al. Nature 312: 521-524 (1984)) was PCR amplified from human spleen mRNA (Clontech, Heidelberg, Germany) using the primers 5'- GCC GTC GAC GAG GAC CTG AAC AAG GTG TTC CCA - 3' (SEQ ID NO:1) and 5' - GCC TCT AGA TCA GAA ATC CTT TCT CTT G - 3' (SEQ ID NO:2). The primers contained restriction sites *Sal* and *Xba*I (italics) to enable in frame cloning of the PCR product with scFv24CM (Zimmermann et al. 1998) resulting in the final construct scFv24-TcR β .

For expression in plant cells, the *Eco*RI/*Xba*I fragment (Figure 7) of scFv24-TcR β was subcloned into the *Eco*RI and *Xba*I restriction sites of the plant expression vector pSS (Voss et al. (1995)) containing the enhanced 35S promoter (Kay et al. (1987)) and the CaMV termination sequence (Figure 7, pscFv24-TcR β).

Expression of the scFv24 fusion proteins in *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 cell suspensions

To analyze the expression level of the recombinant scFv-fusion protein, the suspension cell line *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 was stably transformed with recombinant *A. tumefaciens* and functional expression of the scFv24 domain of the fusion protein was analyzed by ELISA using anti-mAb24 antisera.

The vector construct pscFv24-TcR β was transferred into *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 by liquid N₂ transformation (Höfgen and Willmitzer, Nucleic Acids Res. 16: 9877 (1988)). *N. tabacum* L. cv. bright yellow 2 (BY-2) cells were maintained in Murashige and Skoog basal salt with minimal organics (MSMO+; MSMO (Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany) plus 200 mg/ml KH₂PO₄, 0.6 μ g/ml thiamine, 3 % sucrose and 0.2 μ g/ml 2,4-D, pH 5.8) at 24°C in the dark on an orbital shaker. Cells were subcultured every week with a 5 % inoculum. Three days after subculture, plant cells were transformed by co-cultivation with recombinant *A. tumefaciens*, as described (An, Plant Physiol. 79: 568-570 (1985)). Selection of kanamycin-resistant transformants was performed on MSMO+ agar medium supplemented with 75 μ g/ml kanamycin and 100 μ g/ml claforan.

For extraction of total soluble proteins from transgenic BY-2 suspension culture, cells from 1 ml culture were collected by centrifugation at 4000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml protein extraction buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 5 mM EDTA, 0.02 % (w/v) sodium-azide and 0.1 % (v/v) Tween 20) and cells

were disrupted by sonication at 60 watt for 1 min using a sonicator probe (B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany) at 4°C. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 14000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The clear supernatant containing soluble protein was used for further analysis.

For ELISA and western blotting, anti-mAb24 antisera (Zimmermann et al. (1998)) was used as a primary antibody in combination with a 1:5000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, West Grove, PA). Protein concentrations were determined with the Bio-Rad Protein Assay using Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) as standard.

Analysis of stably transformed *N. tabacum* BY-2 cells revealed that scFv24-TcR β was completely intracellular (Figure 8). scFv24-TcR β was not detectable in the culture supernatant, indicating that the TcR β transmembrane domain is stable and suitable for targeting scFv24 to tobacco cell membranes.

Characterization of transgenic plants

It was then tested whether the heterologous mammalian transmembrane domain TCR β fused to scFv24 would target the single chain antibody to the plasma membrane in stably transformed tobacco plants. Transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 were generated by the leaf disc transformation with recombinant *A. tumefaciens* and transgenic T₀ plants were generated from transformed callus (Horsch et al., Science 227: 1229-1231 (1985)). Extraction of total soluble proteins from tobacco leaves and subsequent analysis of scFv24 by ELISA were performed as described by Fischer *et al.* [Fischer R, Drossard J, Liao YC, Schillberg S: Characterisation and applications of plant-derived recombinant antibodies. In: Cunningham C, Porter AJR (eds), Recombinant proteins in plants: Production and Isolation of Clinically useful compounds, pp. 45-68. Vol. 3. Humana press, Totowa, NJ (1998)]. For ELISA and western blotting, anti-mAb24 antisera (Zimmermann et al. (1998)) was used as a primary antibody in combination with a 1:5000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, West Grove, PA). Protein concentrations were determined with the Bio-Rad Protein Assay using Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) as standard.

Expression levels of scFv24-TcR β were much higher in transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 plants than in suspension cultures (Table 1). The maximum level of detergent extracted scFv24-TcR β was 296 fold higher (8866 ng/g leaf tissue) than

that obtained in transgenic suspension cultures (Figure 8).

To determine if the scFv24 fragment was stably integrated into the plasma membrane or secreted into the extracellular space of intact plants, intercellular washing fluid from leaves of transgenic T₁ tobacco plants was analyzed by ELISA. For detection of scFv24 fusion protein in intercellular washing fluids, leaves of *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 were prepared as described by Fischer *et al.* (Fischer: Characterisation and applications of plant-derived recombinant antibodies. In: Cunningham C, Porter AJR (eds), Recombinant proteins in plants: Production and Isolation of Clinically useful compounds, pp. 45-68. Vol. 3. Humana press, Totowa, NJ (1998)). Total protein extracts from washing fluids were concentrated by ultrafiltration (Microcon 10, Amicon, Witten, Germany) and analyzed by 12% SDS-PAGE (Laemmli, Nature 227: 680-685 (1970)) followed by western blot. There was no detectable antibody in the intercellular washing fluid from ten T₁ progenies of two plant lines (T4_{SR1} and T6_{SR1}) producing the scFv24-TcR β fusion protein. In general, T₁ plants used for IWF analysis showed high expression levels of intracellular scFv24-TcR β (1570-8940 ng/g leaf tissue).

Western blot analysis of total soluble protein isolated from a T₁ progeny of plant line T4_{SR1} showed only the predicted full length 48.2 kDa scFv24-TcR β fusion protein and neither the intact fusion protein nor any degradation products were detectable in the intercellular washing fluid (Figure 9). This demonstrates that scFv24-TcR β was not secreted and remained membrane anchored in transgenic plants.

Subcellular localization of scFv24-TcR β in transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 protoplasts

Since the scFv24-TcR β construct contains signal peptide and transmembrane sequences, fusion protein should be localised at the plasma membrane. To determine the subcellular localization of the scFv24-fusion protein, transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 protoplasts were generated and analysed by immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 10).

Protoplasts were prepared by digesting 3 day old tobacco BY-2 cells with 1.5 % (w/v) cellulase Onozuka RS (Yakult Honsha Co., Tokyo, Japan), 0.7 % (w/v) hemicellulase (Sigma) and 0.1 % (w/v) pectolyase Y23 (Seishin Pharmaceuticals, Nihonbashi, Tokyo, Japan) in MES buffer (0.5 % (w/v) MES, 80 mM CaCl₂, 0.3 M mannitol, pH 5.8) for 1.5 h at 25°C on a rotary shaker. Protoplasts were washed with MES buffer,

transferred to fresh MSMO+ medium and incubated over night at 24°C on an orbital shaker in the dark. Regenerating protoplasts were washed once with MES buffer and settled on poly-L-lysine-coated multiwell slides. Cells were fixed for 15 min at room temperature with 4 % (w/v) formaldehyde in MTS buffer (50 mM Pipes, 5 mM EGTA, 5 mM Mg₂SO₄, pH 6.9) plus 0.3 M mannitol. The resulting protoplast ghosts were washed with MTS buffer and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with a 1:2500 dilution of rabbit anti-mAb24 antisera (Zimmermann et al. (1998)) or a 1:50 dilution of mouse anti-human TcR β IgG (T Cell Diagnostics, Woburn, MA) in 3 % (w/v) BSA MTS buffer supplemented with 0.3 M mannitol. Cells were washed with MTS buffer and then incubated for 1 h at room temperature with FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories) diluted 1:100 in 3 % (w/v) BSA MTS buffer supplemented with 0.3 M mannitol. After washing with MTS buffer, slides were mounted in Citifluor antifade (Citifluor Ltd., London, England) and imaged on a Zeiss inverted microscope equipped with a 40x oil-immersion objective using 450-490 nm excitation and 520-560 nm emission interference filters. Images were recorded on T-max 400pro film (Kodak, Rochester, NY).

Protoplasting enzymes contain proteases which degrade cell surface proteins and there was no detectable cell surface staining directly after protoplasting BY-2 cells. However, overnight regeneration of protoplasts allowed delivery of newly synthesized membrane bound scFv24 to the cell surface and gave optimal staining. Control wild type *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 cells showed no evidence of surface staining (Figure 10A). In contrast, protoplasts derived from the transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 suspension cell line T2_{BY-2} producing scFv24-TcR β were brightly stained with anti-Ab24 sera at the plasma membrane, demonstrating the plasma membrane localization of the scFv24-TcR β fusion protein (Figure 10B). In addition, the localisation of scFv24-TcR β to the plasma membrane was also observed by staining with the anti-human TcR β antibody, which recognizes the constant region of TcR β that links the TcR β transmembrane domain to scFv24 (Figure 10C). In addition to plasma membrane labeling, some protoplasts showed nuclear membrane staining for the scFv24-TcR β fusion protein (Figure 10D).

Immuno-electron microscopy

Immuno-electron microscopy was performed on ultrathin section of leaves, to verify

location of membrane bound scFv24.

Small tissue pieces of transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 plants were embedded at low temperature for immunogold labeling (Wells, Micron and Microscopica Acta 16: 49-53 (1985)). Immunogold labeling of thin sections on plastic-filmed gold grids was carried out as previously described (McCann et al. J. Microsc. 166: 123-136 (1992)), except that blocking buffer used for the incubations with antibodies contained 3 % (w/v) BSA. Primary antibodies: rabbit anti-mAb24 antiserum at 1:100 dilution (Zimmermann et al. (1998)) or mouse anti-human TcR β IgG at 1:25 dilution (T Cell Diagnostics), were incubated with sections for 1 h. Secondary antibodies were 12 nm gold conjugated goat anti-rabbit or 12 nm gold conjugated goat anti-mouse (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories) and were incubated with sections at a dilution of 1:40 for 1 h. Bright-field light micrographs of 1 μ m thick resin sections were viewed at 80 kV on a Joel 1200EX transmission electron microscope, and photographs were taken using Kodak film.

In young leaves of the transgenic T₀ plant T4_{SR1} producing scFv24-TcR β , scFv24 was localized to both the nuclear and plasma membranes (Figure,11), confirming the subcellular localization of scFv24-TcR β by immunofluorescence in transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 protoplasts. The number of gold particles found in chloroplasts, mitochondria, glyoxysomes, cytoplasmic matrices and vacuoles was consistent with background labeling.

Bioassays of viral resistance

To analyze the biological effects of the membrane anchored anti-viral TMV-specific antibody on viral resistance, T₁ progenies of plant line expressing the scFv24-TcR β fusion protein (T6_{SR1}) were inoculated with TMV.

Seeds were collected from antibody-producing T₀ plants and germinated on MSMO agar medium supplemented with 2 % (w/v) sucrose, 0.4 μ g/ml thiamine, 0.4 μ g/ml glycine, 0.1 μ g/ml nicotine acid, 0.1 μ g/ml pyridoxine and 75 μ g/ml kanamycin. Kanamycin-resistant T₁ plants were used for inoculation with TMV-v (1 μ g/ml) as previously described (Dietzgen, Arch. Virol. 87: 73-86 (1986)). Wild type *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 plants were used as a control. Disease symptoms were monitored 6 to 20 days post inoculation (p.i.) and for resistant plants up to 180 days p.i..

Lower leaves were infected with TMV and systemic spread of the virus was followed

by analyzing upper leaves 6-20 days later. All control non-transgenic tobacco plants were systemically infected, but 16 % (out of 31 analyzed) of scFv24-TcR β transgenic plants had no visible disease symptoms on the upper leaves (Table 2). Furthermore, ELISA analysis demonstrated that some of these plants accumulated virus particles in the upper leaves indicating that though systemic viral spread occurred, no symptoms were developed. Strikingly, in 6 % of scFv24-TcR β transgenic plants no virus was found in the upper leaves up to 90 days post inoculation. Virus could be detected at inoculation sites in the lower leaves by ELISA demonstrating that these plants had been efficiently inoculated with TMV. Antibody-fusion protein expression levels correlated with expression of TMV resistance (Table 2). Higher levels of scFv24 fusion protein expression led to an increased fraction of virus resistant plants, confirming that membrane anchored scFvs could be used to generate plants resistant to virus.

Conclusions

The recombinant fusion protein scFv24-TcR β was functionally expressed in transgenic tobacco suspension cultures and transgenic plants. Expressed scFv24-TcR recognized TMV in ELISA and showed the expected size in immunoblot analysis. Furthermore, immunofluorescence and electron microscopy showed that the TcR α transmembrane domain targeted scFv24 to the tobacco plasma and nuclear membrane. Bioassays of viral infection showed that transgenic tobacco plants expressing scFv24-TcR α were resistant to systemic TMV infection. These results demonstrated that membrane anchored anti-viral antibody fragments are functional, can be targeted to the plasma membrane *in planta* and are a novel method to shield plant cells from invading pathogens.

Table 1:

Levels of functional scFv24 fusion protein in the T₀ generation of transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1.

Total soluble plant protein was isolated from leaves of transgenic plants producing scFv24-TcR β . scFv24-fusion protein expression was quantified by TMV-specific ELISA using anti-mAb24 antisera and expressed as ng scFv24 per g leaf tissue.

Construct	Number of transgenic plants	Number of plants expressing functional scFv24	Range of expression (ng/g leaf tissue)	Average expression (ng/g leaf tissue)
pscFv24-TcR β	6	6	30 - 8866	1991

Table 2:

Virus infection assay of transgenic plants expressing membrane anchored scFv24.

1 μ g/ml TMV-v was applied onto a lower leaf of non-transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 and transgenic T₁ progenies from plant line P9_{SR1} producing scFv24-PDGFR or T6_{SR1} producing scFv24-TcR β . scFv24-fusion protein levels were determined by ELISA using the anti-mAb24 antisera 14 days p.i. and used for group formation (low, average and high producers). ^a = upper leaves showed no visible disease symptoms; ^b = based on TMV-ELISA; ^c = level of resistance of all low, average and high producers, numbers in brackets include all plants without visible disease symptoms.

Plant lines	Tested plants	ng scFv24 per g leaf tissue	Healthy phenotype ^a	Resistant plants ^b	Level of resistance (%) ^c
<i>N. tabacum</i> cv. Petite Havana SR1	62	-	0	0	0
T6 _{SR1} , low producer	22	10-500	1	0	
T6 _{SR1} , average producer	2	501-2000	2	1	6 (16)
T6 _{SR1} , high producer	7	2001-21500	2	1	

Example 2: Expression of a neutralising anti-viral antibody with a C-terminal membrane localisation sequence

Cytoplasmic presentation of a membrane localised recombinant antibody against the coat protein of Tobacco Mosaic virus (TMV)

The steps 1) to 16) of example 1 are repeated with the following adaptations.

- 1) The N-terminal signal sequence is removed and replaced by a start codon.
- 2) The C-terminal membrane localisation sequence including the linker sequence of example 1 are replaced by suitable linker and C-terminal targeting sequences to posttranslationally target and integrate recombinant proteins into the bilayer of endomembranes. Suitable targeting sequences include transmembrane domains of KAR1 for nuclear membrane integration (Rose and Fink, 1987), middle-T antigen for plasma membrane integration (Kim et al., 1997) and cytochrome b5 for ER membrane integration (Kim et al., 1997). Moreover, prenylation, farnesylation, palmitoylation, myristoylation and ankyrin sequence motifs can be incorporated.

Anyone of skill in the art will recognise that these steps can be followed for any other pathogen by selecting antibodies or fragments thereof specific for the target pathogen. For example, antibodies can be raised against structural and non structural proteins of any pathogen. Membrane anchor sequence(s) can be substituted against any sequence that facilitates membranes integration and provides a biological function. Moreover, example 2 can be combined with examples 1 and 3-8 in any combination(s).

Construction of the scFv24 fusion expression cassette

To integrate the TMV-specific scFv24 into the bilayer of endomembranes the antibody fragment was fused to a C-terminal receptor transmembrane domain. No N-terminal signal sequence was included, therefore the scFv fragment is facing to the cytosol. The transmembrane domain sequence of KAR1 was selected for nuclear membrane integration (Rose and Fink, 1987), middle-T antigen for plasma membrane integration (Kim et al., 1997), cytochrome b5 for ER membrane integration (Kim et al., 1997) and syn1 for integration into vesicles (Kim et al., 1997). To ensure proper folding of the expressed single chain antibody fragments, the constructs scFv24-kar1, scFv24-mT, scFv24-cytb5 and scFv24-syn1 contained a Gly₄Ser linker sequence between the scFv24 fragment and the membrane anchor

(Figure 12).

The C-terminal transmembrane domains KAR1, middle-T (mT), cytochrome b5 (cytb5) and synaptobrevin 1 (syn1) including the Gly₄Ser linker sequence were fused to the scFv24 by PCR. The template scFv24CW cDNA (Zimmermann et al. (1998)), the forward primer -40 (5'-GTT TTC CCA GTC ACG AC-3' (SEQ ID NO:4)) and the following backward primers were used for PCR amplification: for kar1 5'-GGC TCT AGA CGC TCG AGT TTA AAA CCT ATA ATA CAC ATA GAT GTT GCA ATA AAG CAA AAT CAG TAT ACA AAT AGT CCA CCA GAA ATA CTC CCT ATA CTT CTT AGC GGC CGC AGA ACC TCC ACC TCC GTC G-3' (SEQ ID NO:5); for mT 5'-GGC TCT AGA CGC TCG AGT TTA GAA ATG CCT AGA TCT CTT AAT CAA GAT GAA GAG CAT CAA GCA AAT TCC GAG CAG CGC TGC CAA GAA AGT CAC CAA GAG CAA AGT TCT TCC CAA TCT CCT AGC GGC CGC AGA ACC TCC ACC TCC GTC G-3' (SEQ ID NO:6); for cytb5 5'-GGC TCT AGA CGC TCG AGT TTA ATC CTC TGC CAT GTA GAG TCT ATA CAT GAG AGC AAC CAC GAG TGC TGA TAT CGC TGG GAT CAC CCA ATT GGT CCA CCA TGA AGA GTT AGA CTC AAC AGC GGC CGC AGA ACC TCC ACC TCC GTC G-3' (SEQ ID NO:7) and for syn1 5'-GGC TCT AGA CGC TCG AGT TTA AGT GAA GAA ATA AAT AAC AAT AAC AAC AAC AAT AAT AGC ACA AAT AGC ACC AAG CAT AAT CAT CAT CTT ACA ATT CTT CCA AGC GGC CGC AGA ACC TCC ACC TCC GTC G-3' (SEQ ID NO:8). The codons of the transmembrane domains were codon optimized for expression in tobacco, pea and wheat. The 5'-*EcoRI* and 3'-*XbaI* restricted PCR fragments were subcloned into pUC18 and sequenced. Mutations in the mT and syn1 transmembrane domain were eliminated by PCR using the forward primer -40 (5'-GTT TTC CCA GTC ACG AC-3' (SEQ ID NO:9)) and the backward primers MUT7MT 5'-GGC TCT AGA CGC TCG AGT TTA GAA ATG CCT AGA TC-3' (SEQ ID NO:10) for mT and SYN1SHORT 5'-GGC TCT AGA CGC TCG AGT TTA AGT GAA GAA ATA AAT AAC AAT AAC AAC AAC-3' (SEQ ID NO:11) for syn1. The chalcone synthase 5' untranslated region and scFv24 was substituted by the *EcoRI* and *SalI* fragment from scFv24CW (Zimmermann et al. (1998)). The subsequent ligation of the *EcoRI*-*XbaI* fragments into the plant expression vector pSS, containing an double enhanced 35S promoter (Voss et al. (1995)), resulted in the final expression constructs pscFv24-kar1, pscFv24-mT, pscFv24-cytb5 and pscFv24-syn1 (Figure 12).

Transient expression in tobacco leaves

To analyze the expression level of the recombinant scFv-fusion proteins, *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 leaves were transiently transformed with recombinant *A. tumefaciens* and functional expression of the scFv24 domain of the fusion protein was analyzed by ELISA using anti-mAb24 antisera.

The vector constructs were transferred into *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 by liquid N₂ transformation (Höfgen and Willmitzer (1988)). Transient transformation of *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 was performed as described (Kapila et al., Plant Science 122 (1996) 101-108). To extract total soluble proteins, Tobacco leaves were frozen and ground in liquid nitrogen and scFv-fusion protein level was analysed by ELISA using anti-mAb24 antisera (Zimmermann et al. (1998)) as a primary antibody in combination with a 1:5000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, West Grove, PA) (Fischer et al. in: C. Cunningham, A.J.R. Porter, (Eds.), Methods in biotechnology Vol. 3: Recombinant proteins in plants: Production and Isolation of Clinically useful compounds. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ (1998), ELISA III).

The fusion proteins scFv24-mT, scFv24-syn1 and the control scFv24 lacking a C-terminal transmembrane domain were not detectable in plant extracts from transient transformation experiments (detection limit: 0.5 ng per gram leaf tissue). However, scFv24-kar1 and scFv24-cytb5 accumulated in the cytosol of plant cells to a maximum level of 1.8 ng and 1.0 ng per gram leaf tissue, respectively.

Conclusions

Generation of stable transformed *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 and bioassays to analyse the biological effects of cytosolic scFv24-kar1 and scFv24-cytb5 in transgenic tobacco plants are in progress. Based on our results with the scFv24-TcR β (example 1) integration of the fusion proteins into the plant cell membrane was expected via the C-terminal transmembrane domains KAR1 or syn1. The scFv24 is facing to the plant cytosol, which will result in an increased viral resistance because the biological effect of scFv24 is high in the plant cytosol and membrane integration targets the scFv24 to the localisation where the virus is most vulnerable. The virus replication and movement takes place at plant cell membrane systems, therefore virus disassembly will be effectively prevented.

Example 3: Viral resistance by expression of a molecular pathogenicideFusion of a nuclease activity to a recombinant antibody specific for TMV

The steps 1) to 16) of example 1 and/or the steps 1) to 2) of example 2 are repeated with the following adaptations.

- 1) The plant expression construct contains a 5' signal sequence to enable delivery of the recombinant antibody to the ER lumen and then secretion to the apoplast.
- 2) The transmembrane targeting domain is replaced by linker coupling the protein to a C-terminal fusion with a toxin – in this case an RNase enzyme which degrades cellular RNA, viral RNA, replicative forms and/or replicative intermediates.
- 3) Upon binding to the virions in the apoplast, the fusion protein will enter the cytosol of damaged cells via the entering virions, where the cytotoxic RNase will degrade viral RNA, replicative intermediates and replicative forms or/and cellular RNA and cause cell death of virally infected cells and therefore prevent replication and spread of the pathogen.

Anyone of skill in the art will recognise that these steps can be followed for any other pathogen by selecting antibodies or fragments thereof specific for the target pathogen. For example, antibodies can be raised against structural and non structural proteins of any pathogen. The RNase sequence(s) can be substituted against any enzyme sequence that interferes in the pathogen life cycle. Moreover, example 3 can be combined with examples 1-2 and 4-8 in any combination(s).

Construction of the scFv24 fusion (immunotoxin) expression cassette

To generate an apoplastic expressed immunotoxin, the TMV-specific scFv24 was fused to an N-terminal mammalian signal peptide and C-terminal toxin. The mouse N-terminal light chain signal peptide from the original antibody (mAb24) used to generate scFv24 was used to target fusion proteins to the secretory pathway. The domain III of the *Pseudomonas* exotoxin (PE) was selected for fusion with the C-terminus of scFv24. The domain III of the *Pseudomonas* exotoxin mediates the ADP-ribosylation of elongation factor 2, which arrests protein synthesis and causes cell death. To ensure proper folding of the expressed single chain antibody fragments, the cDNA construct contained the cellobiohydrolase I (CBHI) linker of *Trichoderma reesei* (Mallender and Voss, 1994, J. Biol. Chem. 269, 199-206) between the scFv24 fragment and the domain III of the PE. A 12 amino acid residue epitope tag (tag54)

was fused to the C-terminus via a Gly₄Ser linker to enable detection of the recombinant protein in plant extracts.

The PE domain III was PCR amplified from the plasmid PE38 (Theuer et al., Cancer Res 15, 340-347 (1993)) using the primers PE400-for 5'- GCG GAA TTC GAC GTC GCC ATG GCC TTC CTC GGC GAC GGC GGC GAC - 3' (SEQ ID NO:16) and PE-back 5'- GCG AAG CTT GTC GAC CGG CGG TTT GCC GGG CTG GCT G - 3' (SEQ ID NO:17). The primers contained restriction sites *EcoRI*, *AatII* and *NcoI* (PE400-for) and *SalI* and *HindIII* (PE-back) for cloning. The PCR fragment was subcloned via *EcoRI* and *HindIII* into pUC18 resulting in the construct PE400-intermediate and the sequence was verified by sequencing. To generate the immunotoxin, the scFv29 sequence from the bisCFv2429-apoplast in pUC18 (Fischer et al., *Eur. J. Biochem.* 262, 810-816 (1999)) was removed by *AatII* and *SalI* and exchanged by the *AatII* (internal restriction site) and *SalI* fragment of PE400-intermediate. Finally, the *EcoRI* and *SalI* fragment containing the chalcone synthase 5' untranslated region, the leader signal of mAb24 light chain (Voss et al., 1995), the scFv24, the CBHI linker and PE domain III was subcloned into the *EcoRI* and *SalI* restriction sites of pSS derivative containing the enhanced 35S promoter (Kay et al., 1987), the epitope tag54 and the CaMV termination sequence resulting in the final plant expression construct pscFv24-PE400 (Figure 13).

Conclusions

Generation of stable transformed *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 and bioassays to analyse the biological effects of scFv24-PE400 in transgenic tobacco plants are in progress. However, PE280-tag29 was transiently expressed in tobacco leaves and a slightly degraded product of was detected in plant extracts of transient transformed tobacco leaves, indicating that PE280-tag29 is most likely expressed and secreted to the apoplast and therefore not toxic to the plant cells (see example 7). Consequently, expression of the immunotoxin scFv24-PE400 will be non-toxic to plants.

The scFv24-PE fusion (scFv24-PE400) will be secreted to the apoplast of transgenic tobacco plants. During TMV infection the fusion protein will bind to the virus particle via the scFv24 (as shown for the full-size rAb24) and TMV virions that enter the cell will carry bound scFv24-toxin fusion. PE400 mediates the ADP-ribosylation of elongation factor 2, which arrests protein synthesis and causes cell death. PE is a very effective toxin, as only a few molecules are required to kill the infected cell, thus

preventing virus replication and spread, leading to highly resistant plants.

Molecular Pathogenicide fusion (RNase fusion)

A C- or N-terminal fusion of a coat protein specific antibody scFv gene (scFv24) with a cDNA encoding a RNase gene (for example *E. coli* RNase E) results in an scFv-enzyme fusion protein which can be targeted to the plant cytoplasm - or to cellular organelles, membranes, or the apoplast to interfere in viral replication.

Such an scFv-RNase fusion was engineered based on the TMV-specific scFv24 which binds to the intact TMV virions instead of coat protein alone. This scFv24 was chosen for targeting the viral RNA at the earliest timepoint of the viral infection cycle to immediately act on the released viral RNA upon viral disassembly. A second construct is based on a 30K specific scFv-RNase fusion which follows the same set up as given in Fig 14 based on the scFv24. This scFv is described in Example 5 (scFv 30-1 or scFv 30-2) and will be coexpressed with the scFv 24-RNaseE fusion in double transgenic plants transformed with both constructs. For fusion to the above mentioned scFv antibodies the *E. coli* RNase E. was selected [Claverie-Martin et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 266, 2843-2851] and connected to the scFv upon PCR-amplification using standard cloning technologies known by any person skilled in the art.

Example 4: Enhanced coat protein mediated resistance with an antibody-viral coat protein fusion protein

Fusion of a viral coat protein to a recombinant antibody specific for TMV

The steps 1) to 16) of example 1 and/or the steps 1) to 2) of example 2 are repeated with the following adaptations.

- 1) The transmembrane targeting domain listed in example 1 are removed but the C-terminal anchor and linker sequences of example 2 can be maintained.
- 2) The N-terminal signal sequence of example 1 is replaced by an upstream located (N-terminal) TMV coat protein monomer and then connected via a flexible linker to the recombinant antibody cDNA.
- 3) The fusion protein is expressed in the cytosol.
- 4) Alternatively, the transmembrane domain is replaced by a linker enabling C-

terminal fusion with the TMV coat protein monomer. The fusion protein is expressed in the cytosol (without N-terminal signal sequence) or sent into the secretory pathway via a N-terminal signal peptide.

Anyone of skill in the art will recognise that these steps can be followed for any other pathogen by selecting antibodies or fragments thereof specific for the target pathogen. For example, antibodies can be raised against structural and non structural proteins of any pathogen. The N-terminal coat protein sequence(s) can be substituted against any sequence (for example Glutathione S-Transferase, Thioredoxin, plant virus movement proteins, replicase, minimal proteins or domains thereof) that stabilises a cytosolic expressed recombinant antibody and interferes in the pathogen life cycle. Moreover, example 4 can be combined with examples 1-3 and 5-8 in any combination(s).

Construction of the scFv24 fusion expression cassettes

A cytoplasmically expressed protein (the coat protein of tobacco mosaic virus) was selected as fusion partner to analyse its effect on the function and stability of the TMV-specific scFv24. The tobacco mosaic virus coat protein (CP) was cloned 5' upstream of the scFv24 (Figure 15).

The gene fusion partner coat protein (CP) from TMV was amplified by PCR. cDNAs was amplified from a cDNA clone from TMV as a template. The forward primers introduced a *NcoI* restriction site (5' end) and the backward primers a C-terminal (Gly₄Ser)₂ linker sequence and an *AatII* restriction site (3' end). The following forward and backward primers were used for PCR amplification: CP-for 5'-ACT GCG CCA TGG CTT ACA GTA TCA CT-3' (SEQ ID NO:20), CP-back 5'-CCG TCA GAC GTC AGA ACC TCC ACC TCC ACT TCC GCC GCC TCC AGT TGC AGG ACC AGA GGT CCA AAC CAA ACC-3' (SEQ ID NO:21). The 5'-*NcoI* and 3'-*AatII* restricted PCR fragments were subcloned into a pUC18 derivative containing the TMV specific scFv24 (Zimmermann et al., 1998) flanked by the 5' Ω untranslated region (omega-sequence) and 3' untranslated region (Pw sequence) from TMV (Schmitz et al., Nucleic Acids Res 24 (1996) 257-263); (Gallie and Kobayashi, Gene 142 (1994) 159-165). A C-terminal His6- (H) or KDEL-sequence (K) were added to scFv24 of the fusion construct by PCR using the backward primers: His6-back 5'-CTA CCC CTC GAG TTT AGT GAT GGT GAT GGT GAT GAG CGG CCG CGT CGA CTG CAG

AGA CAG TGA CCA GAG TC-3' (SEQ ID NO:22) and KDEL-back 5'-CCC TCA CTC GAG TTT AGA GCT CAT CTT TCT CAG ATC CAC GAG CGG CCG CAG AAC CTC CAC CTC CGT CGA CTG CAG AGA CAG TGA CCA G-3' (SEQ ID NO:23). The subsequent ligation of the *EcoRI*-*Ascl* fragments into the plant expression vector pSS, containing an double enhanced 35S promoter (Voss et al., 1995), resulted in the final expression constructs CP-scFv24H and CP-scFv24K, which were used for analyzing scFv-fusion protein accumulation in the cytoplasm (Figure 15A).

For ER targeting and retention, the plant codon optimized leader sequence derived from the light chain of the murine monoclonal antibody mAb24 (Voss et al., 1995) was integrated between the 5' Ω untranslated region and scFv24 of the cytoplasmic construct containing the KDEL sequence, giving the plant expression vector L-CP-scFv24K (Figure 15C). For targeting the same construct to the apoplast, the c-terminal KDEL sequence was replaced by a His 6 sequence, giving the plant expression vector L-CP-scFv24H (Figure 15D).

Two expression vectors lacking a leader sequence and an N-terminal fusion partner but containing scFv24 with a C-terminal His6 or KDEL sequence were used as controls for cytoplasmic accumulation (scFv24H, scFv24K, Figure 15B).

Analysis of fusion protein accumulation in the ER

Accumulation of functional fusion protein in the ER was analysed by transient expression in *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 leaves and functional scFv24 was detected by a TMV-specific ELISA. Plant expression constructs were transferred into *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 by N_2 transformation (Höfgen and Willmitzer, Nucleic Acids Res 16 (1988) 9877). Transient transformation of *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 was performed as described (Kapila et al., Plant Science 122 (1996) 101-108). To extract total soluble proteins, Tobacco leaves were frozen and ground in liquid nitrogen and scFv-fusion protein level was analysed by ELISA and Western blot (Fischer et al., Methods in biotechnology Vol. 3: Recombinant proteins in plants: Production and Isolation of Clinically useful compounds. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ (1998), ELISA III). A Fab fragment of the mAb24 was used as the ELISA standard. Protein concentrations were determined with the BioRad Protein Assay using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as the standard.

The level of functional scFv24 detected for the ER retained L-CP-scFv24K fusion protein, amounted to 1 μ g per gram leaf material (average 0.6 μ g per gram leaf

material, Figure 16).

To verify the integrity of scFv24 fusion proteins, western blot analysis was carried out using affinity purified L-CP-scFv24K. For affinity purification of scFv-fusion proteins from plant extracts (prepared as described above), TMV virions were coupled to an activated CNBr sepharose matrix. 300mg of CNBr activated sepharose 4B matrix (Pharmacia, Freiburg, Germany) was resuspended in 1ml PBS pH 7.4 (1.37M NaCl, 27mM KCl, 81mM Na₂HPO₄, 15mM KH₂PO₄) and incubated for 1h at RT on a rotator. The matrix was pelleted (5000xg, 5min, RT), resuspended in 1ml PBS pH7.4 containing 10mg TMV virions and incubated for 2h at RT on a rotator. The TMV coupled matrix was centrifuged (5000xg, 5min, RT), resuspended in 1ml PBS pH 7.4 containing 1% (w/v) BSA and 1% (w/v) powdered milk and rotated over night at 8°C to block nonspecific binding sites. The TMV coupled matrix was washed three times with PBS pH 7.4 and resuspended in 1ml PBS pH 7.4. 30µl TMV-matrix was added to 1.5ml plant extract (prepared as described above) and incubated for 1h at RT on a rotator. Then the TMV-matrix was washed three times with PBS and the TMV-matrix bound proteins were solubilised in sample buffer and analysed by SDS-PAGE (Laemmli, Nature 227 (1970) 680-685) and Coomassie brilliant blue staining. Intact fusion proteins were detected with the expected size of 49.5kDa for L-CP-scFv24K (Figure 17).

Analysis of fusion protein accumulation in the cytoplasm

For transient cytoplasmic accumulation of fusion proteins *N. benthamiana* leaves were used. The vector constructs were transferred into *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 by liquid N₂ transformation (Höfgen and Willmitzer, Nucleic Acids Res. 16: 9877 (1988)). Transient transformation of *N. benthamiana* was performed as described (Kapila et al., Plant Science 122 (1996) 101-108). To extract total soluble proteins, Tobacco leaves were frozen and ground in liquid nitrogen and scFv-fusion protein level was analysed by ELISA and Western blot (Fischer et al., Methods in biotechnology Vol. 3: Recombinant proteins in plants: Production and Isolation of Clinically useful compounds. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ (1998), ELISA III). A Fab fragment of the mAb24 was used as the ELISA standard.

Analysis using the constructs containing the C-terminal His6 sequence (Figure 15) demonstrated that CP-scFv24H was detectable in a TMV-specific ELISA, with an average protein level of 0.9ng functional active scFv24 per gram leaf material.

Protein levels of the control construct scFv24H lacking an N-terminal fusion partner was below the ELISA detection limit (0.5ng) (Figure 18).

We evaluated the influence of a C-terminal KDEL sequence on the accumulation of scFv24 fusion proteins. Addition of the C-terminal KDEL sequence increased the level of the fusion protein (Figure 18). The average protein level of the KDEL tagged CP-scFv24K was 3fold higher than CP-scFv24H (2.9ng per gram leaf material). Level of the control construct scFv24K was below the ELISA detection threshold. A control ELISA performed without the antigen TMV gave no signal, indicating that values of CP-scFv24H and CP-scFv24K could not be correlated with specific binding of CP-fusions to anti TMV polyclonal sera.

Characterization of transgenic plants expressing cytoplasmic scFv fusion proteins

We then tested to which level the cytoplasmically expressed CP-scFv24K accumulated in stably transformed tobacco plants. Transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 were generated by the leaf disc transformation with recombinant *A. tumefaciens* and transgenic T₀ plants were generated from transformed callus (Horsch et al., Science 227: 1229-1231 (1985)). Extraction of total soluble proteins from tobacco leaves and subsequent analysis of scFv24 by ELISA were performed as described by Fischer *et al.* (Fischer et al., In: Cunningham C, Porter AJR (eds), Recombinant proteins in plants: Production and Isolation of Clinically useful compounds, pp. 45-68. Vol. 3. Humana press, Totowa, NJ (1998)). For ELISA anti-mAb24 antisera (Zimmermann et al., Molecular Breeding 4: 369-379 (1998)) was used as a primary antibody in combination with a 1:5000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, West Grove, PA) (Fischer, Methods in biotechnology Vol. 3: Recombinant proteins in plants: Production and Isolation of Clinically useful compounds. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ (1998), ELISA III).

Protein levels of CP-scFv24K showed an average of 1.2 ng per gram leaf material in 12 analysed transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 plants. The maximum level of detergent extracted CP-scFv24K was 2.3 ng per g leaf tissue, thus higher than the scFv24 without fusion partner and KDEL sequence (maximum 1.8 ng/g leaf tissue; average 0.8 ng/g leaf tissue; Zimmermann et al., Molecular Breeding 4 (1998)).

Conclusions

Bioassays to analyse the biological effects of cytosolic CP-scFv24K in transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 plants are in progress. Based on other inoculation experiments, an increase of viral resistance can be expected when compared to cytosolic scFv24 without fusion partner which creates a resistant phenotype, based on the increase of scFv24 protein levels and the presence of TMV-CP and TMV-RNA sequences to induce CP-mediated and RNA mediated virus resistance in addition to the primary antibody resistance.

Example 5: Enhanced resistance by the expression of an anti-viral movement protein antibody

Expression of recombinant antibodies against the TMV 30K movement protein in transgenic tobacco

The steps 1) to 16) of example 1 and/or the steps 1) to 2) of example 2 are repeated with the following adaptations.

- 1) Specific antibodies recognising the TMV 30K movement protein are raised by hybridoma technology, phage or ribosome display screening and subsequently cloned to engineer single chain antibodies or any recombinant form thereof.
- 2) The antibody is expressed in the cytosol or sent into the secretory pathway or membrane localised. The recombinant antibody may cause the desired biological effect without a fusion partner so the toxin sequence may be omitted.
- 3) For ELISA and surface plasmon resonance the test antigen for antibody function is the native or the recombinant TMV 30K movement protein or domains thereof.
- 4) Additionally to the bioassays listed in example 1 generated transgenic plants will be tested for broad spectrum resistance against different viral strains or viral genera by inoculation of transgenic plants with virions or infectious transcripts.

Anyone of skill in the art will recognise that these steps can be followed for any other viral pathogen by selecting antibodies or fragments thereof specific for the movement protein and any functional domain. Moreover, example 5 can be combined with examples 1-4 and 6-8 in any combination(s).

Generation of anti-30K movement protein specific antibodies by phage display

Movement protein (MP) - specific recombinant antibodies were generated by phage display using bacterially expressed 30K TMV movement protein fused to GST to

facilitate affinity purification (Pharmacia GST-System). Female Balb/c mice were immunized using a standard protocol with soluble GST-30K fusion proteins or domains thereof. The native structure of the GST and the fused 30K was tested by GST activity assay (Smith et al., *Gene* 67 (1988), 31-40) and RNA binding of the 30K (Vaquero, J. Gen. Virol. 78 (1997) 2095-2099). Antibody V_H- and V_L- regions were subsequently rescued from plasma cells of hyperimmunized mice and assembled to scFv antibodies using an SOE-PCR protocol (Mc Cafferty et al., *Nature* 348 (1990), 552-554). An extended set of PCR-primers for V_H and V_L family specific amplification was developed and used (Table 4). Using this protocol a library > 10⁶ different scFv was generated for scFv presentation on the phage surface in fusion to the gene III M13-pilot protein. Using phage display a panel of 10 different movement protein specific antibodies could be isolated and characterised which all showed strong binding affinity to the bacterial expressed GST-30K fusion protein and not to the bacterially expressed GST-protein alone (Figure 20a). The binding domain of these 10 antibodies on the 30K were mapped by expression of 5 distinct 30K domains and ELISA analysis (Figure 20b). For evaluation of the biological effects of plant expressed anti 30K antibodies the 6 strongest binders were subsequently cloned into plant expression vectors and expressed and analysed by phenotypic evaluation and molecular analyses to evaluate their effects on viral infection with TMV. From these experiments two plant lines expressing the antibody fragments scFv 30-1 and scFv 30-2 (Figure 21) showed significant inhibition on TMV infection confirmed by a healthy phenotype of the plants, reduced amount and delayed accumulation of TMV coat protein compared to wild type *N. tabacum* Petite Havana SR1.

Construction of the anti-30K antibody expression cassette for expression in plants

To express the anti-30K antibodies in the cytosol of *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1, the antibody fragments were cloned into the plant expression vector pSSH1 (Figure 19) (Voss et al., *Molecular Breeding* 1 (1995), 39-50). The cloning of the bispecific antibody fragment BISCA2429, which was used as parental construct for anti-30K antibody expression, including the TMV-derived Omega sequence, into the plant expression vector pSSH1 has been described (Fischer, et al., (1999) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 262, 810-816). For cloning of the resulting scFvs into this vector the primers used for establishing the phage display library contained the restriction enzyme sites *Nco* I and *Sal* I which facilitated the in-frame cloning into the

intermediate construct pUC18-BISCA2429 (5'-UTR of TMV omega sequence, scFv, His6 tag). For expression in plant cells, the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragment (Figure 19) of this intermediate construct was subcloned into the *EcoRI* and *XbaI* restriction sites of the plant expression vector pSS containing the enhanced 35S promoter (Kay et al., Science 236 (1987), 1299-1302) and the CaMV termination sequence (Figure 19). The final constructs contained an expression cassette starting with the 35 S promoter followed by the 5'-UT of the TMV omega sequence, the 30K specific scFv, a His6 tag for affinity purification and the 3'-UTR of CaMV (Figure 19 and 21).

Generation and molecular characterization of transgenic plants

Upon completion of scFv analysis *in vitro*, tobacco plants were stably transformed for *in vivo* testing of scFv effects on TMV infection. Transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 were generated by leaf disc transformation using recombinant *A. tumefaciens* and transgenic T₀ plants were generated from transformed callus (Horsch et al., Science 227 (1985), 1229-1231). From the T₀ generation several plants were selected and homozygous T₁ and T₂ progenies selected for phenotypic and molecular evaluation of scFv-antibody mediated resistance.

To test if the anti 30K-specific scFv expression in transgenic plants had an biological effect on TMV replication and spread within the plant, a time course based TMV coat protein assay was established where the amount of viral coat protein was monitored in upper leaves after infection of transgenic and nontransgenic plants with TMV *vulgare*. Extraction of TMV coat protein from systemically infected tobacco leaves and subsequent analysis on SDS-PAGE (Laemmli, Nature 227 (1970), 680-685) was performed as described by Fischer *et al.* (Fischer et al., (1998) In: Cunningham C, Porter AJR (eds), Recombinant proteins in plants: Production and Isolation of Clinically useful compounds, pp. 45-68. Vol. 3. Humana press, Totowa, NJ). Monitoring the coat protein expression in systemically infected wild type and control tobacco leaves by western blotting revealed increasing amounts of the TMV coat protein. In case of plants expressing the 30K specific scFvs, accumulation of coat protein was significantly delayed and levels were significantly lower compared to wild type *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1, and transgenic *N. tabacum* Petite Havana SR 1 expressing a non-related scFv, used as control (Figure 22). Although coat protein was detectable in all transgenic plants they developed no or only mild symptoms based on phenotypic evaluations (Table 3).

Bioassays to test viral resistance

To analyse the biological effects of the TMV-specific 30K scFvs on viral resistance, T₁ and T₂ progenies of plant lines expressing the scFv 30-1 and scFv 30-2 S (Figure 21) were inoculated with TMV. Seeds were collected from different T₀ plants and germinated on MSMO agar medium supplemented with 2 % (w/v) sucrose, 0.4 µg/ml thiamine, 0.4 µg/ml glycine, 0.1 µg/ml nicotine acid, 0.1 µg/ml pyridoxine and 75 µg/ml kanamycin. Germinating plants were transferred to soil and fully grown T₀ plants self fertilized for seed collection. Kanamycin-resistant homozygous T₁ and T₂ plants were used for inoculation with TMV-*vulgare* (40 ng/ml). Four to six week old plants were mechanically infected on two lower leaves using 200 µl of the TMV containing suspension. *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 wild type or transgenic plants producing the tumorspecific scFv T84.66 as a control were inoculated as described. Disease symptoms were monitored 7 to 14 days post inoculation (p.i.). Upon infection of the two lower leaves with TMV, systemic spread of the virus was monitored by phenotypic evaluation of upper leaves after 7, 9, 11 and 14 days p.i. All control plants were systemically infected, and showed increasing amounts of viral coat protein. Transgenic T₁ and T₂ plants derived from different T₀-plant lines expressing scFv 30K-1 or scFv 30K-2 showed no visible or weak disease symptoms on the upper leaves (Table 3). In cases where the symptom development was visible this was consistent among plants derived from one plant line. In the case of the scFv 30K-2 one plant line was obtained which showed severe symptoms in the T₁ as well as in the T₂ generation. This may be due to positional effects of the transgene or silencing effect of the transgene in the T₁ and T₂ generation. Furthermore, SDS-PAA based analysis and subsequent western-blotting of TMV coat protein accumulation over 72 hrs p.i. in infected plants demonstrated that all of these plants accumulated virus particles in the upper leaves although to a lower degree (Figure 22). This lead to the conclusion that expression of movement protein specific scFv can prevent symptom onset and delay on CP accumulation upon TMV infection.

Other viral movement proteins

To broaden the application of antiviral antibodies in transgenic plants for engineering resistance the 3a movement protein of cucumber mosaic virus was expressed in *E. coli* as a GST-fusion (Vaquero et al., (1997) J. Gen. Virol. 78, 2095-2099). Specific

anti-3a antibodies were generated by phage display and hybridoma technology using bacterially expressed 3a CMV movement protein as already described for the 30K movement protein of TMV (Figure 19). The mAb 3a-2 was cloned from hybridoma cells (Krebber et al., (1997). J. Immunol. Methods 201, 35-55) and the resulting scFv 3a-2 characterized *in vitro* by ELISA technique (Figure 23a). Using epitope mapping by peptide display the epitope of the antibody could be mapped to a distinct region on the 3a movement protein.

Table 3:

Phenotypic evaluation of TMV-30K-specific scFv expressing transgenic *N. tabacum* plants in the T₁ and T₂ generation. Different plants were tested from the T₁ and the T₂ generation for phenotypically visible disease symptoms upon infection of two lower leaves with 40 ng/ml TMV. Disease symptoms were monitored at 7, 9, 11 and 14 days p.i. in the most upper leaves.

Construct	T ₁ -Plants		T ₂ -Plants	
	No. of infected plants	symptoms	No. of infected plants	symptoms
pSS-scFv-30-1	55	no symptoms after 11 to 14 days	22	weak symptoms after 14 days in all plants
pSS-scFv-30-2	124	weak or medium, rarely severe symptoms	65	no to weak, rarely severe symptoms

Table 4:

Primers used for amplifying murine V_H and V_L antibody domains for scFv generation. These primers were used for cloning of scFvs prior to phage display as well as for cloning scFvs from preexisting hybridoma cell lines ("hybridoma rescue"). The specificity of all primers according to the Kabat-database (Kabat: "Sequences of immunological interest", 1991) is listed, all primers contain a 5'- noncoding 10 bp overhang to facilitate restriction enzyme digestion and cloning. For cloning V_H-fragments restriction enzymes *Sfi* I, *Fse* I, for cloning V_L-fragments restriction enzymes *Asc* I/ *Not* I were used.

Name	Specificity according to Kabat (1991)	Overhang/ Enzyme Region	Annealing region
MPD VHF 1	Mu V _H IA Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	GAK GTR CAG CTT CAG GAG TCR GGA
MPD VHF 2	Mu V _H IB Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	CAG GTG MAG CTG AWG GAR TCT GG
MPD VHF 3	Mu V _H IIA Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	GAG GTC CAG CTR CAR CAR TCT GGA CC
MPD VHF 4	Mu V _H IIA Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	CAG GTW CAG CTS CAG CAG TCT G
MPD VHF 5	Mu V _H IIB Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	SAG GTC CAR CTG CAG SAR YCT GGR
MPD VHF 6	Mu V _H IIC Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	GAG GTT CAG CTG CAG CAG TCT GGG
MPD VHF 7	Mu V _H IIIA Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	GAR GTG AAG CTG GTG GAR TCT GGR
MPD VHF 8	Mu V _H IIIB Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	GAG GTG AAG STY MTC GAG TCT GGA
MPD VHF 9	Mu V _H IIIC Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	GAR GTG AAG CTK GAK GAG WCT GR
MPD VHF 10	Mu V _H IIID Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	GAV GTG MWG CTK GTG GAG TCT GGK
MPD VHF 11	Mu V _H IIID Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	GAG GTG CAR CTK GTT GAG TCT GGT G
MPD VHF 12	Mu V _H VA Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	SAG GTY CAG CTK CAG CAG TCT GGA
MPD VHF 13	Mu V _H 1 Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	CAG ATC CAG TTG GTG CAG TCT GGA
MPD VHF 14	Mu V _H 2 Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	CAG GTS CAC STG RWG SAG TCT GGG
MPD VHF 15	Mu V _H 3 Front	CAG GTS CAC STG RWG SAG TCT GGG	CAG GTT ACT CTR AAA GWG TST GGC C
MPD VHF 16	Mu V _H 4 Front	C ATG CCA TGA CTC GCG GCC CAG CCG GCC ATG GCC	GAT GTG AAC TTG GAA GTG TCT GG
MPD VLF1	Mu kappa V _L I Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	GAC ATT GTG MTG WCH CAG TCT CCA
MPD VLF2	Mu kappa V _L I Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	GAC ATT CAG ATG ATT CAG TCT CC
MPD VLF3	Mu kappa V _L I Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	GAC ATT GTT CTC WHC CAG TCT CC
MPD VLF4	Mu kappa V _L I Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	GAC ATT GTG MTG WCH CAG TCT CAA
MPD VLF5	Mu kappa V _L II Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	GAT RTT KTG ATG ACC CAR RCK GCA
MPD VLF6	Mu kappa V _L II Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	GAT RTT KTG ATG ACC CAR RCK CCA
MPD VLF7	Mu kappa V _L II Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	GAC ATT GTG ATG ACC CAR BHT G
MPD VLF8	Mu kappa V _L II Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC	GAT ATT KTG ATG ACC CAR AYT

Name	Specificity according to Kabat (1991)	Overhang/ Enzyme Region	Annealing region
		GCG CCT	CC
MPD VLF9	Mu kappa V _L III Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	RAM ATT GTG MTG ACC CAA TYT CCW
MPD VLF10	Mu kappa V _L IV Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	SAA AWT GTK CTS ACC CAG TCT CCA
MPD VLF11	Mu kappa V _L V/VI Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	GAY ATY CAG ATG ACM CAG WCT AC
MPD VLF12	Mu kappa V _L V/VI Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	GAY ATY CAG ATG ACH CAG WCT CC
MPD VLF13	Mu kappa V _L V/VI Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	GAC ATT GTG ATG ACT CAG GCT AC
MPD VLF14	Mu lambda V _L 1 Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	CAR SYT GTK STS ACT CAG KAA T
MPD VLF15	Mu lambda V _L 1 Front	CAT GCC ATG ACT CGC GGC GCG CCT	CAR SYT GTK STS ACT CAG KCA T
MPD VHB1	Mu V _H J _H 1 Back	CTA GTG GTA CTC CAC GGC CGG CCC CTG	MRG AGA CDG TGA SMG TRG TC
MPD VHB2	Mu V _H J _H 2 Back	CTA GTG GTA CTC CAC GGC CGG CCC CTG	MRG AGA CDG TGA SRG TRG TG
MPD VHB3	Mu V _H J _H 3 Back	CTA GTG GTA CTC CAC GGC CGG CCC CTG	MRG AGA CDG TGA SCA GRG TC
MPD VHB4	Mu V _H J _H 4 Back	CTA GTG GTA CTC CAC GGC CGG CCC CTG	MRG AGA CDG TGA STG AGG TT
MPD VHB5	Mu V _H J _H 4 Back	CTA GTG GTA CTC CAC GGC CGG CCC CTG	MRG AGA CDG TGA STG ARA TT
MPD VLB1	Mu kappa V _L I/II/IV back	CT AGT GGT ACT CCA CGC GGC CGC GTC GAC	AGC MCG TTT CAG YTC CAR YTT
MPD VLB2	Mu kappa V _L I/II/IV back	CT AGT GGT ACT CCA CGC GGC CGC GTC GAC	AGC MCG TTT KAT YTC CAR YTT
MPD VLB3	Mu kappa V _L IV back	CT AGT GGT ACT CCA CGC GGC CGC GTC GAC	AGC MCG TTT BAK YTC TAT CTT TGT
MPD VLB4	Mu kappa V _L I/II/V back	CT AGT GGT ACT CCA CGC GGC CGC GTC GAC	AGC MCG AGC MCG TTT TAT TTC CAA MKT
MPD VLB5	Mu lambda V _L back	CT AGT GGT ACT CCA CGC GGC CGC GTC GAC	CTG RCC TAG GAC AGT SAS YTT GGT

Example 6: Enhanced resistance by the expression of antibodies against the Tobacco mosaic virus replicaseExpression of antibodies against the TMV 54K/TMV 183K replicase subunits in transgenic tobacco

The steps 1) to 16) of example 1 and/or the steps 1) to 2) of example 2 are repeated with the following adaptations:

- 1) Specific antibodies recognising the TMV 54K/183K replicase are raised by hybridoma and phage display or ribosome technology by using recombinant TMV 54K / TMV 183K proteins as the antigen and cloned to engineer single chain antibody fragments or any recombinant form thereof including bispecific scFvs.
- 2) These antibodies are expressed in the cytosol or targeted to cytoplasmic face of intracellular membranes, where the virus replication complexes are formed, by using a C-terminal sequence as described in example 2. The recombinant antibody may cause the desired biological effect without a fusion to a toxin.
- 3) For ELISA and surface plasmon resonance the test antigen for antibody function is the native or the recombinant TMV 54K and 183K replicase proteins or domains thereof.
- 4) Additionally to the bioassays listed in example 1, generated transgenic plants will be tested for broad spectrum resistance against different viral strains or viral genera by inoculation of transgenic plants with virions or infectious transcripts.

Anyone of skill in the art will recognise that these steps can be followed for any other viral pathogen by selecting antibodies or fragments thereof specific for the movement protein and any functional domain. Moreover, example 6 can be combined with examples 1-5 and 7-8 in any combination(s).

Anti-replicase specific scFv (scFv 54K)

An alternative method to prevent viral infection is based on the intracellular expression of antibodies such as replicase specific scFvs which can interact with the viral replicase to interfere or inhibit viral proliferation in infected cells. As described in example 5 for the 30K movement protein the "54K protein" of TMV, was expressed in *E. coli* and antibodies were generated using standard hybridoma technologies available to anyone skilled in the art. The 54K protein can be considered as an integrative component of the 183K protein, the major replicase protein of TMV, since it is expressed from its own subgenomic RNA and promoter but it shares the same

reading frame of the last 1400 bases of the 183K protein. Herein conserved regions such as the GDD-Motif are encoded which can be found in all plant viral replicases. Antibody V_H and V_L regions were cloned from hybridomas using the same set of primers described in example 5 and assembled into scFv antibodies using standard cloning procedures (Krebber et al. (1997), J. Immunol. Methods 201, 35-55). The activity of the resulting scFv antibody scFv 54-1 (Figure 23a) was monitored by western blot detection and ELISA using the bacterial expressed GST-54K. The epitope of the scFv 54-1 was determined by peptide display (Figure 25b) and could be mapped to a distinct region on the 54K/ 183K gene of TMV.

Plant virus minimal proteins

Alternative viral proteins for engineering viral resistance are the "plant viral minimal proteins" 1min, 2min and 3min as described for PLRV. One characteristic of the minimalprotein 3min is its ability to bind to nucleic acids whereby preferentially single stranded RNA is bound (Figure 24) (Prüfer et al., (1992) EMBO Journal 11, 1111-1117). 3min-specific antibodies were generated by hybridoma technology using bacterial expressed GST-3 min as antigen and the antibody scFv 3min was cloned from hybridoma cells (Figure 23c). Since the 3min protein of PLRV is described as a nucleic acid binding protein the native structure of the bacterial expressed GST-3min could be confirmed by nucleic acid binding assays using GST 3min and *in vitro* transcripts of viral RNA and DNA. Using the antibody scFv 3 min the RNA/DNA binding activity of the 3min protein could be completely blocked *in vitro*. Using epitope mapping by peptide display the epitope of the antibody could be mapped to a distinct region on the 3min minimal protein whereby the epitope identified by peptide display overlapped with the GST cloning region of the GST-3min construct (Figure 25c).

Epitope mapping of antiviral scFvs (scFv 29, 3min and 54 K-1)

For elucidation of viral epitopes recognised by the developed recombinant antibodies two peptide display libraries were used for epitope mapping of monoclonal antibodies (Cortese et al. (1995), Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 6, 73-80). Both libraries express 9mer random peptides at the N-terminus of filamentous phage pVIII protein. One library displays the peptides in linear form, the other library displays the peptides flanked by two Cysteine-residues which form a disulfide-bridge, constraining the peptide to a

loop structure.

To identify the epitopes of scFv 29, scFv 54-1, and scFv 3min immunotubes were coated using 20µg affinity purified antigen using a standard procedure available to anyone skilled in the art (Cortese et al., (1995) Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 6, 73-80). For the first round of panning, 5×10^{12} phages in 1ml PBS were incubated with the immobilized antigen (16 h, 4°C). After extensive washing (15 times PBST and 5 times PBS), bound phages were eluted with 1ml Glycine-HCl pH 2.2, 0.1% (w/v) BSA (10min, 20°C), neutralized with 60 µl 2M Tris and used for infection of *E. coli*. The titer of eluted phages was determined by plating 100 µl of the infected bacteria on 2 x TY-Amp-plates and counting the colony forming units. Enrichment factors were calculated upon comparison to a control panning using BSA as antigen. Monoclonal phages from the third round of panning were tested for reactivity to their antigens by phage-ELISA.

Positively identified phages from phage ELISA, using both phage libraries individually on all scFvs, were subsequently sequenced. Obtained sequences were aligned and the resulting consensus sequence was determined. In all three cases (scFv 29, scFv 54-1 and scFv 3min) a consensus sequence could be determined (Figure 25). The resulting consensus sequence could also be mapped back to the parental sequence of the antigen the antibodies were generated against. Since the 9mer random peptide library presents preferentially linear peptides it is considered that all three epitopes of the scFvs represent a linear motif on the antigen. The epitope of scFv29, scFv54-1 and scFv3min were determined by peptide display and a consensus sequence was mapped for each scFv (Figure 25). For the scFv29, the consensus sequence was mapped to a distinct region of the coat protein (Figure 25a) and the scFv54-1 consensus sequence was mapped to a distinct region of the TMV 54K protein (Figure 25b). For the scFv3min the consensus sequence contained part of the GST and 3min proteins (Figure 25c) at the point where the 3min was fused to the GST. ScFv3min can be considered useful for engineering virus resistance as it inhibits the nucleic acid binding activity of the 3min protein (Figure 24).

Example 7: *In vivo* assembly of a molecular pathogenicide

In vivo assembly of a molecular pathogenicide consisting of a TMV specific antibody labelled with an epitope specific single chain antibody and an epitope tag labelled toxin

The following steps are taken:

- 1) Antibodies are generated against intact TMV virions and monoclonals are generated by hybridoma technology.
- 2) Hybridoma cell lines are cloned and cDNA sequences encoding the antibody variable regions are cloned to generate a single chain antibody or any recombinant version thereof binding to the TMV virions (scFv24).
- 3) The single chain antibody binding to the intact virions (scFv24) is fused to a cloned cDNA from the single chain antibody (scFv-epitag29), which binds to a specific amino acid epitope (epitag29), using a flexible linker such as the linker peptide of *Trichoderma reesi* cellobiohydrolase I (CBHI) to generate a recombinant protein which recognises the pathogen, TMV, and the epitope tag. The scFv-epitag29 has been previously generated (by conventional hybridoma technology and then cloned as an scFv) and the specific epitope identified by phage peptide display. Any other high affinity antibody recognising an identified peptide epitope would be suitable as one half of the binding pair with its corresponding epitope as the other partner.
- 4) The recombinant gene from step 3 is inserted in a microbial or eukaryotic expression vector.
- 5) The binding specificity and function (i.e. specificity and affinity) of the recombinant protein from step 3 is checked after expression in a heterologous host, such as in the periplasm of *E.coli*.
- 6) A signal sequence is added to the N-terminus of the recombinant bispecific scFv construct from step 3, to permit delivery of the protein to the ER and secretion to the apoplast upon expression in plants. A 5' untranslated and a 3' untranslated region and a detection tag sequence (i.e. c-myc) will be introduced by recombinant DNA technology, if necessary.
- 7) The chimeric gene from step 6 is inserted into a plant expression vector, e.g. pSS (Voss et al., 1995). Suitable plant expression vectors include suitable promoter, enhancer, terminator and selection marker sequences. In case of markerless and vectorless gene transfer selection marker sequences can be

omitted.

- 8) The cDNA encoding a RIP (ribosome inactivating protein) fused via a suitable linker to the epitag-29 epitope tag (either at the N- or C-terminus), which is specifically recognised by scFv-epitag29, is prepared in parallel to generate a second independent expression construct encoding a tagged RIP gene.
- 9) The tagged RIP gene is inserted in a microbial or eukaryotic expression vector and the functionality of the RIP-epitope fusion is checked upon expression in a heterologous host.
- 10) A second, independent plant expression vector, such as pSS, containing the recombinant tagged RIP gene with an N-terminal signal peptide will be prepared, as described in step 6 and step 7. The tagged RIP sequence can then be integrated either in tandem array on the same plasmid as the fusion protein from step 3 or integrated in a second independent plasmid. Note that the sequences remain discrete even if they are in tandem array.
- 11) Both plant expression constructs listed in steps 6 and 10 are transformed into two independent plant lines or they are co-transformed into the same plant genome, or if the antibody fusion protein from step 3 and the tagged toxin from step 10 are integrated in tandem array that construct is transformed into the same plants.
- 12) Regenerated plants are screened using the selection marker for integration of the fusion gene in the independent plant lines or the co-transformed lines from step 11.
- 13) Transgenic plants that express only one of either the antibody fusion protein from step 3 or epitope tagged RIP from step 10 are then sexually crossed to give offspring which will produce both proteins. Plants producing both proteins, whether from this or earlier steps, will produce assembled protein complexes where the two binding partners, the epitope specific antibody (scFv-epitag29) and the epitope bind and permit assembly of a molecular pathogenicide protein complex.
- 14) Expression of the bispecific scFv fusion protein and/or the tagged RIP as well as the *in vivo* assembled molecular pathogenicide is monitored by western blotting cell extracts, ELISA or surface plasmon resonance analysis. Activity of the bispecific scFv is checked by ELISA using intact TMV virions as the antigen.

- 15) Activity of the assembled molecular pathogenicide is checked by ELISA and cytotoxicity assays.
- 16) Localisation of the fusion protein is checked by indirect immuno-fluorescence, confocal microscopy or immuno-electron microscopy and western blotting or ELISA or surface plasmon resonanace analysis of the intercellular washing fluid.
- 17) The biological activity of the *in vivo* assembled molecular pathogenicide against TMV is assayed by bioassays on the generated transgenic plants using virions or infectious transcripts.

Anyone of skill in the art will recognise that these steps can be followed for any other pathogen by selecting antibodies or fragments thereof specific for the target pathogen. For example, antibodies can be raised and cloned against structural and non structural proteins of any pathogen. Instead of RIPs, similar toxins with cell killing activity or interference in pathogenicity (RNAase, DNase etc.) can be used. Assembly of molecular pathogenicides can be achieved by using any epitope tag and a given epitope specific antibody with a suitable and stable molecular interaction *in vivo*, or any other pair of proteins that bind to each other. Moreover, example 7 can be combined with examples 1-6 and 8 in any combination(s).

Constructs for analysing assembly of fusion partners

To analyse assembly of a molecular pathogenicide consisting of a TMV specific antibody labelled with an epitope specific single chain antibody and an epitope tag labelled toxin different constructs were generated and assembly was studied by immunoblot and ELISA.

TMV specific antibody labelled with an epitope specific single chain antibody (bscFv2429): The single chain antibody binding to the intact virions (scFv24) was fused to a cloned cDNA from the single chain antibody scFv-epitag29, which binds to a specific amino acid epitope (epitag29), using the flexible linker peptide of *Trichoderma reesi* cellobiohydrolase I (CBHI) to generate a recombinant protein which recognises the pathogen, TMV, and the epitope tag. The scFv-epitag29 has been previously generated (by convential hybridoma technology and then cloned as an scFv) as described for the scFv24 (Schillberg et al., *Transgenic Research* 8, 255-263 (1999)) and the specific epitope identified by phage peptide display. The mouse

N-terminal light chain signal peptide from the original antibody (mAb24) used to generate scFv24 was used to target bisFv2429 to the secretory pathway. For expression in plant cells, the 5' UT from the chalcone synthase was introduced and the cassette was inserted between the enhanced 35S promoter and the CaMV termination sequence in the pSS expression vector (Figure 26) (Fischer et al., *Eur. J. Biochem.* 262, 810-816 (1999)).

Epitope tag labelled toxin (PE280-tag29 and PE400-tag29): Two constructs were generated containing parts of the *Pseudomonas* exotoxin (PE) and the C-terminal epitag-29 fused via a Gly₄Ser linker. The construct PE280-tag29 (Figure 26B) contains the sequence from amino acid (aa) 280 to aa 609, which comprises domain II and domain III from PE. The construct PE400-tag29 (Figure 26C) contains PE domain III from aa 400 to aa 609. PE domain III mediates the ADP-ribosylation of elongation factor 2, which arrests the protein synthesis and causes cell death. The epitag-29, which is specifically recognised by scFv-epitag29, was fused C-terminal to both constructs via Gly₄Ser linker. The mouse N-terminal light chain signal peptide from the murine monoclonal antibody mAb24 was used to target PE280-tag29 and PE400-tag29 to the secretory pathway.

The PE280 and PE400 were PCR amplified from the plasmid PE38 using the following primers: for PE280: PE280-for 5'- GCG GAA TTC GAC GTC GCC ATG GGC TGG GAA CAA CTG GAG CAG -3' (SEQ ID NO:157) and PE-back 5'- GCG AAG CTT GTC GAC CGG CGG TTT GCC GGG CTG GCT G - 3' (SEQ ID NO:158); for PE400: PE400-for 5'- GCG GAA TTC GAC GTC GCC ATG GCC TTC CTC GGC GAC GGC GGC GAC - 3' (SEQ ID NO:159) and PE-back 5'- GCG AAG CTT GTC GAC CGG CGG TTT GCC GGG CTG GCT G - 3' (SEQ ID NO:160). The primers contained restriction sites *EcoRI*, *AatII* and *NcoI* (PE280-for and PE400-for) and *HindIII* (PE-back) for cloning. The PCR fragments were subcloned via *EcoRI* and *HindIII* into pUC18 resulting in the constructs PE280- and PE400-intermediate and the sequence was verified by sequencing. The *NcoI/SalI* fragment from both constructs (PE280- and PE400-intermediate) was subcloned into a pUC18 derivative containing the chalcone synthase 5' untranslated region, the codon optimized leader signal from mAb24 light chain and the C-terminal epitope tag29 resulting in the constructs PE280-tag29 and PE400-tag29. For expression in plant cells, the *EcoRI/XbaI* fragments (Figure 26) of PE280-tag29 and PE400-tag29 were subcloned

into the *EcoRI* and *XbaI* restriction sites of the plant expression vector pSS (Voss et al., Molecular Breeding 1: 39-50 (1995)) containing the enhanced 35S promoter (Kay et al., Science 236: 1299-1302 (1987)) and the CaMV termination sequence (Figure 26B and C).

Control construct, epitope tag labelled GST (GST-tag29): To analyse the assembly of the epitag29 and the corresponding antibody, the control construct GST-tag29 was generated by introducing the epitag-29 sequence into the pGEX-5X-3 vector (Pharmacia) at the C-terminus of GST.

Analysis of GST-tag29

The epitag-29 is specifically recognised by scFv-epitag29 and the corresponding recombinant full-size antibody mAb29 or its recombinant version rAb29. To analyse the binding specificity of rAb29 to epitag-29, affinity purified, bacterial expressed GST-tag29 was diluted either in PBS or in protein extract of a wild type *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 plant and serial dilutions were separated on a SDS-PAA gel and blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane.

The recombinant plasmid GST-tag29 was transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA) and the fusion protein was expressed by inducing a log phase culture with 0.2-1.0 mM IPTG for 1-3 h at 30°C. GST-tag29 fusion protein was affinity purified on glutathione agarose by batch purification according to the manufacturer's instructions (Pharmacia). Serial dilutions of GST-tag29 were resolved by SDS-PAGE and blotted onto HybondTM-C nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham, Braunschweig, Germany). The membranes were blocked overnight with 2% non-fat skim milk in PBS (MPBS) at 4°C followed by incubation with rAb29 (Schillberg, et al., *Transgenic Research* 8, 255-263 (1999)) at room temperature for 2 h. Bound antibodies were detected using goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA) and the substrates p-nitrobluetetrazolium chloride (NBT) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP).

As shown in Figure 27A, 80 ng GST-tag29 in PBS was detectable using rAb29. Although in tobacco extract the detection limit decreased to 200 ng GST-tag29 (Figure 27B), this result indicates that plant derived proteins did not prevent binding of rAb29 to the epitag-29.

The *in vivo* assembly in a plant cell was simulated by ELISA, in which the scFv-epitag29 bound to epitop-29. As shown in Figure 28, a significant OD reactivity was detectable, indicating that binding of the two partners took place in plant extracts.

Transient expression in tobacco leaves

To analyze the protein level of the recombinant PE280-tag29, *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 leaves were transiently transformed with recombinant *A. tumefaciens* and accumulation of PE280-tag29 was analyzed by immunoblot.

Plant expression construct PE280-tag29 was transferred into *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 by N₂ transformation (Höfgen and Willmitzer, Nucleic Acids Res 16 (1988) 9877). Transient transformation of *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 was performed as described (Kapila et al., Plant Science 122 (1996) 101-108). To extract total soluble proteins, Tobacco leaves were frozen and ground in liquid nitrogen and scFv-fusion protein level was analysed by Western blot (Fischer et al., in: C. Cunningham, A.J.R. Porter, (Eds.), Methods in biotechnology Vol. 3: Recombinant proteins in plants: Production and Isolation of Clinically useful compounds. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ (1998)).

A protein of the expected size (37.1 kDa) was not detectable in plant extracts (Figure 29). However a degradation product was detectable, indicating that the recombinant PE280-tag29 protein accumulated in tobacco leaves. The plant leaves showed a healthy phenotype, indicating that PE280-tag29 was most likely secreted to the apoplast and therefore not toxic to the plant cell.

Characterization of transgenic plants

N. tabacum cv. Petite Havana SR1 was transformed with the construct PE280-tag29 using recombinant agrobacteria. Transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 were generated by the leaf disc transformation with recombinant *A. tumefaciens* and transgenic T₀ plants were generated from transformed callus (Horsch et al., Science 227: 1229-1231 (1985)). Regeneration of transgenic plants is in progress.

In addition, transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 plants accumulating biscFv2429 in the apoplast will be retransformed with the plant expression construct PE280-tag29 to analyse *in vivo* assembly and biological effects of the molecular pathogenicide.

Conclusions

The Molecular Pathogenicide will be assembled in the ER via the scFv-epitag29 and epitag29. The presented experiments show that proteins can be assembled using scFv-epitag29 and epitag29 in plant extracts. Upon assembly the Molecular Pathogenicide will be secreted to the apoplast. During TMV infection the fusion protein will bind to the virus particle via the scFv24 part and TMV virions that enter the cell will carry bound scFv24-toxin fusion. PE400 mediates the ADP-ribosylation of elongation factor 2, which arrests protein synthesis and causes cell death. PE is a very effective toxin as only a few molecules are required to kill the infected cell, thus preventing virus replication and spread, leading to highly resistant plants.

Example 8: *In vivo* proteolysis

The steps 1) to 16) of example 1 and steps 1) to 2) example 2 are repeated with the following adaptations.

- 1) A protease cleavage sequence which is processed by a plant and/or a pathogen protease *in vivo* is added either between the recombinant scFv construct and the C-terminal membrane localisation sequence, using a suitable linker, or between an N-terminal toxin and a C-terminal membrane anchored recombinant antibody or *vice versa*.
- 2) The chimeric gene is inserted into a plant expression vector e.g. pSS (Voss et al., 1995).
- 3) Suitable protease cleavage sequences include a selected sequence from a random linker library (Doskeland, Biochem. J. 313 (1996), 409-414) that had been selected by *in vitro* proteolysis and any known protease site that is unique to the fusion protein and does not destroy the molecular viricide and its activity *in vivo*.

As an example, the c-myc tag or the CBHI linker is sensitive to plant proteases.

Anyone of skill in the art will recognise that these steps can be followed for any other pathogen by selecting antibodies or fragments thereof specific for the target pathogen. For example, antibodies can be raised and cloned against structural and non structural proteins of any pathogen. In addition, toxins can be cloned C- or N-terminal of the protease cleavage sequence. Toxins include all proteins and peptides that have a detrimental or toxic effect on a pathogen during its life cycle and/or an effect on the pathogen during plant infection or pathogen replication, spread or

transmission. This includes toxins that specifically kill an infected host cell and so limit the spread and development of a disease. Moreover, example 8 can be combined with examples 1-7 in any combination(s).

Construction of the scFv24 fusion expression cassettes

To integrate the TMV-specific scFv24 into the plant cell membrane, the antibody fragment was fused to an N-terminal mammalian signal peptide and C-terminal receptor transmembrane domain. The mouse N-terminal light chain signal peptide from the parental antibody (mAb24) used to generate scFv24 was used to target fusion proteins to the secretory pathway. We selected the transmembrane domain sequences of the human platelet derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) for fusion with the C-terminus of scFv24, for heterologous targeting of the scFv24 antibody to the plasma membrane. To ensure proper folding of the expressed and subsequent cleaved single chain antibody fragment, the construct contained the *c-myc* sequence (pscFv24-PDGFR) as a linker and cleavage sequence between the scFv24 fragment and the membrane anchor (Figure 30).

To construct pscFv24-PDGFR, the cDNA encoding the *c-myc* epitope followed by the human platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) transmembrane domain (18) was excised from the pHOOK-1 vector (Invitrogen, Leek, Netherlands) and ligated into the *SalI* and *XbaI* restriction sites of the pscFv24-TcR β plasmid (Example 1) to generate the pscFv24-PDGFR fusion construct (Figure 30).

Expression of the scFv24 fusion protein in *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 cell suspensions

To analyze the expression level of the recombinant scFv-fusion proteins, the suspension cell line *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 was stably transformed with recombinant *A. tumefaciens* and functional expression of the scFv24 domain of the fusion protein was analyzed by ELISA using anti-mAb24 antisera.

The vector construct pscFv24-PDGFR was transferred into *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 by liquid N₂ transformation (Höfgen and Willmitzer, Nucleic Acids Res. 16: 9877 (1988)). *N. tabacum* L. cv. bright yellow 2 (BY-2) cells were maintained in Murashige and Skoog basal salt with minimal organics (MSMO+: MSMO (Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany) plus 200 mg/ml KH₂PO₄, 0.6 μ g/ml thiamine, 3 % sucrose and 0.2 μ g/ml 2,4-D, pH 5.8) at 24°C in the dark on an orbital shaker. Cells were subcultured every week with a 5 % inoculum. Three days after subculture, plant cells were transformed

by co-cultivation with recombinant *A. tumefaciens*, as described (An, Plant Physiol. 79: 568-570 (1985)). Selection of kanamycin-resistant transformants was performed on MSMO+ agar medium supplemented with 75 µg/ml kanamycin and 100 µg/ml claforan.

For extraction of total soluble proteins from transgenic BY-2 suspension culture, cells from 1 ml culture were collected by centrifugation at 4000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml protein extraction buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 5 mM EDTA, 0.02 % (w/v) sodium-azide and 0.1 % (v/v) Tween 20) and cells were disrupted by sonication at 60 watt for 1 min using a sonicator probe (B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany) at 4°C. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 14000 x g for 10 min at 4°C. The clear supernatant containing soluble protein was used for further analysis.

For ELISA and western blotting, anti-mAb24 antisera (Zimmermann et al., Molecular Breeding 4: 369-379 (1998)) or the anti-c-myc monoclonal antibody 9E10 (Evan et al., Mol. Cell. Biol. 5: 3610-3616 (1985)) were used as a primary antibody in combination with a 1:5000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse alkaline phosphatase conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, West Grove, PA). Protein concentrations were determined with the Bio-Rad Protein Assay using Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) as standard.

Analysis of stably transformed *N. tabacum* BY-2 cells revealed that scFv24-PDGFR was present in both cell extracts and culture supernatant (Figure 31). Transgenic cell suspension lines showed similar expression levels for both recombinant proteins, but 44-88 % (mean value = 69 %, n = 5) of scFv24-PDGFR was secreted into the culture supernatant. This indicated that the scFv24-PDGFR is released by proteolysis from the plasma membrane.

Characterization of transgenic plants

We then tested whether the heterologous mammalian transmembrane domain PDGFR fused to scFv24 would target the single chain antibody to the plasma membrane in stably transformed tobacco plants. Transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 were generated by the leaf disc transformation with recombinant *A. tumefaciens* and transgenic T₀ plants were generated from transformed callus (Horsch et al., Science 227: 1229-1231 (1985)). Extraction of total soluble proteins from tobacco leaves and subsequent analysis of scFv24 by ELISA were performed

as described by Fischer *et al.* (Fischer et al., in: Cunningham C, Porter AJR (eds), Recombinant proteins in plants: Production and Isolation of Clinically useful compounds, pp. 45-68. Vol. 3. Humana press, Totowa, NJ (1998)). For ELISA and western blotting, anti-mAb24 antisera (Zimmermann et al., Molecular Breeding 4: 369-379 (1998)) was used as a primary antibody in combination with a 1:5000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson Immuno Research Laboratories, West Grove, PA). Protein concentrations were determined with the Bio-Rad Protein Assay using Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) as a standard.

Expression levels of scFv24-PDGFR were much higher in transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 plants than in suspension cultures (Table 5). The maximum level of detergent extracted scFv24-PDGFR was 13 fold higher (388 ng/g leaf tissue) than that obtained in transgenic suspension cultures (Figure 31).

In *N. tabacum* cv. BY-2 suspension cells producing the scFv24-PDGFR fusion protein, scFv24 was detectable in the culture supernatant. To determine if scFv24 fragments were secreted into the extracellular space of intact plants, intercellular washing fluid from leaves of transgenic T₁ tobacco plants was analyzed by ELISA. For detection of scFv24 fusion proteins in intercellular washing fluids, leaves of *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 were prepared as described by Fischer *et al.* (Fischer et al., in: Cunningham C, Porter AJR (eds), Recombinant proteins in plants: Production and Isolation of Clinically useful compounds, pp. 45-68. Vol. 3. Humana press, Totowa, NJ (1998)). Total protein extracts from washing fluids were concentrated by ultrafiltration (Microcon 10, Amicon, Witten, Germany) and analyzed by 12% SDS-PAGE (Laemmli, Nature 227: 680-685 (1970)) followed by western blot. scFv24 was present in the intercellular washing fluid of seven progenies of a plant line (P9_{SR1}) producing scFv24-PDGFR and the level of secreted scFv24 did not correlate to levels of protein expression in intact leaves. In general, T₁ plants used for IWF analysis showed scFv24 expression levels of 1080-1540 ng/g leaf tissue. Therefore, the protein is cleaved by a host protease.

Western blot analysis, using an anti-mAb24 antisera, revealed that a single 28kDa scFv24 polypeptide was detected in the intercellular washing fluid of T₁ progenies from scFv24-PDGFR transgenics (P9_{SR1}). This corresponded to a fusion protein cleavage product since the predicted molecular weight of scFv24-PDGFR was 35kDa. However, both the full length (35kDa) and cleaved (28kDa) fragments of

scFv24 were present in total soluble cell protein extracts at a 1:1 ratio of full-length product to the fragment (Figure 32A). Western blot analysis of total soluble protein extracts with an anti *c-myc* antibody only detected the intact fusion protein scFv24-PDGFR (Figure 32B). This indicates that the scFv24 fragment in the apoplast was cleaved off the membrane near or within the *c-myc* epitopSe tag.

Bioassays of viral resistance

To analyze the biological effects of the membrane anchored anti-viral TMV-specific antibody on viral resistance, T₁ progenies of plant line expressing the scFv24-PDGFR fusion protein (P9_{SR1}) were inoculated with TMV.

Seeds were collected from antibody-producing T₀ plants and germinated on MSMO agar medium supplemented with 2 % (w/v) sucrose, 0.4 µg/ml thiamine, 0.4 µg/ml glycine, 0.1 µg/ml nicotine acid, 0.1 µg/ml pyridoxine and 75 µg/ml kanamycin. Kanamycin-resistant T₁ plants were used for inoculation with TMV-*v* (1 µg/ml) as previously described (Dietzgen et al., Arch. Virol. 87: 73-86 (1986)). Wild type *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 plants were used as a control. Disease symptoms were monitored 6 to 20 days post inoculation (p.i.) and for resistant plants up to 180 days p.i..

Lower leaves were infected with TMV and systemic spread of the virus was followed by analyzing upper leaves 6-20 days later. All non-transgenic tobacco control plants were systemically infected, but 19 % (out of 68 analyzed) of scFv24-PDGFR transgenic plants had no visible disease symptoms on the upper leaves (Table 6). Furthermore, ELISA analysis demonstrated that some of these plants accumulated virus particles in the upper leaves indicating that though systemic viral spread occurred, no symptoms were developed. Strikingly, in 13 % of scFv24-PDGFR transgenic plants no virus was found in the upper leaves up to 90 days post inoculation. Virus could be detected at inoculation sites in the lower leaves by ELISA demonstrating that these plants had been efficiently inoculated with TMV. Antibody-fusion protein expression levels correlated with expression of TMV resistance (Table 6). Higher levels of scFv24 fusion protein expression led to an increased fraction of virus resistant plants.

Conclusions

It could be shown that the linker region between the scFv24 and the PDGFR transmembrane domain is sensitive to plant proteases, the scFv24 is cleaved off *in vivo* and secreted to the apoplast in transgenic plants. scFv24 retains its function post cleavage and creates a virus resistant phenotype.

Table 5:

Levels of functional scFv24 fusion protein in the T₀ generation of transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1.

Total soluble plant protein was isolated from leaves of transgenic plants producing scFv24-PDGFR. scFv24-fusion protein expression was quantified by TMV-specific ELISA using anti-mAb24 antisera and expressed as ng scFv24 per g leaf tissue.

Construct	Number of transgenic plants	Number of plants expressing functional scFv24	Range of expression (ng/g leaf tissue)	Average expression (ng/g leaf tissue)
pScFv24-PDGFR	12	9	3 - 388	114

Table 6:

Virus infection assay of transgenic plants expressing membrane anchored scFv24.

1 µg/ml TMV-v was applied onto a lower leaf of non-transgenic *N. tabacum* cv. Petite Havana SR1 and transgenic T₁ progenies from plant line P9_{SR1} producing scFv24-PDGFR. scFv24-fusion protein levels were determined by ELISA using an anti-mAb24 antisera 14 days p.i. and used for group formation (low, average and high producers). ^a = upper leaves showed no visible disease symptoms; ^b = based on TMV-ELISA; ^c = level of resistance of all low, average and high producers, numbers in brackets include all plants without visible disease symptoms.

Plant lines	Tested plants	ng scFv24 per g leaf tissue	Healthy phenotype ^a	Resistant plants ^b	Level of resistance (%) ^c
<i>N. tabacum</i> cv.					
Petite Havana SR1	62	-	0	0	0
P9 _{SR1} , low producer	34	10-500	1	0	
P9 _{SR1} , average producer	23	501-2000	6	4	13 (19)
P9 _{SR1} , high producer	11	2001-4200	6	5	

References

- Anderson, J.M., P. Palukaitis, and M. Zaitlin. 1992. A defective replicase gene induces resistance to cucumber mosaic virus in transgenic sugarbeet plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 89:8759-8763.
- Barbieri, L., M.G. Battelli, and F. Stirpe. 1993. Ribosome-inactivating proteins from plants. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1154:237-282.
- Baulcombe, D. 1994. Novel strategies for engineering virus resistance in plants. *Current Opinion in BioTechnology*. 5:117-124.
- Benfey, P., L. Ren, and N.-H. Chua. 1989. The CaMV 35S enhancer contains at least two domains which can confer different developmental and tissue-specific expression patterns. *EMBO J*. 8:2195-2202.
- Bennett, A., and K. Osteryoung. 1991. Protein transport and targeting within the endomembrane system of plants. In *Plant Genetic Engineering*. Vol. 1. D. Grierson, editor. Blackie publishing, London. 199-237.
- Benvenuto, E., R.J. Ordas, R. Tavazza, G. Ancora, S. Biocca, A. Cattaneo, and P. Galeffi. 1991. 'Phytoantibodies': a general vector for the expression of immunoglobulin domains in transgenic plants. *Plant Mol Biol*. 17:865-74.
- Bird, R.E., K.D. Hardman, J.W. Jacobson, S. Johnson, B.M. Kaufmann, S.-M. Lee, T. Lee, H.S. Pope, G.S. Riordan, and M. Whitlow. 1988. Single-chain antigen-binding proteins. *Science*. 242:423-426.
- Bjorck, L., P. Akesson, M. Bohus, J. Trojnar, M. Abrahamson, I. Olafsson, and A. Grubb. 1989. Bacterial growth blocked by a synthetic peptide based on the structure of a human proteinase inhibitor. *Nature*. 337:385-6.
- Bjorck, L., A. Grubb, and L. Kjellen. 1990. Cystatin C, a human proteinase inhibitor, blocks replication of herpes simplex virus. *Nature*. 337:385-386.
- Caddick, M., A. Greenland, I. Jepson, K. Krause, N. Qu, K. Riddell, M. Salter, W. Schuch, U. Sonnewald, and A. Tomsett. 1998. An ethanol inducible gene switch for plants used to manipulate carbon metabolism. *Nat. Biotechnol*. 16:177-180.

- Carter, P., and A. Merchant. 1997. Engineering antibodies for imaging and therapy. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 8:449-454.
- Carter, P., J. Ridgway, and Z. Zhu. 1995. Toward the production of bispecific antibody fragments for clinical applications. *J Hematother.* 4:463-470.
- Chen, S.Y., Y. Khouri, J. Bagley, and W.A. Marasco. 1994. Combined intra- and extracellular immunisation against human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection with a human anti-gp 120 antibody. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA.* 91:5932-5936.
- Chowrira, G., V. Akella, and P. Lurquin. 1995. Electroporation-mediated gene transfer into intact nodal meristems in planta. Generating transgenic plants without in vitro tissue culture. *Mol Biotechnol.* 3:17-23.
- Comai, L., P. Moran, and D. Maslyar. 1990. Novel and useful properties of a chimeric plant promoter combining CaMV 35S and MAS elements. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 15:373-381.
- De Neve, M., M. De Loose, A. Jacobs, H. Van Houdt, B. Kaluza, U. Weidle, M. Van Montagu, and A. Depicker. 1993. Assembly of an antibody and its derived antibody fragment in *Nicotiana* and *Arabidopsis*. *Transgenic Res.* 2:227-37.
- Dempsey, D., H. Silva, and D. Klessig. 1998. Engineering disease and pest resistance in plants. *Trends Microbiol.* 6:54-61.
- D'Halluin, K., E. Bonne, M. Bossut, M. De Beuckeleer, and J. Leemans. 1992. Transgenic maize plants by tissue electroporation. *Plant Cell.* 4:1495-1505.
- Duan, L., O. Bagasra, M.A. Laughlin, J.W. Oakes, and R.J. Pomerantz. 1994. Potent inhibition of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 replication by an intracellular anti-Rev single chain antibody. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA.* 91:5075-5079.
- Düring, K., S. Hippe, F. Kreuzaler, and J. Schell. 1990. Synthesis and selfassembly of a functional monoclonal antibody in transgenic *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 15:281-293.
- Eiklid, K., S. Oisnes, and A. Pihl. 1980. Entry of lethal doses of abrin, ricin and modeccin into the cytosol of HeLa cells. *Exp Cell Res.* 126:321-6.

- Endo, Y., and K. Tsurugi. 1987. RNA N-glycosidase activity of ricin A-chain. Mechanism of action of the toxic lectin ricin on eukaryotic ribosomes. *J Biol Chem.* 262:8128-30.
- Endo, Y., and K. Tsurugi. 1988. The RNA N-glycosidase activity of ricin A-chain. *Nucleic Acids Symp Ser.* 19:139-42.
- Falk, B.W., and G. Bruening. 1994. Will transgenic crops generate new viruses and new diseases. *Science.* 263:1395-1396.
- Fiedler, U., J. Phillips, O. Artsaenko, and U. Conrad. 1997. Optimisation of scFv antibody production in transgenic plants. *Immunotechnology.* 3:205-216.
- Fischer, R.S., A. Schillberg, Y.-D. Stierhof, and F. Kreuzaler. 1999. Production, characterisation and molecular cloning of Tobacco Mosaic Virus (TMV)-specific neutralizing monoclonal antibodies with different epitope specificities. *Molecular Immunology.*
- Florack, D., W. Dirkse, B. Visser, F. Heidekamp, and W. Stiekema. 1994. Expression of biologically active hordothionins in tobacco. Effects of pre- and pro-sequences at the amino and carboxyl termini of the hordothionin precursor on mature protein expression and sorting. *Plant Mol Biol.* 24:83-96.
- Friedler, A., N. Zakai, O. Karni, Y. Broder, L. Baraz, M. Kotler, A. Loyter, and C. Gilon. 1998. Backbone cyclic peptide, which mimics the nuclear localisation signal of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 matrix protein, inhibits nuclear import and virus production in nondividing cells. *Biochemistry.* 37:5616-5622.
- Furth, P. 1997. Gene transfer by biolistic process. *Mol Biotechnol.* 7:139-143.
- Gadani, F., M. Mansky, R. Medici, W.A. Miller, and J.H. Hill. 1990. Genetic engineering of plants for virus resistance. *Archives of Virology.* 115:1-21.
- Gerber, L.D., K. Kodukula, and S. Udenfriend. 1992. Phosphatidylinositol glycan (PI-G) anchored membrane proteins. Amino acid requirements adjacent to the site of cleavage and PI-G attachment in the COOH-terminal signal peptide. *J Biol Chem.* 267:12168-73.

- Girbes, T., J. Ferreras, R. Iglesias, L. Citores, C. De Torre, M. Carbajales, P. Jimenez, F. De Benito, and R. Munoz. 1996. Recent advances in the uses and applications of ribosome-inactivating proteins from plants. *Cell Mol Biol (Noisy-le-grand)*. 42:461-471.
- Gross, G., and Z. Eshhar. 1992. Endowing T cells with antibody specificity using chimeric T cell receptors. *Faseb J*. 6:3370-8.
- Ham, P.J., C. Albuquerque, B. Smithies, R. Chalk, S. Klager, and H. Hagen. 1994. Antibacterial peptides in insect vectors of tropical parasitic disease. *Ciba Found Symp*. 186:140-51.
- Harrison, B.D., M.-A. Mayo, and D.C. Baulcombe. 1987. Virus resistance in transgenic plants that express cucumber mosaic virus satellite RNA. *Nature*. 328:799-802.
- Hartley, M.R., J.A. Chaddock, and M.S. Bonness. 1996. The structure and function of ribosome inactivating proteins. *Trends in Plant Science*. 1:254-260.
- Hayakawa, Y. 1991. Structure of a growth-blocking peptide present in parasitized insect hemolymph. *J Biol Chem*. 266:7982-7984.
- Hiatt, A., R. Cafferkey, and K. Bowdish. 1989. Production of antibodies in plants. *Nature*. 342:469-470.
- Kapila, J., R. De Rycke, M. Van Montagu, and G. Angenon. 1997. An *Agrobacterium* mediated transient gene expression system for intact leaves. *Plant Sci*. 122:101-108.
- Kim, P., F. Janiak-Spens, W. Trimble, B. Leber, and D. Andrews. 1997. Evidence for multiple mechanisms for membrane binding and integration via carboxyl-terminal insertion sequences. *Biochemistry*. 36:8873-8882.
- Klein, T., and S. Fitzpatrick-McElligott. 1993. Particle bombardment: a universal approach for genetransfer to cells and tissues. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*. 4:583-590.
- Köhler, G., and C. Milstein. 1975. Continuous cultures of fused cells secreting antibody of predefined specificity. *Nature*. 256:495-497.
- Lambert, S., and V. Bennett. 1993. From anemia to cerebellar dysfunction. A review of the ankyrin gene family. *Eur J Biochem*. 211:1-6.

- LaVallie, E., E. DiBlasio, S. Kovacic, K. Grant, P. Schendel, and J. McCoy. 1993. A thioredoxin gene fusion expression system that circumvents inclusion body formation in the *E. coli* cytoplasm. *Biotechnology (N Y)*. 11:187-193.
- Leland, P., L. Schultz, B. Kim, and R. Raines. 1998. Ribonuclease A variants with potent cytotoxic activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 95:10407-10412.
- Madhus, I., and H. Stenmark. 1992. Entry of ADP-ribosylating toxins into cells. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*. 175:1-26.
- Marasco, W.A., W.A. Haseltine, and S.Y. Chen. 1993. Design, intracellular expression, and activity of a human anti-human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp 120 single-chain antibody. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA*. 90:7889-7893.
- Mitra, A., and A. Gynheung. 1989. Three distinct regulatory elements comprise the upstream promoter of the nopaline synthase gene. *Mol. Gen. Genet*. 215:294-299.
- Montanaro, L., S. Sperti, A. Mattioli, G. Testoni, and F. Stirpe. 1975. Inhibition by ricin of protein synthesis in vitro. Inhibition of the binding of elongation factor 2 and of adenosine diphosphate-ribosylated elongation factor 2 to ribosomes. *Biochem J*. 146:127-31.
- Namba, S., K. Ling, C. Gonsalves, D. Gonsalves, and J.L. Slightom. 1991. Expression of the gene encoding the coat protein of cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) strain WL appears to provide protection to sugarbeet plants against infection by several different strains. *Gene*. 107:181-188.
- Ni, M., D. Cui, J. Einstein, S. Narasimulu, C.E. Vergara, and S.B. Gelvin. 1995. Strength and tissue specificity of chimeric promoters derived from octopine and nopaline synthase genes. *Plant J*. 7:661-676.
- Owen, M., A. Gandecha, B. Cockburn, and G. Whitelam. 1992. Synthesis of a functional anti-phytochrome single-chain Fv protein in transgenic tobacco. *Bio/Technology*. 10:790-794.

- Palukaitis, P., and M.J. Roossinck. 1996. Spontaneous change of a benign satellite RNA of cucumber mosaic virus to a pathogenic variant. *Nature Biotechnology*. 14:1264-1268.
- Peters, L.L., and S.E. Lux. 1993. Ankyrins: structure and function in normal cells and hereditary spherocytes. *Semin Hematol*. 30:85-118.
- Plückthun, A. 1991. Antibody engineering. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*. 2:238-246.
- Pluckthun, A., and P. Pack. 1997. New protein engineering approaches to multivalent and bispecific antibody fragments. *Immunotechnology*. 3:83-105.
- Poljak, R.J. 1994. Production and structure of diabodies. *Structure*. 2:1121-3.
- Rose, M., and G. Fink. 1987. KAR1, a gene required for function of both intranuclear and extranuclear microtubules in yeast. *Cell*. 48:1047-1060.
- Sanford, J.C., E.D. Wolf, and N.K. Allen. 1990. Method for transporting substances into living cells and apparatus therefore. Patent #4,945,050. Cornell Research Foundation Inc, Ithaca, NY.
- Sangster, B. 1997. Identification of cytotoxic peptide as possible mechanism for neurotoxicity of HIV viral envelope and AIDS pathogenesis. *Med Hypotheses*. 48:463-8.
- Schouten, A., J. Roosien, J.M. de Boer, A. Wilmink, M.-N. Rosso, D. Bosch, W.J. Stiekema, F.J. Gommers, J. Bakker, and A. Schots. 1997. Improving scFv antibody expression levels in the plant cytosol. *FEBS letters*. 415:235-241.
- Silburn, K., D. McPhee, A. Maerz, P. Pountourios, R. Whittaker, A. Kirkpatrick, W. Reilly, M. Manthey, and C. Curtain. 1998. Efficacy of fusion peptide homologs in blocking cell lysis and HIV-induced fusion. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 14:385-392.
- Smith, D., and K. Johnson. 1988. Single-step purification of polypeptides expressed in *Escherichia coli* as fusions with glutathione S-transferase. *Gene*. 67:31-40.
- Spörlein, B., and H.-U. Koop. 1991. Lipofectin: direct gene transfer to higher plants using cationic liposomes. *Theor. Appl. Genet*. 83:1-5.
- Tang, Y., N. Jiang, C. Parakh, and D. Hilvert. 1996. Selection of linkers for a catalytic single-chain antibody using phage display technology. *J Biol Chem*. 271:15682-6.

- Tavladoraki, P., E. Benvenuto, S. Trinca, D. DeMartinis, and P. Galeffi. 1993. Transgenic plants expressing a functional scFv antibody are protected from virus attack. *Nature*. 366:469-472.
- Tedder, T.F., and P. Engel. 1994. CD20: a regulator of cell-cycle progression of B lymphocytes. *Immunol Today*. 15:450-4.
- Turner, D.J., M.A. Ritter, and A.J. George. 1997. Importance of the linker in expression of single-chain Fv antibody fragments: optimisation of peptide sequence using phage display technology. *J Immunol Methods*. 205:43-54.
- Turpen, T.H., A.M. Turpen, N. Weinzettl, M.H. Kumagai, and W.O. Dawson. 1993. Transfection of whole plants from wounds inoculated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* containing cDNA of Tobacco Mosaic Virus. *J. Virol. Meth.* 42:227-240.
- von Heijne, G. 1985. Signal sequences. The limits of variation. *J Mol Biol* 1985. 184:99-105.
- Voss, A., M. Niersbach, R. Hain, H.J. Hirsch, Y.C. Liao, F. Kreuzaler, and R. Fischer. 1995. Reduced virus infectivity in *N. tabacum* secreting a TMV-specific full size antibody. *Mol. Breeding*. 1:39-50.
- White, F.F. 1992. Vectors for gene transfer in higher plants. In *Transgenic Plants*. Vol. 1. Academic Press. 15-47.
- Wilson, T.M.A. 1993. Strategies to protect crop plants against viruses: Pathogen-derived resistance blossoms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 90:3134-3141.
- Winter, G., A.D. Griffiths, R.E. Hawkins, and H.R. Hoogenboom. 1994. Making antibodies by phage display technology. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 12:433-55.
- Winter, G., and C. Milstein. 1991. Man made antibodies. *Nature*. 349:293-299.
- Wright, M.D., and M.G. Tomlinson. 1994. The ins and outs of the transmembrane 4 superfamily. *Immunol Today*. 15:588-94.
- Zimmermann, S., S. Schillberg, Y.C. Liao, and R. Fischer. 1998. Intracellular expression of a TMV-specific single chain Fv fragment leads to improved virus resistance in *Nicotiana tabacum*. *Molecular Breeding*. 4:369-379.

Claims

1. A fusion protein comprising
 - (a) at least one binding domain specifically recognising an epitope of a plant pathogen; and
 - (b) at least one further domain comprising a protein or peptide sequence which is toxic to the pathogen or detrimental to its replication, transmission or life cycle.
2. The fusion protein of claim 1 wherein said domains are linked by covalent or non-covalent bonds.
3. The fusion protein of claim 1 or 2 wherein the toxic activity of the protein or peptide sequence is activated by the presence of the pathogen, a component thereof or a component of a host cell.
4. The fusion protein of claim 3 wherein the toxic activity of the protein or peptide sequence is activated by a pathogen specific or host cell protease.
5. The fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 4 wherein said binding domain comprises an antibody, a T-cell receptor, a pathogen specific receptor, a peptide specific for an epitope of a pathogen, or at least the binding site of any one of those.
6. The fusion protein of claim 5 wherein said antibody or binding site thereof is a recombinant full-size antibody, dimeric secretory IgA antibody, multimeric IgM antibody, F(ab')₂-fragment, Fab-fragment, Fv-fragment, single chain Fv antibody (scFv), bispecific scFv, diabody, single domain antibody (dAb), minibody or molecular recognition unit (MRU), derived from hybridoma cells, synthetic, semi-synthetic, naïve and immunocompetent phage display or ribosome display libraries, or by the generation of fully synthetic designer antibodies.

7. The fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 6 comprising at least two binding domains for the same or different epitope(s).
8. The fusion protein of claim 7 wherein said epitopes are from the same or different pathogen(s).
9. The fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 8 wherein the toxin is an enzyme or a viral structural or non-structural protein or a binding domain as defined in any one of claims 1 to 8.
10. The fusion protein of claim 9 wherein said enzyme is chitinase or glucanase, glucose oxidase, superoxide dismutase, DNase or RNase or RIP or lipase or active fragments thereof either singly or in any combination(s).
11. The fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 10 wherein the pathogen is a virus, bacterium, mycoplasma, fungus, nematode or insect.
12. The fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 11 wherein at least one of said domains is fused to a C- or N-terminal carrier protein.
13. The fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 12 wherein at least one of said domains comprises a fluorophore.
14. A pathogenicide comprising at least one binding and/or further domain as defined in any one of claims 1 to 13 and a cellular targeting sequence and/or membrane localisation sequence and/or motif that leads to membrane anchoring.
15. The pathogenicide of claim 14 wherein the membrane localisation sequence is proteolytically sensitive.
16. The pathogenicide of claim 14 or 15 wherein said membrane localisation sequence is human T cell receptor transmembrane domains or any other member of the immunoglobulin superfamily, glyco-phosphatidyl inositol (GPI)

anchors, KAR1, middle-T antigen, cytochrome b5 or syn1.

17. The pathogenicide of any one of claims 14 to 16 comprising the fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 13.
18. The fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 13 or the pathogenicide of any one of claims 14 to 17 wherein said binding domain(s) and/or said further domain(s) are capable of self assembly in vivo.
19. A pathogenicide comprising at least one binding domain as defined in any one of claims 1 to 6, wherein at least one of said binding domains specifically recognizes a viral movement and/or replicase protein.
20. The pathogenicide of claim 19 which comprises an antibody.
21. A polynucleotide encoding the fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 13 or 18 or the pathogenicide of any one of claims 14 to 20.
22. A vector comprising the polynucleotide of claim 21.
23. A vector comprising separate polynucleotides encoding at least one of said binding domain(s) and/or said further domain(s) of the fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 13 or 18 or the pathogenicide of any one of claims 14 to 20.
24. A composition comprising vectors wherein each vector contains at least one polynucleotide encoding at least one binding domain and/or at least one further domain of the fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 13 or 18 or the pathogenicide of any one of claims 14 to 20; and wherein the expression of at least two of said polynucleotides results in the production of said fusion protein or said pathogenicide or assembly of the same in vivo.
25. The vector of claim 22 or 23 or the composition of claim 24 wherein the polynucleotide is operatively linked to regulatory sequences allowing the expression of the fusion protein, pathogenicide or the domains thereof in a

host cell.

26. The vector or composition of claim 25 wherein said regulatory sequence is a constitutive, chimeric, ubiquitous, tissue specific, organ specific or inducible promoter.
27. A host cell comprising the polynucleotide of claim 21, the vector of any one of claims 22, 23, 25 or 26, or the composition of any one of claims 24 to 26.
28. A method for the production of a molecular pathogenicide comprising:
 - (a) culturing the host cell of claim 27 under conditions suitable for the expression of the polynucleotide; and
 - (b) recovering the fusion protein, pathogenicide or the domains thereof from the culture.
29. A molecular pathogenicide obtainable by the method of claim 28 or encodable by the polynucleotide of claim 21.
30. A method for the production of pathogen resistant transgenic plants, plant cells or plant tissue comprising the introduction of a polynucleotide of claim 21, the vector of claim 22, 23, 25 or 26 or the vectors of the composition of any one of claims 24 to 26 into the genome of a plant, plant cell or plant tissue.
31. A transgenic plant cell which contains stably integrated into the genome a polynucleotide of claim 21, a vector of claim 22, 23, 25 or 26 or the vectors of the composition of any one of claims 24 to 26 or obtainable according to the method of claim 30.
32. A transgenic plant or plant tissue comprising plant cells of claim 31 or obtainable by the method of claim 30.
33. The transgenic plant of claim 32 wherein the fusion protein or pathogenicide are made functional against pathogens by *in vivo* assembly after co-transformation of at least two independent plant expression constructs or after

sexual crossing to form hybrid offspring from two parental plants expressing one or more of the domains of the fusion protein or the pathogenicide, or any other form of genetic recombination.

34. The transgenic plant of claim 32 or 33 which displays improved resistance against a pathogen that the wild type plant was susceptible to.
35. Harvestable parts or propagation material of a plant of any one of claims 32 to 34 comprising plant cells of claim 31.
36. A kit comprising the fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 13 or 18, the pathogenicide of any one of claims 14 to 20, the polynucleotide of claim 21, the vector of claim 22, 23, 25 or 26, the composition of any one of claims 24 to 26 or the molecular pathogenicide of claim 29.
37. Use of the fusion protein of any one of claims 1 to 13 or the pathogenicide of any one of claims 14 to 20, the polynucleotide of claim 21, the vector of claim 22, 23, 25 or 26, the composition of any one of claims 24 to 26 or the molecular pathogenicide of claim 29 for the protection of a plant against the action of a pathogen.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4

5/32




Figure 5

6/32




Figure 6

7/32




Figure 7




Figure 8

9/32




Figure 9

10/32




Figure 10

11/32




Figure 11

12/32





pscFv24-kar1*pscFv24-mT**pscFv24-cyrb5**pscFv24-syn1**pscFv24*

Figure 12




Figure 13

scFv24	MQIVLTQSPA Framework I	IMSASPGKEV Framework I	TMTCSASSN CDR L1	STQWYQOKS Framework II	GTSPKRWIYD Framework II
scFv24	TSKLTSGVPG CDR LII	RFGSGSGSTS Framework III	YSLTSSMEA Framework III	EDATYYCQ CDR LIII	WSSMTWFGA CDR LIII
scFv24	GTKLEIKGST Framework IV	SGSGKSSBGK Linker 212	GEVQLQQSGP Framework I	ELVNPGASVK Framework I	MCKASGYTF Framework I
scFv24	YVMDHWVKQ CDR LI	KPGQGLEWIG Framework II	YVMDHWVKQ CDR LII	YVMDHWVKQ CDR LIII	LTSDKSSNTA Framework III
scFv24	YMSLSSLTSE Framework III	DSAVYYCAR Framework III	YVMDHWVKQ CDR LIII	OGTLVTVSAV Framework IV	DGGGSMKML linker RNase
RnaseE	INATCOBELR <i>E. coli</i> RNase E gene --->	VALVDGQRLY <i>E. coli</i> RNase E gene --->	DLDIESPGHE	OKKANIYKKG	ITRIEPSLEA
RnaseE	AFVDYGAERH	GFLPLKEIAR	EYFPANYSAH	GRPNIKDVLB	EGQEVIVQID
RnaseE	KEERGNGKGA	LTTFISLAGS	YLVLMNNPR	AGGISRRIEG	DDRTELKEAL
RnaseE	ASLELPEGMG	LIVRTAGVGK	SAEALQWDL	FRLKHWEATK	KAESRPAPF
RnaseE	LTHOESNVIV	RAFRDYLRD	IGELLIDNPK	VLELARQHTA	ALGRPDFSSK
RnaseE	IKLYTGEIPL	FSHYQIESOI	ESAFOREVRL	PSGGSIVIDS	TEALTAIDIN
RnaseE	SARATRGQDI	EETAFTNMLE	AADEIAROLR	LRDLGGLIVI	DFIDMTPIVRH
RnaseE	QRAVENRLRE	AVRODRARIO	ISHISRFGLL	EMSRHRLSPS	LGESSHHVCP
RnaseE	RCSGTGTVRD	NESLSLSILR	LIEBEALKEN	TOEVHAIVEV	PIASVLLNEK
RnaseE	RSAYNAIETR	QDGVRCVIVP	NDOMETPHYH	VVRVRKEGET	PTLSYMLPKL
RnaseE	HEEAMALPSE	EEFAERKRPE	OPALATFAME	DVPPAPTPAE	PAAPVVAAP
RnaseE	KAAPATPAAP	AQGLLSREF	GALKALFSGG	EETKPTQOFA	PKAEAKPERQ
RnaseE	QDRRKPRONN	RRDRNERRDT	RSERTEGSDN	REENRENRRQ	AQOQTAETRE
RnaseE	SROQAEVTEK	ARTADEQOAP	RRERSRRRND	DKROAQOQAK	ALNVEEOSVO
RnaseE	ETQOEERVRP	VOPRRKOROL	NOKVRYBOSV	AEEAVVAPVW	ESTVAARPITV
RnaseE	QAPAPRTEL	VKVPLEVVQA	TAPEQOEENN	ADNRDNGMGP	SFSPLASSPA
RnaseE	RKWSASSLS				

Figure 14




Figure 15




Figure 16

17/32




Figure 17





Figure 18

19/32



20/32




Figure 20

21/32

scFv 30-1 --EVHCKQSG AELVKPGASV KLSCKASDYT FTSTVYVWVK QRPQGGLWEI
 scFv 30-2 --EVKLQQSG AELVKPGASV KISCKASDYS FTSTVYVWVK QSHGKSLWEI
 Framework I CDR H1 Framework II
 scFv 30-1 GEIKPSNGCT NFVEKFKSKA TLTSDYSSST AYMQLSSLTS EDSAVYYCTR
 scFv 30-2 GNINPVYGST SYNOKFRGKA TLTVDKSSST AYMQLNSLTS EDSAVYYCAV
 CDR HII Framework III
 scFv 30-1 GGNAMPVWGQGTTVTVS SGGGSGGGGSGGGGSDIVL TSPATLSVT
 scFv 30-2 GGNAMPVWGQGTTLTVTS SGGGSGGGGSGGGGSDILL TQSPLSLFVS
 CDR HIII Framework IV Linker (Gly,Ser)₃
 scFv 30-1 PGDRVSLSCR ASQSYSNELT WYQOK SHESPRLLIK YTSQSLSGIP
 scFv 30-2 LGDHASISCR SSQSYVLSNG NVALHWYLN PQQSPKLLIY YTSNRFSGIP
 Framework I CDR LI Framework II CDR LII
 scFv 30-1 STPSGSGSGT DFTLSINSVD TEDFGMYFCQ CSNSVPHRFQ SGIKLELKSA
 scFv 30-2 DRFSGSGSGT DFTLKISRVE AEDLGVYFCS QSTHVEVRFQ GGTLELKRA
 Framework III CDR LIII Framework IV
 scFv 30-1 VDAAAPDKLITSEEDLNGAA*
 scFv 30-2 VDAAAPDKLITSEEDLNGAA*
 c-myc tag

Figure 21

22/32




Figure 22

```

MAEVQLQQSG AELVKPGASV KMSCKASGYT FTNYSNMHWVK QTPGGGLEWI
GAIYFRNGDT SYNQKFKGKA TLTADKSSST AYMQLSLSTL EDSAVYYCAR
PDVWGAGTLL TVSAGAGPTS GSGKPGPEG STKGAPDVLN TQAPLTLSVT
IGQPASISCK SSQSLLDGSG KTYLNMLLQR PGQSPKRLIY LVSKLDSGVP
DRFTSGSGGT DFTLKISRVE AEDLGVYYCW QGTHFPHTFG GGTGKLEIKRA
RAVDAAA

```

Figure 23a: Aminoacid sequence of scFv - 3a-2 derived from cDNA

```

MAQVTLKESG PGILKPSQTL SLTCSFSGFS LSTSGMGVGV IRQPSGKGLG
WLAHIWDDDD KYYNPSLRSQ LTISKDTSRN QVFLRITNVD TADTATYYCA
RGYYGNDSFP AYWGQGILLT VSSGAGPTSG SGGKPGEGS TKGAPDIVLS
QSPKFMSTSV GDRVSTCKA SIQVIRTAVAL PQQKPGQSPK ALIYLASNRR
TGVPRDFTGS GSGTDFTLTI SNVQSEDLDL YFCLQHWNYF FTFGSGTKLE
IKRAVDAAA

```

Figure 23b: Aminoacid sequence of scFv 54-1 derived from cDNA

```

MAQIQLVQSG PELKKPGQTV KISCKASAYT FTDYSNMHWVK QAPGKGLKWM
GWINTETGEP TYADDFKGRF AFSLETSAST AYLQINTLKN EDSATYFCAR
GSGFNPYWGO GTLVTVSAGA GPTSGSGKPG PEGSTKGAP DIVLSQSPPS
LAVSVGEKVT MCKSSQSLL YSSNQKNYLA WYQKPGQSP KLLIYWASTR
ESGVPRDFTG SSGTDFTLTI INSVKAEDLA VYCCQYYYSY VTFGAGTKLE
IKRAVDAAA

```

Figure 23c: Aminoacid sequence of scFv - 3min derived from cDNA

Figure 23

24/32




Figure 24

26/32




Figure 26

28/32




Figure 28

29/32




Figure 29




Figure 30




Figure 31

32/32




Figure 32

SEQUENCE LISTING

<110> Fraunhofer Gesellschaft zur Förderung der angewand

<120> Molecular Pathogenecide Mediated Plant Disease
Resistance

<130> C2428pct

<140>

<141>

<150> 98 11 9630.6

<151> 1998-10-16

<160> 163

<170> PatentIn Ver. 2.1

<210> 1

<211> 33

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 1

gccgtcgacg aggacctgaa caaggtgttc cca

33

<210> 2

<211> 28

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 2

gcctctagat cagaaatcct ttctcttg

28

<210> 3

<211> 1378

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no natural origin

<400> 3

```

gaattcacac acaatcagat ttatagagag atttataaaa aaaaaaaaac atatggattt 60
tcaagtgcag attttcagct tccgtcta atcagtcctca gtcataatat ctaggaggaca 120
aattgtttct acccagttct cagcaatcat gtctgcatct ccaggggaga aggtcaccat 180
gacctgcagt gccagttaa gtcgaagtaa aatgcaatgg tatcagcaga agtcaggcac 240
ctccccaaa agatggattt atgacacatc caaactggcc tctggagtcg ctggctcgtt 300
cagtgccagt ggggtctggg cctcttactc tctcacaatc agcagcatgg aggctgaaga 360
tgctgccact tattactgcc agcagtgagg tagtaacccg ctacgcttcg gtgctgggac 420
caagctggag ataaaaggct cctactagtgg ttccgggaag agctctgaag gtaagggtga 480
ggctcagctg cagcagttct gacctgagct ggtaaatcct ggggcttcag tgaagatgtc 540
ctgcaaggcc tctggataca cattcattac ctatgttatg cactgggtga agcagaagcc 600
tgggcaggcc cttagtgga ttggatatat taatcctaac aaagacggta caaagtcaa 660
tgagaagttc aaaggcaagg ccacactgac ttcacagaaa tctccaaca cagcctacat 720
ggagctcagc agcctgacct ctgaggactc tgcggtctat tactgtgcaa gagactatga 780
ttacgactgg ttgtcttact ggggccaggg gactctgggt actgtctctg cagtcgacga 840
ggacctgaac aaggtgttcc cacccgaggt cgctgtgttt gagccatcag aagcagagat 900
ctccacacc caaaaggcca cactgggtgt cctggccaca ggctcttcc ctgaccacgt 960
ggagctgagc tgggtgggtga atgggaagga ggtgcacagt ggggtcagca cggacccgca 1020
gcccctcaag gagcagccc cctcfaatga ctccagatac tgcctgagca gccgcctgag 1080
ggctctggcc accttctggc agaaccctcg caaccacttc cgctgtcaag tccagttcta 1140
cgggctctcg gagaatgacg agtggaacca ggaataggcc aaaccctgca ccagatcgt 1200
cagcggcgag gcctggggta gagcagactg tggcttaacc tcggtgtcct accagcaagg 1260
ggtcctgtct gccaccatcc tctatgagat cctgtcaggg aaggccaccc tgtatgtcgt 1320
gctggctcagt gcccttgtgt tgatggccat ggctcaagaga aagattttct gatctaga 1378

```

<210> 4

<211> 17

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no natural origin

<400> 4

gttttccccg tcacgac

17

<210> 5

<211> 130

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 5

ggctctagac gctcgagttt aaaacctata atacacatag atgttgcaat aaagcaaat 60
cagtatacaa atagtcacc agaaatactc cctatacttc ttagcgggccg cagaacctcc 120
acctccgtcg 130

<210> 6

<211> 148

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 6

ggctctagac gctcgagttt agaaatgcct agatctcttta atcaagatga agagcatcaa 60
gcaaattccg agcagcgctg ccaagaaagt caccaagagc aaagttcttc ccaatctcct 120
agcgccgcga gaacctccac ctccgtcg 148

<210> 7

<211> 145

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 7

ggctctagac gctcgagttt aatcctctgc catgtagagt ctatacatga gagcaaccac 60
gagtgcctgat atcgctggga tcaccaatt ggtccaccat gaagagtttag actcaacagc 120
ggccgcgaga cctccacctc cgctcg 145

<210> 8

<211> 130

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 8

ggctctagac gctcgagttt aagtgagaa ataaataaca ataacaacaa caataatagc 60
acaaatagca ccaagcataa tcattcatctt acaattcttc caagcgggcg cagaaccttc 120
acctccgtcg 130

<210> 9

<211> 17

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 9

gttttcccag tcacgac 17

<210> 10

<211> 35

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 10

ggctctagac gctcgagttt agaaatgcct agatc 35

<210> 11

<211> 51

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 11

ggctctagac gctcgagttt aagtgagaa ataaataaca ataacaacaa c 51

<210> 12

<211> 900

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no natural origin

<400> 12

```
gaattcacaa cacaatcag atttatagag agatttataa aaaaaaaaaa acatatgcaa 60
attgtttctca cccagtctcc agcaatcatg tctgcatctc caggggagaa ggccaccatg 120
acctgcagtg ccagtccaag tgaagtaaa atgcaatggt atcagcagaa gtcaggcacc 180
tcccccaaaa gatggattta tgacacatcc aaactggcct ctggagtcctc tggctcgctc 240
agtggcagtg ggtctgggac ctcttactct ctccacaatca gcagcatgga ggctgaagat 300
gctgcacactt attactgcca gcagtggagt agtaaccgcg tcacgttcgg tgcctgggacc 360
aagctggaga taaaaggctc tactagtggg tccgggaaga gctctgaagg taaaggtgag 420
gtccagctgc agcagtctgg acctgagctg gtaaatcctg gggcttcagt gaagatgtcc 480
tgcaaggcct ctggatacac attcattacc tatgttatgc actgggtgaa gcagaagcct 540
gggcaggggc ttgagtggtt tggatatatt aatcctaaca aagacggtac aaagttcaat 600
gagaagtcca aaggcaaggc cactctgact tcagacaaat cctccaacac agcctacatg 660
gagctcagca gccagacctc tgaggactct ggggtctatt actgtgcaag agactatgat 720
tacgactggg ttgcttactg gggccagggg actctgggtc ctgtctctgc agtcgacgga 780
ggtggagggt ctgcggccgc taagaagtat agggagtatt tcttgtggac tatttgtata 840
ctgattttgc ttatttgcaa catctatgtg tattataggt ttaaaactgc agcgtctaga 900
```

<210> 13

<211> 918

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no natural origin

<400> 13

```
gaattcacaa cacaatcag atttatagag agatttataa aaaaaaaaaa acatatgcaa 60
attgtttctca cccagtctcc agcaatcatg tctgcatctc caggggagaa ggccaccatg 120
acctgcagtg ccagtccaag tgaagtaaa atgcaatggt atcagcagaa gtcaggcacc 180
tcccccaaaa gatggattta tgacacatcc aaactggcct ctggagtcctc tggctcgctc 240
agtggcagtg ggtctgggac ctcttactct ctccacaatca gcagcatgga ggctgaagat 300
gctgcacactt attactgcca gcagtggagt agtaaccgcg tcacgttcgg tgcctgggacc 360
aagctggaga taaaaggctc tactagtggg tccgggaaga gctctgaagg taaaggtgag 420
gtccagctgc agcagtctgg acctgagctg gtaaatcctg gggcttcagt gaagatgtcc 480
tgcaaggcct ctggatacac attcattacc tatgttatgc actgggtgaa gcagaagcct 540
gggcaggggc ttgagtggtt tggatatatt aatcctaaca aagacggtac aaagttcaat 600
gagaagtcca aaggcaaggc cactctgact tcagacaaat cctccaacac agcctacatg 660
```



```

gagctcagca gcctgacctc tgaggactct gcggtctatt actgtgcaag agactatgat 720
tacgactggt ttgcttactg gggccagggg actctgggtc ctgtctctgc agtcgacgga 780
ggtggagggt ctgcggccgc taggagattg ggaagaactt tgctcttggt gactttcttg 840
gcagcgctgc tcggaatttg ctgatgctc ttcattctga ttaagagatc taggcatttc 900
taaactcgag cgtctaga

```

918

<210> 14

<211> 915

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 14

```

gaattcacaa cacaaatcag atttatagag agatttataa aaaaaaaaaa acatatgcaa 60
attgtttctca ccagttctcc agcaatcatg tctgcatctc caggggagaa ggccaccatg 120
acctgcagtg ccagttcaag tgtaagtaaa atgcaatggt atcagcagaa gtcaggcacc 180
tcccccaaaa gatggattta tgacacatcc aaactggcct ctggagtccc tggctgcttc 240
agtggcagtg ggtctggggc ctcttactct ctacacaatca gcagcatgga ggctgaagat 300
gtcgccactt attactgcca gcagtggagt agtaacccgc tcacgttcgg tgctgggacc 360
aagctggaga taaaaggctc tactagtggg tccgggaaga gctctgaagg taaaggtgag 420
gtccagctgc agcagttctg acctgagctg gtaaatcctg gggcttcagt gaagatgtcc 480
tgcaaggcct ctggatacac attcattacc tatgttatgc actgggtgaa gcagaagcct 540
gggcagggcc ttgagttgat tggatatatt aatcctaaca aagacggtac aaagttcaat 600
gagaagttca aaggcaaggc cacactgact tcagacaaat cctccaacac agcctacatg 660
gagctcagca gctgacctc tgaggactct gcggtctatt actgtgcaag agactatgat 720
tacgactggt ttgcttactg gggccagggg actctgggtc ctgtctctgc agtcgacgga 780
ggtggagggt ctgcggccgc tgttgagtct aactcttcct ggtggacca ttgggtgatc 840
ccagcgatat cagcactcgt ggttgctctc atgtatagac tctacatggc agaggattaa 900
actcgacgtc ctaga

```

915

<210> 15

<211> 900

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 15

```

gaattcacaa cacaaatcag atttatagag agatttataa aaaaaaaaaa acatatgcaa 60
attgtttctca ccagttctcc agcaatcatg tctgcatctc caggggagaa ggccaccatg 120
acctgcagtg ccagttcaag tgtaagtaaa atgcaatggt atcagcagaa gtcaggcacc 180

```

```

tcccccaaaa gatggattta tgacacatcc aaactggcct ctggagtcce 240
agtggcagtg ggtctgggac ctcttactct ctcacaatca gcagcatgga 300
gctgccactt attactgccca gcagtggagt agtaaccgcg tcacgttcgg tgctgggacc 360
aagctggaga taaaaggctc tactagtggg tccgggaaga gctctgaagg taaaggtgag 420
gtccagctgc agcagtcctg acctgagctg gtaaatcctg gggcttcagt gaagatgtcc 480
tgcaaggcct ctggatacac attcattacc tatgttatgc actgggtgaa gcagaagcct 540
gggcagggcc ttgagtggat tggatatatt aatcctaaca aagacggtac aaagtccaat 600
gagaagtcca aaggcaaggc cacactgact tcagacaaat cctccaacac agcctacatg 660
gagctcagca gcctgacctc tgaggactct gcgggtctatt actgtgcaag agactatgat 720
tacgactggg ttgcttactg gggccagggg actctgggtc ctgtctctgc agtcgacgga 780
ggtggagggt ctgcggccgc ttggaagaat tgtaagatga tgattatgct tggctgtatt 840
tgtgtattta ttgttgttgt tattgttatt tatttcttca cttaaactcg agcgtctaga 900

```

<210> 16

<211> 45

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 16

```

ggggaattcg acgtgcceat ggccttcttc ggcgacggcg gcgac

```

45

<210> 17

<211> 37

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 17

```

gcgaagcttg tcgaccggcg gtttgccggg ctggctg

```

37

<210> 18

<211> 1604

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

```

<400> 18
gaattcacac acaatcagat ttatagagag atttataaaa aaaaaaac atatggattt 60
tcaagtgcag attttcagct tectgctaatt cagtgcctca gtcataatat ctagaggaca 120
aattgttctc acccagcttc cagcaatcat gtctgcattc ccaggggaga aggtcaccat 180
gacctgcagt gccagttcaa gtgtaagtaa aatgcaatgg tatcagcaga agtcaggcac 240
ctccccaaaa agatggattt atgacacatc caaactggcc tctggagtc cttgctcgctt 300
cagtggcagt gggctctggga cctcttactc tctcacaatc agcagcatgg aggctgaaga 360
tgctgccact tattactgcc agcagtgagg tagtaaccgc ctcacgttcg gtgctgggac 420
caagctggag ataaaaggct ctagtagtgg ttccgggaag agctctgaag gtaaaagtga 480
ggctccagct cagcagctctg gacctgagct ggtaaatcct ggggcttcag tgaagatgtc 540
ctgcaaggcc tctggataca cattcattac ctatgttatg cactgggtga agcagaagcc 600
tgggcaggcc cttgagtggg ttggatataa taatcctaac aaagacggta caaagttcaa 660
tgagaagttc aaaggcaagg ccacactgac ttacagacaa tctccaaca cagcctacat 720
ggagctcagc agcctgacct ctgaggactc tgcggtctat tactgtgcaa gagactatga 780
ttacgactgg ttgtcttact ggggccaggg gactctggtc actgtctctg caatcgatcc 840
cgggggtaac cgcggtaccg ccactaccgc tcgtccgctt accaccactg gctcgagtc 900
agggccccc cagctctata gcgacgtcag ctcacgacc cgcggcacc agaactggac 960
ggtggagcgg ctgctccagg cgcaccgcca actggaggag cgcggctatg tgttcgtcgg 1020
ctaccacgcg acctctctcg aagcggcgca aagcatcgtc ttcggcgggg tgccgcgcgcg 1080
cagccaggac ctgcacgcga tctggcgcgg ttctctatat gccggcgatc cggcgctggc 1140
ctacggctac gccaggacc aggaaccgca cgcacgcggc cggatccgca acggtgccct 1200
gtcgggggtc tatgtgcgcg gctcgagcct cccgggcttc taccgcaacca gcttgacctc 1260
ggccgcgcgc gagggcgcgcg gcgaggtcga acggtgctgc ggcctccgc tgccgtcgcg 1320
cctggagccc atcaccgccc ccgaggagga agcggcgcgc ctggagacca tctcggctg 1380
gccgctggcc gagcgaccgc tgggtgatcc ctcggcgcat cccaccgacc cgcgcaacgt 1440
cggcgcgcac ctgcaccgcg ccagcatccc cgacaaggaa caggcgatca cgcctctgcc 1500
ggactacgcg agccagcccg gcaaaccgcc ggtcgacgga ggtggagggt ctaagcacat 1560
caaggactgg gagcacctcg aagagttcta aactcgagtc taga 1604

```

```

<210> 19
<211> 1050
<212> PRT
<213> Artificial Sequence

```

```

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
      natural origin

```

```

<400> 19
Met Gln Ile Val Leu Thr Gln Ser Pro Ala Ile Met Ser Ala Ser Pro
      1               5               10              15

Gly Glu Lys Val Thr Met Thr Cys Ser Ala Ser Ser Ser Val Ser Lys
      20               25              30

```

```

Met Gln Trp Tyr Gln Gln Lys Ser Gly Thr Ser Pro Lys Arg Trp Ile

```

35	40	45
Tyr Asp Thr Ser Lys Leu Ala Ser Gly Val Pro Gly Arg Phe Ser Gly		
50	55	60
Ser Gly Ser Gly Thr Ser Tyr Ser Leu Thr Ile Ser Ser Met Glu Ala		
65	70	75 80
Glu Asp Ala Ala Thr Tyr Tyr Cys Gln Gln Gly Thr Lys Leu Glu Ile		
85	90	95
Lys Gly Ser Thr Ser Gly Ser Gly Lys Ser Ser Glu Gly Lys Gly Glu		
100	105	110
Val Gln Leu Gln Gln Ser Gly Pro Glu Leu Val Asn Pro Gly Ala Ser		
115	120	125
Val Lys Met Ser Cys Lys Ala Ser Gly Tyr Thr Phe Ile Thr Tyr Val		
130	135	140
Met His Trp Val Lys Gln Lys Pro Gly Gln Gly Leu Glu Trp Ile Gly		
145	150	155 160
Tyr Ile Asn Pro Asn Lys Asp Gly Thr Lys Phe Asn Glu Lys Phe Lys		
165	170	175
Gly Lys Ala Thr Leu Thr Ser Asp Lys Ser Ser Asn Thr Ala Tyr Met		
180	185	190
Glu Leu Ser Ser Leu Thr Ser Glu Asp Ser Ala Val Tyr Tyr Cys Ala		
195	200	205
Arg Asp Tyr Asp Tyr Asp Trp Phe Ala Tyr Trp Gly Gln Gly Thr Leu		
210	215	220
Val Thr Val Ser Ala Val Asp Gly Gly Gly Ser Met Lys Arg Met Leu		
225	230	235 240
Ile Asn Ala Thr Gln Gln Glu Glu Leu Arg Val Ala Leu Val Asp Gly		
245	250	255
Gln Arg Leu Tyr Asp Leu Asp Ile Glu Ser Pro Gly His Glu Gln Lys		
260	265	270
Lys Ala Asn Ile Tyr Lys Gly Lys Ile Thr Arg Ile Glu Pro Ser Leu		
275	280	285
Glu Ala Ala Phe Val Asp Tyr Gly Ala Glu Arg His Gly Phe Leu Pro		

290	295	300	
Leu Lys Glu Ile Ala Arg Glu Tyr Phe Pro Ala Asn Tyr Ser Ala His			
305	310	315	320
Gly Arg Pro Asn Ile Lys Asp Val Leu Arg Glu Gly Gln Glu Val Ile			
	325	330	335
Val Gln Ile Asp Lys Glu Glu Arg Gly Asn Lys Gly Ala Ala Leu Thr			
	340	345	350
Thr Phe Ile Ser Leu Ala Gly Ser Tyr Leu Val Leu Met Pro Asn Asn			
	355	360	365
Pro Arg Ala Gly Gly Ile Ser Arg Arg Ile Glu Gly Asp Asp Arg Thr			
	370	375	380
Glu Leu Lys Glu Ala Leu Ala Ser Leu Glu Leu Pro Glu Gly Met Gly			
385	390	395	400
Leu Ile Val Arg Thr Ala Gly Val Gly Lys Ser Ala Glu Ala Leu Gln			
	405	410	415
Trp Asp Leu Ser Phe Arg Leu Lys His Trp Glu Ala Ile Lys Lys Ala			
	420	425	430
Ala Glu Ser Arg Pro Ala Pro Phe Leu Ile His Gln Glu Ser Asn Val			
	435	440	445
Ile Val Arg Ala Phe Arg Asp Tyr Leu Arg Gln Asp Ile Gly Glu Ile			
	450	455	460
Leu Ile Asp Asn Pro Lys Val Leu Glu Leu Ala Arg Gln His Ile Ala			
465	470	475	480
Ala Leu Gly Arg Pro Asp Phe Ser Ser Lys Ile Lys Leu Tyr Thr Gly			
	485	490	495
Glu Ile Pro Leu Phe Ser His Tyr Gln Ile Glu Ser Gln Ile Glu Ser			
	500	505	510
Ala Phe Gln Arg Glu Val Arg Leu Pro Ser Gly Gly Ser Ile Val Ile			
	515	520	525
Asp Ser Thr Glu Ala Leu Thr Ala Ile Asp Ile Asn Ser Ala Arg Ala			
	530	535	540
Thr Arg Gly Gly Asp Ile Glu Glu Thr Ala Phe Asn Thr Asn Leu Glu			

545	550	555	560
Ala Ala Asp Glu Ile Ala Arg Gln Leu Arg Leu Arg Asp Leu Gly Gly	565	570	575
Leu Ile Val Ile Asp Phe Ile Asp Met Thr Pro Val Arg His Gln Arg	580	585	590
Ala Val Glu Asn Arg Leu Arg Glu Ala Val Arg Gln Asp Arg Ala Arg	595	600	605
Ile Gln Ile Ser His Ile Ser Arg Phe Gly Leu Leu Glu Met Ser Arg	610	615	620
His Arg Leu Ser Pro Ser Leu Gly Glu Ser Ser His His Val Cys Pro	625	630	635
Arg Cys Ser Gly Thr Gly Thr Val Arg Asp Asn Glu Ser Leu Ser Leu	645	650	655
Ser Ile Leu Arg Leu Ile Glu Glu Glu Ala Leu Lys Glu Asn Thr Gln	660	665	670
Glu Val His Ala Ile Val Pro Val Pro Ile Ala Ser Tyr Leu Leu Asn	675	680	685
Glu Lys Arg Ser Ala Val Asn Ala Ile Glu Thr Arg Gln Asp Gly Val	690	695	700
Arg Cys Val Ile Val Pro Asn Asp Gln Met Glu Thr Pro His Tyr His	705	710	715
Val Val Arg Val Arg Lys Gly Glu Glu Thr Pro Thr Leu Ser Tyr Met	725	730	735
Leu Pro Lys Leu His Glu Glu Ala Met Ala Leu Pro Ser Glu Glu Glu	740	745	750
Phe Ala Glu Arg Lys Arg Pro Glu Gln Pro Ala Leu Ala Thr Phe Ala	755	760	765
Met Pro Asp Val Pro Pro Ala Pro Thr Pro Ala Glu Pro Ala Ala Pro	770	775	780
Val Val Ala Pro Ala Pro Lys Ala Ala Pro Ala Thr Pro Ala Ala Pro	785	790	795
Ala Gln Pro Gly Leu Leu Ser Arg Phe Phe Gly Ala Leu Lys Ala Leu			800

805	810	815
Phe Ser Gly Gly Glu Glu Thr Lys	Pro Thr Glu Gln Pro Ala Pro Lys	
820	825	830
Ala Glu Ala Lys Pro Glu Arg Gln Gln Asp Arg Arg Lys Pro Arg Gln		
835	840	845
Asn Asn Arg Arg Asp Arg Asn Glu Arg Arg Asp Thr Arg Ser Glu Arg		
850	855	860
Thr Glu Gly Ser Asp Asn Arg Glu Glu Asn Arg Arg Asn Arg Arg Gln		
865	870	875
Ala Gln Gln Gln Thr Ala Glu Thr Arg Glu Ser Arg Gln Gln Ala Glu		
885	890	895
Val Thr Glu Lys Ala Arg Thr Ala Asp Glu Gln Gln Ala Pro Arg Arg		
900	905	910
Glu Arg Ser Arg Arg Arg Asn Asp Asp Lys Arg Gln Ala Gln Gln Glu		
915	920	925
Ala Lys Ala Leu Asn Val Glu Glu Gln Ser Val Gln Glu Thr Glu Gln		
930	935	940
Glu Glu Arg Val Arg Pro Val Gln Pro Arg Arg Lys Gln Arg Gln Leu		
945	950	955
Asn Gln Lys Val Arg Tyr Glu Gln Ser Val Ala Glu Glu Ala Val Val		
965	970	975
Ala Pro Val Val Glu Glu Thr Val Ala Ala Glu Pro Ile Val Gln Glu		
980	985	990
Ala Pro Ala Pro Arg Thr Glu Leu Val Lys Val Pro Leu Pro Val Val		
995	1000	1005
Ala Gln Thr Ala Pro Glu Gln Gln Glu Glu Asn Asn Ala Asp Asn Arg		
1010	1015	1020
Asp Asn Gly Gly Met Pro Ser Phe Ser Pro Leu Ala Ser Ser Pro Ala		
1025	1030	1035
Arg Lys Trp Ser Ala Ser Ser Ser Leu Ser		
1045	1050	

<210> 20
 <211> 26
 <212> DNA
 <213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
 <223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
 natural origin

<400> 20
 actgcgccat ggcttacagt atcact 26

<210> 21
 <211> 72
 <212> DNA
 <213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
 <223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
 natural origin

<400> 21
 ccgtcagacg tcagaacctc cacctccact tccgcgcct ccagttgcag gaccagaggt 60
 ccaaaccaaa cc 72

<210> 22
 <211> 71
 <212> DNA
 <213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
 <223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
 natural origin

<400> 22
 ctaccctctg agtttagtga tggatgatggt gatgagcggc cgcgtcgaact gcagagacag 60
 tgaccagagt c 71

<210> 23
 <211> 88
 <212> DNA
 <213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 23

ccctcactcg agttagagc tcattcttct cagatccacg agcggccgca gaacctccac 60
ctccgctgac tgcagagaca gtgaccag 88

<210> 24

<211> 1561

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 24

gaattcgatat ttttacaaca attaccaaca acaacaacaa caacaacatt acaattacta 60
tttacaagga ccatggctta cagtatcact actccatctc agttcggtt cttgtcatca 120
gcgtagggccg acccaataga gtttaattaat ttatgtacta atgccttagg aaatcagttt 180
caaacacaaac aagctcgaaac tgtcgttcaa agacaattca gtgaggtgtg gaaaccttca 240
ccacaagttaa ctggttaggtt ccctgacagt gactttaagg tgtacaggta caatgcggtta 300
ttagaccgcg tagtcacagc actgttaggtt gcattcgaca ctgaaatag aataatagaa 360
gttgaaaatc agggcgaacc cagcactgcc gaaacgttag atgctactcg tagagttagac 420
gacgcacacg tggccataag gagcgcgata aataatttaa tagtagaatt gatcagagga 480
accggatctt ataactggag ctcttctcag agctcttctg gtttggtttg gacctctggt 540
cctgcaactg gagggcgccg aagtggaggt ggaggttctg acgtcgtgct gaccacagtc 600
ccagcaatca tgtctgcatc tccaggggag aaggctacca tgacctcgag tgcacagtca 660
agtgtaagta aaatgcaatg gtatcagcag aagtcaggca cctcccccaa aagatggatt 720
tatgacacat ccaaactggc ctctggagtc cctggtcgtt tcagtggcag tgggtctggg 780
acctcttact ctctcacaat cagcagcatg gaggtctgaag atgctgccac ttattactgc 840
cagcagtgga gtagtaaccc gctcacgttc ggtgctggga ccaagctgga gataaaaggg 900
tctactagtgt gttccgggaa gagctctgaa ggtaaagggt aggtccagct gcagcagtc 960
ggacctgagc tggtaaatcc tgggggttca gtgaagatgt cctgcaaggc ctctggatca 1020
acatcattta cctatgttat gcactgggtg aagcagaagc ctgggcaggg ccttgagtgg 1080
attggatata ttaactctaa caaagacggt acaaagtcca atgagaagtt caaaggcaag 1140
gccacactga cttcagacaa atcctccaac acagcctaca tggagctcag cagcctgacc 1200
ctcgaggact ctgcggtcta ttaactgtga agagactatg attacgactg gtttgcttac 1260
tggggcccag ggactctggt cactgtctct gcagtcgacg cggccgctca tcaccatcac 1320
catcactaaa ctcgaggggt agtcaagatg cataataaat aacggattgt gtccgtaatc 1380
acacgtgggt cgtacataaa cgcatagtgt ttttccctcc acttaaatcg aagggttgg 1440
cttgagatcg cgcgggtcaa atgtatatgg ttcatataca tccgcaggca cgttaataaa 1500
cgaggggttc gaatcccccc gttacccccg gttaggggcc aggtacgggc gcgcctctag 1560
a 1561

<210> 25

<211> 1582

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no natural origin

<400> 25

```

gaattcgtat ttttacaaca attaccaaca acaacaacaa caacaacatt acaattacta 60
tttacaagga ccatggctta cagtatcact actccatctc agttcgtgtt ctgtgcatca 120
gcgtggggccg acccaataga gttaatatatt ttatgtacta atgccttagg aaatcagttt 180
caaacacaac aagctcgacgac tgctgttcaa agacaattca gtgaggtgtg gaaaccttca 240
ccacaagtaa ctgttaggtt ccctgacagt gactttaagg tgtacaggta caatgcggta 300
ttagaccgcg tagtcacagc actgttaggt gcattcgaca ctgaaatag aataatagaa 360
gttgaatc aggcgaaccc cagcactgcc gaaacgttag atgcctactc tagagtagac 420
gaagcaacgg tggccataag gagcgcgata aataatttaa tagtagaatt gatcagagga 480
accggatctt ataactcggg ctcttctcgag agctctcttg gtttggtttg gacctctggt 540
cctgcaactg gaggcggcgg aagtgagggt ggagggttctg acgtcgtgct gaccagctct 600
ccagcaatca tgtctgcacg tccaggggag aaggtcacca tgacctgcag tgccagttca 660
agtgtaaata aaatgcaatg gtatcagcag aagtcaggca cctccccc aaagatggatt 720
tatgacacat ccaactcggc ctctggagtc cctggtcgct tcagtgccag tgggtctggg 780
acctcttact ctctcacaat cagcagcatg gaggcctgaag atgctgccac ttattactgc 840
cagcagtgga gtatgaaccc gctcacgltc ggtgctggga ccaagctgga gataaaaggc 900
tctactagtg gttccgggaa gagctctgaa ggtaaagggt aggtccagct gcagcagctct 960
ggacctgagc tggtaaatcc tggggcttca gtgaagatgt cctgcaaggc ctctggatca 1020
acattcatta cctatgttat gcaactgggtg aagcagaagg ctgggcaggg ctttgagtgg 1080
attggatata ttaatcctaa caaagacggt acaaagttca atgagaagt caaaggcaag 1140
gccacactga cttcagacaa atcctccaac acagcctaca tggagctcag cagcctgacc 1200
tctgaggact ctgcggtcta ttactgtgca agagactatg attacgactg gtttgcttac 1260
tggggccagg ggaactctgt cactgtctct gcagtcgacg gaggtggagg ttctgcgggc 1320
gtcgtgggat ctgagaaaga tgagctctaa actcgagggg tagtcaagat gcataataaa 1380
taacggattg tgcctgtaac cacacgtggt gcgtacgata acgcatatgt ttttccctc 1440
cacttaaatc gaaggggtgt gtcttgatc gcgcgggtca aatgtatatg gttcatatac 1500
atccgaggc acgtataaaa gcgaggggtt cgaatccccc cgtaaccccc ggttagggggcc 1560
caggtaccgg cgccctctca ga 1582

```

<210> 26

<211> 1059

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no natural origin

<400> 26

```

gaattcgtat ttttacaaca attaccaaca acaacaacaa caacaacatt acaattacta 60
tttacaagga ccatgggcca aattgtttctc acccagttctc cagcaatcat gtctgcattc 120
ccaggggaga aggtcaccat gacctgcagt gccagttcaa gtgtaagtaa aatgcaatgg 180
tatcagcaga agtcaggcac cccccccaaa agatggattt atgacacatc caaactggcc 240
tctggagtcc ctggctgcctt cagtggcagt gggctctggga cctcttactc tctcacaate 300
agcagcatgg agcggaaga tgcggccact tattactgcc agcagtggag tagtaaccgc 360
ctcagcttgc gtgctgggac caagctggag ataaaaggct ctactagtgg ttccgggaag 420
agctctgaag gtaaaaggta ggtccagctg cagcagttctg gacctgagct ggtaaatcct 480
ggggcttcag tgaagatgtc ctgcaaggcc tctggatata cattcattac ctatgttatg 540
cactgggtga agcagaagcc tgggcagggc cttgagtggg ttggatatat taactcctaac 600
aaagacggga caaagttcaa tgagaagttc aaaggcaagg ccacactgac ttcagacaaa 660
tcctccaaca cagcctacat ggagctcagc agcctgacct ctgaggactc tgcggtctat 720
tactgtgcaa gagactatga ttacgactgg tttgcttact ggggccaggc gactctggtc 780
actgtctctg cagtcgagc gcgcgctcat caccatcacc atcactagct cgaggggtag 840
tcaagatgca taataaataa cggattgtgt cgttaatcac acgtggtgag tacgataacg 900
catagtgttt ttccctccac ttaaatcgaa ggggtgtgtc tggatcgcg cggggtcaaa 960
gtatatgggt catatacatc cgcaggcagc taataaagcg aggggttcga atccccccgt 1020
taccgccggt agggggccag gtaccggcgc gcctctaga 1059

```

<210> 27

<211> 1077

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 27

```

gaattcgtat ttttacaaca attaccaaca acaacaacaa caacaacatt acaattacta 60
tttacaagga ccatggaaat tcttctcacc cagttctccag caatcatgtc tgcattctca 120
ggggagaaag tcaccatgac ctgcagtgcc agttcaagtg taagtaaaat gcaatggtat 180
cagcagaagt caggcacctc ccccaaaaaga tggatttatg acacatccaa actggcctct 240
ggagtcctcg gtgcgttcag tggcagtggy tctgggacct ctactctct cacaactcagc 300
agcatggagg ctgaagatgc tgcactttat tactgccagc agtggagtag taaccgcctc 360
acgttcggtg ctgggaccaa gctggagata aaaggctcta ctagtgttct cgggaagagc 420
tctgaaggta aaggtgaggt ccagctgcag cagttctggc ctgagctggt aaatcctggg 480
gcttcagtga agatgtcctg caaggcctct ggataacata tcattacctg tgttatgcac 540
tgggtgaagc agaagcctcg gcagggccctt gagtggattg gatattataa tcctaacaaa 600
gacggtacaa agttcaatga gaagttcaaa ggcaaggcca cactgacttc agacaaatcc 660
tcacaacagc cctacattga gctcagcagc ctgacctctg aggactctgc ggtctattac 720
tgtgcaagag actatgatta cgactggttt gcttactggg gccaggggac tctggtcact 780
gtctctgcag tcgacggagg tggaggttct gcggccgctc gggatctga gaaagatgag 840
ctctagctcg aggggtatgc aagatgcata ataaataacg gattgtgtcc gtaatcacac 900
gtgggtcgta cgataacgca tagtgttttt cctccactt aaatcgaaag gttgtgtctt 960
ggatcgccgc ggtcaaatgt atatggttca tatacatccg caggcacgta ataaagcgag 1020
gggttcgaat ccccccgta cccccggtag gggccaggt accggcgcgc ctctaga 1077

```

<210> 28

<211> 1654

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no natural origin

<400> 28

```

gaattcgat tttacaaca attaccaaca acaacaacaa caacaacatt acaattacta 60
tttacaagga ccattatgga ctttcaagtg cagattttca gcttctcctc catcagcgcc 120
tcagtatatca tctctagggg atccatggct tacagtatca ctactccatc tcagttcgtg 180
ttcttgtcat cagcgtgggg cgaccaata gagttaatta atttatgtac taatgcctta 240
ggaaatcagt ttcaaacaca acaagctcga actgtcgttc aaagacaatt cagtgagggtg 300
tggaaacctt caccacaagt aactgttagg ttccctgaca gtgactttaa ggtgtacagg 360
tacaatgcgg tatttagacc gctagtcaca gcaactgttag gtgcattcga cactagaaat 420
agaataatag aagttgaaaa tcaggcgaac cccagcactg ccgaaacggt agatgctact 480
cgtagagtag acgacgcaac ggtggccata aggagcgga taaataattt aatagtagaa 540
ttgatcagag gaaccggatc ttataatcgg agctctttcg agagctcttc tggtttggtt 600
tggacctctg gtcctgcaac tggagcgggc ggaagtggag gtggagggtc tgacgtcgtg 660
ctgaccagct ctccagcaat catgtctgca tctccagggg agaaggtcac catgacctgc 720
agtgccagtt caagtgttaag taaaatgcaa tgggtatcagc agaagtcagg cacctcccc 780
aaaagatgga tttatgacac atccaaactg gcctctggag tccctgggtc cttcagtgcc 840
agtggtgtct ggacctctta ctctctcaca atcagcagca tggaggctga agatgctgcc 900
acttattact ccagcagtg gagtagtaac ccgctcacgt tcggtgctgg gaccaagctg 960
gagataaaa gctctactag tggttccggg aagagctctg aaggtaagg tgaggtccag 1020
ctgcagcagt ctggacctga gctggtaaat cctggggcct cagtgaagat gtctcgcaag 1080
gcctctggat acacattcat tacctatgtt atgcactggg tgaagcagaa gcctgggcag 1140
ggccttgagt ggttgagata tattaatcct aacaaagacg tcacaaagtt caatgagaag 1200
ttcaaaagga aggccacact gactctagac aaatcctcca acacagccta catggagctc 1260
agcagcctga cctctgagga cctcgcggtc tattactgtg caagagacta tgattacgac 1320
tggtttgctt actggggcca ggggactctg gctactgtct ctgcagtga cggaggtgga 1380
ggttctgcgg ccgctcgtgg atctgagaaa gatgagctct aaactcgagg ggtagtcaag 1440
atgcataata aataacggat tctgtccgta atcacacgtg gtgcgtacga taacgcatag 1500
tgtttttccc tccacttaaa tcgaagggtt gtgtcttgga tcgcgcgggt caaatgtata 1560
tggttcatat acatccgacg gcacgttaata aagcaggggg ttcgaatccc cccgttacc 1620
ccggtagggg ccaggtacc ggcgcgctc taga 1654

```

<210> 29

<211> 259

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 29

Glu Val His Cys Lys Gln Ser Gly Ala Glu Leu Val Lys Pro Gly Ala
1 5 10 15

Ser Val Lys Leu Ser Cys Arg Ala Ser Asp Tyr Thr Phe Thr Ser Tyr
20 25 30

Tyr Met Tyr Trp Val Lys Gln Arg Pro Gly Gln Gly Leu Glu Trp Ile
35 40 45

Gly Glu Ile Lys Pro Ser Gly Asn Gly Thr Asn Phe Asn Glu Lys Phe
50 55 60

Lys Ser Lys Ala Thr Leu Thr Ser Asp Tyr Ser Ser Ser Thr Ala Tyr
65 70 75 80

Met Gln Leu Ser Ser Leu Thr Ser Glu Asp Ser Ala Val Tyr Tyr Cys
85 90 95

Thr Arg Ser Gly Asn Ala Met Asp Tyr Trp Gly Gln Gly Thr Thr Val
100 105 110

Thr Val Ser Ser Gly Gly Gly Gly Ser Gly Gly Gly Gly Ser Gly Gly
115 120 125

Gly Gly Ser Asp Ile Val Leu Thr Leu Ser Pro Ala Thr Leu Ser Val
130 135 140

Thr Pro Gly Asp Arg Val Ser Leu Ser Cys Arg Ala Ser Gln Ser Ile
145 150 155 160

Ser Asn Phe Leu His Trp Tyr Gln Gln Lys Ser His Glu Ser Pro Arg
165 170 175

Leu Leu Ile Lys Tyr Thr Ser Gln Ser Ile Ser Gly Ile Pro Ser Thr
180 185 190

Phe Ser Gly Ser Gly Ser Gly Thr Asp Phe Thr Leu Ser Ile Asn Ser
195 200 205

Val Asp Thr Glu Asp Phe Gly Met Tyr Phe Cys Gln Gln Ser Asn Ser
210 215 220

Trp Pro His Arg Phe Gly Ser Gly Ile Lys Leu Glu Leu Lys Ser Ala
225 230 235 240

Val Asp Ala Ala Ala Glu Gln Lys Leu Ile Ser Glu Glu Asp Leu Asn
 245 250 255

Gly Ala Ala

<210> 30

<211> 267

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
 natural origin

<400> 30

Glu Val Lys Leu Gln Gln Ser Gly Ala Glu Leu Val Lys Pro Gly Ala
 1 5 10 15

Ser Val Lys Ile Ser Cys Lys Ala Ser Asp Tyr Ser Phe Thr Gly Tyr
 20 25 30

Asn Met Asn Trp Val Lys Gln Ser His Gly Lys Ser Leu Glu Trp Ile
 35 40 45

Gly Asn Ile Asn Pro Tyr Tyr Gly Ser Thr Ser Tyr Asn Gln Lys Phe
 50 55 60

Lys Gly Lys Ala Thr Leu Thr Val Asp Lys Ser Ser Ser Thr Ala Tyr
 65 70 75 80

Met Gln Leu Asn Ser Leu Thr Ser Glu Asp Ser Ala Val Tyr Tyr Cys
 85 90 95

Ala Val Gly Gly Asn Tyr Val Asp Trp Phe Ala Tyr Trp Gly Gln Gly
 100 105 110

Thr Leu Val Thr Val Ser Ser Gly Gly Gly Ser Gly Gly Gly Gly
 115 120 125

Ser Gly Gly Gly Gly Ser Asp Ile Leu Leu Thr Gln Ser Pro Leu Ser
 130 135 140

Leu Pro Val Ser Leu Gly Asp His Ala Ser Ile Ser Cys Arg Ser Ser
 145 150 155 160

Gln Ser Leu Val His Ser Asn Gly Asn Thr Tyr Leu His Trp Tyr Leu
 165 170 175

Gln Asn Pro Gly Gln Ser Pro Lys Leu Leu Ile Tyr Lys Val Ser Asn
 180 185 190

Arg Phe Ser Gly Ile Pro Asp Arg Phe Ser Gly Ser Gly Ser Gly Thr
 195 200 205

Asp Phe Thr Leu Lys Ile Ser Arg Val Glu Ala Glu Asp Leu Gly Val
 210 215 220

Tyr Phe Cys Ser Gln Ser Thr His Val Pro Tyr Thr Phe Gly Gly Gly
 225 230 235 240

Thr Lys Leu Glu Leu Lys Arg Ala Val Asp Ala Ala Ala Glu Gln Lys
 245 250 255

Leu Ile Ser Glu Glu Asp Leu Asn Gly Ala Ala
 260 265

<210> 31

<211> 33

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
 natural origin

<400> 31

catgccatga ctgcgcggccc agccggccat ggc

33

<210> 32

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
 natural origin

<400> 32

gaggtcagc ttcaggagtc rgga

24

<210> 33
<211> 34
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 33
catgccatga ctgcgggcc agccggccat ggcc 34

<210> 34
<211> 23
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 34
caggtgmacg tgawggartc tgg 23

<210> 35
<211> 34
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 35
catgccatga ctgcgggcc agccggccat ggcc 34

<210> 36
<211> 26
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no

natural origin

<400> 36

gaggtccagc trcsrcartc tggacc

26

<210> 37

<211> 34

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 37

catgccatga ctgcgggccc agccggccat ggcc

34

<210> 38

<211> 34

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 38

catgccatga ctgcgggccc agccggccat ggcc

34

<210> 39

<211> 33

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 39

catgccatga ctgcgggccc agccggccat ggc

33

<210> 40

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 40

saggtccarc tgcagsaryc tggr

24

<210> 41

<211> 34

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 41

catgccatga ctcgcgggccc agccggccat ggcc

34

<210> 42

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 42

gaggttcagc tgcagcagtc tggg

24

<210> 43

<211> 34

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 43

catgccatga ctcgcgggccc agccggccat ggcc

34

<210> 44
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 44
gargtgaagc tgggtggartc tggr

24

<210> 45
<211> 34
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 45
catgccatga ctgcggggccc agccggccat ggcc

34

<210> 46
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 46
gaggtgaags tymtcgagtc tgga

24

<210> 47
<211> 34
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 47
catgccatga ctgcggggccc agccggccat ggcc 34

<210> 48
<211> 23
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 48
gargtgaagc tkgakgagwc tgr 23

<210> 49
<211> 34
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 49
catgccatga ctgcggggccc agccggccat ggcc 34

<210> 50
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 50
gavgtgmwgc tkggtggagtc tggk 24

<210> 51
<211> 34
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 51

catgccatga ctgcgggccc agccggccat ggcc

34

<210> 52

<211> 25

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 52

gaggtgcac tkgttgagtc tgggtg

25

<210> 53

<211> 34

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 53

catgccatga ctgcgggccc agccggccat ggcc

34

<210> 54

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 54

saggtycagc tkcagcagtc tgga

24

<210> 55
<211> 34
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 55
catgccatga ctgcgggcc agccggccat ggcc

34

<210> 56
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 56
cagatccagt tgggtgcagtc tgga

24

<210> 57
<211> 34
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 57
catgccatga ctgcgggcc agccggccat ggcc

34

<210> 58
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 58
caggtscacs tgrwgsagtc tggg 24

<210> 59
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 59
caggtscacs tgrwgsagtc tggg 24

<210> 60
<211> 25
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 60
caggttactc traaagwgtc tggcc 25

<210> 61
<211> 34
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 61
catgccatga ctgcgggcc agccggccat ggcc 34

<210> 62
<211> 23
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 62

gatgtgaact tggaagtgtc tgg 23

<210> 63

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 63

catgccatga ctgcggcgcc gcct 24

<210> 64

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 64

gacattgtgm tgwhcagtc tcca 24

<210> 65

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 65

catgccatga ctgcggcgcc gcct 24

<210> 66

<211> 23
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 66
gacattcaga tgattcagtc tcc 23

<210> 67
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 67
catgccatga ctgcgggcgc gect 24

<210> 68
<211> 23
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 68
gacattgttc tcwhccagtc tcc 23

<210> 69
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 69

catgccatga ctgcggcgcc gcct

24

<210> 70

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 70

gacattgtgm tgwchcagtc tcaa

24

<210> 71

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 71

catgccatga ctgcggcgcc gcct

24

<210> 72

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 72

gatrtrktga tgacccarrc kgca

24

<210> 73

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 73

catgccatga ctgcgggcgc gcct

24

<210> 74

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 74

gatrttktga tgacccarrc kcca

24

<210> 75

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 75

catgccatga ctgcgggcgc gcct

24

<210> 76

<211> 22

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 76

gacattgtga tgacccarbh tg

22

<210> 77

<211> 24

<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 77
catgccatga ctgcgggcgc gcct 24

<210> 78
<211> 23
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 78
gatattktga tgacccaray tcc 23

<210> 79
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 79
catgccatga ctgcgggcgc gcct 24

<210> 80
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 80
ramattgtgm tgacccaaty tccw 24

<210> 81
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 81
catgccatga ctgcggcgc gcct

24

<210> 82
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 82
saaawtgtkc tsaccagtc tcca

24

<210> 83
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 83
catgccatga ctgcggcgc gcct

24

<210> 84
<211> 23
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no

natural origin

<400> 84
gayatycaga tgacmcagwc tac 23

<210> 85
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 85
catgccatga ctgcgggcgc gcct 24

<210> 86
<211> 23
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 86
gayatycaga tgachcagwc tcc 23

<210> 87
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 87
catgccatga ctgcgggcgc gcct 24

<210> 88
<211> 23
<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 88

gacattgtga tgactcaggc tac

23

<210> 89

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 89

catgccatga ctgcggcgcc gcct

24

<210> 90

<211> 22

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 90

carsygtgkts tsactcagka at

22

<210> 91

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 91

catgccatga ctgcggcgcc gcct

24

<210> 92
<211> 22
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 92
carsytgtks tsactcagkc at

22

<210> 93
<211> 27
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 93
ctagtgggtac tccacggccg gccccctg

27

<210> 94
<211> 20
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 94
mrgagacggt gasmgtgrgtc

20

<210> 95
<211> 27
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 95
ctagtgggtac tccacggccg gcccttg 27

<210> 96
<211> 20
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 96
mrgagacdgt gasrgtrgtg 20

<210> 97
<211> 27
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 97
ctagtgggtac tccacggccg gcccttg 27

<210> 98
<211> 20
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 98
mrgagacdgt gascagrctc 20

<210> 99
<211> 27
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 99

ctagtgggtac tccacggccg gccccctg

27

<210> 100

<211> 20

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 100

mrgagacdgt gastgaggtt

20

<210> 101

<211> 27

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 101

ctagtgggtac tccacggccg gccccctg

27

<210> 102

<211> 20

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 102

mrgagacdgt gastgaratt

20

<210> 103
<211> 29
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 103
ctagtgggtac tccacgcggc cgcgtcgac 29

<210> 104
<211> 21
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 104
agcmcggttc agytccaryt t 21

<210> 105
<211> 29
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 105
ctagtgggtac tccacgcggc cgcgtcgac 29

<210> 106
<211> 21
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 106
agcmcgtttk atytccaryt t 21

<210> 107
<211> 29
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 107
ctagtgggtac tccacgcggc cgcgtcgac 29

<210> 108
<211> 24
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 108
agcmcgtttb akvtctatct ttgt 24

<210> 109
<211> 29
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 109
ctagtgggtac tccacgcggc cgcgtcgac 29

<210> 110
<211> 27
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 110

agcmcgagcm cgttttattt ccaamkt

27

<210> 111

<211> 29

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 111

ctagtggtagc tccacgcggc cgcgtcgac

29

<210> 112

<211> 24

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 112

ctgrcctagg acagtsasyt tggt

24

<210> 113

<211> 257

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 113

Met Ala Glu Val Gln Leu Gln Gln Ser Gly Ala Glu Leu Val Lys Pro

1

5

10

15

Gly Ala Ser Val Lys Met Ser Cys Lys Ala Ser Gly Tyr Thr Phe Thr

20	25	30
Asn Tyr Asn Met His Trp Val Lys Gln Thr Pro Gly Gln Gly Leu Glu		
35	40	45
Trp Ile Gly Ala Ile Tyr Pro Arg Asn Gly Asp Thr Ser Tyr Asn Gln		
50	55	60
Lys Phe Lys Gly Lys Ala Thr Leu Thr Ala Asp Lys Ser Ser Ser Thr		
65	70	75
80		
Ala Tyr Met Gln Leu Ser Ser Leu Thr Ser Glu Asp Ser Ala Val Tyr		
85	90	95
Tyr Cys Ala Arg Pro Asp Val Trp Gly Ala Gly Thr Leu Leu Thr Val		
100	105	110
Ser Ala Gly Ala Gly Pro Thr Ser Gly Ser Gly Lys Pro Gly Pro Gly		
115	120	125
Glu Gly Ser Thr Lys Gly Ala Pro Asp Val Leu Met Thr Gln Ala Pro		
130	135	140
Leu Thr Leu Ser Val Thr Ile Gly Gln Pro Ala Ser Ile Ser Cys Lys		
145	150	155
160		
Ser Ser Gln Ser Leu Leu Asp Gly Asp Gly Lys Thr Tyr Leu Asn Trp		
165	170	175
Leu Leu Gln Arg Pro Gly Gln Ser Pro Lys Arg Leu Ile Tyr Leu Val		
180	185	190
Ser Lys Leu Asp Ser Gly Val Pro Asp Arg Phe Thr Gly Ser Gly Ser		
195	200	205
Gly Thr Asp Phe Thr Leu Lys Ile Ser Arg Val Glu Ala Glu Asp Leu		
210	215	220
Gly Val Tyr Tyr Cys Trp Gln Gly Thr His Phe Pro His Thr Phe Gly		
225	230	235
240		
Gly Gly Thr Lys Leu Glu Ile Lys Arg Ala Arg Ala Val Asp Ala Ala		
245	250	255
Ala		

<210> 114

<211> 259

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 114

Met Ala Gln Val Thr Leu Lys Glu Ser Gly Pro Gly Ile Leu Lys Pro

1

5

10

15

Ser Gln Thr Leu Ser Leu Thr Cys Ser Phe Ser Gly Phe Ser Leu Ser

20

25

30

Thr Ser Gly Met Gly Val Gly Trp Ile Arg Gln Pro Ser Gly Lys Gly

35

40

45

Leu Glu Trp Leu Ala His Ile Trp Trp Asp Asp Asp Lys Tyr Tyr Asn

50

55

60

Pro Ser Leu Arg Ser Gln Leu Thr Ile Ser Lys Asp Thr Ser Arg Asn

65

70

75

80

Gln Val Phe Leu Arg Ile Thr Asn Val Asp Thr Ala Asp Thr Ala Thr

85

90

95

Tyr Tyr Cys Ala Arg Gly Tyr Tyr Gly Asn Asp Ser Pro Phe Ala Tyr

100

105

110

Trp Gly Gln Gly Thr Leu Leu Thr Val Ser Ser Gly Ala Gly Pro Thr

115

120

125

Ser Gly Ser Gly Lys Pro Gly Pro Gly Glu Gly Ser Thr Lys Gly Ala

130

135

140

Pro Asp Ile Val Leu Ser Gln Ser Pro Lys Phe Met Ser Thr Ser Val

145

150

155

160

Gly Asp Arg Val Ser Ile Thr Cys Lys Ala Ser Gln Ile Val Arg Thr

165

170

175

Ala Val Ala Trp Phe Gln Gln Lys Pro Gly Gln Ser Pro Lys Ala Leu

180

185

190

Ile Tyr Leu Ala Ser Asn Arg His Thr Gly Val Pro Asp Arg Phe Thr

195 200 205

Gly Ser Gly Ser Gly Thr Asp Phe Thr Leu Thr Ile Ser Asn Val Gln
 210 215 220

Ser Glu Asp Leu Ala Asp Tyr Phe Cys Leu Gln His Trp Asn Tyr Pro
 225 230 235 240

Phe Thr Phe Gly Ser Gly Thr Lys Leu Glu Ile Lys Arg Ala Val Asp
 245 250 255

Ala Ala Ala

<210> 115

<211> 259

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
 natural origin

<400> 115

Met Ala Gln Ile Gln Leu Val Gln Ser Gly Pro Glu Leu Lys Lys Pro
 1 5 10 15

Gly Gln Thr Val Lys Ile Ser Cys Lys Ala Ser Ala Tyr Thr Phe Thr
 20 25 30

Asp Tyr Ser Met His Trp Val Lys Gln Ala Pro Gly Lys Gly Leu Lys
 35 40 45

Trp Met Gly Trp Ile Asn Thr Glu Thr Gly Glu Pro Thr Tyr Ala Asp
 50 55 60

Asp Phe Lys Gly Arg Phe Ala Phe Ser Leu Glu Thr Ser Ala Ser Thr
 65 70 75 80

Ala Tyr Leu Gln Ile Asn Thr Leu Lys Asn Glu Asp Ser Ala Thr Tyr
 85 90 95

Phe Cys Ala Arg Gly Ser Gly Phe Asn Pro Tyr Trp Gly Gln Gly Thr
 100 105 110

Leu Val Thr Val Ser Ala Gly Ala Gly Pro Thr Ser Gly Ser Gly Lys

115	120	125
Pro Gly Pro Gly Glu Gly Ser Thr Lys Gly Ala Pro Asp Ile Val Leu		
130	135	140
Ser Gln Ser Pro Ser Ser Leu Ala Val Ser Val Gly Glu Lys Val Thr		
145	150	155
Met Ser Cys Lys Ser Ser Gln Ser Leu Leu Tyr Ser Ser Asn Gln Lys		
165	170	175
Asn Tyr Leu Ala Trp Tyr Gln Gln Lys Pro Gly Gln Ser Pro Lys Leu		
180	185	190
Leu Ile Tyr Trp Ala Ser Thr Arg Glu Ser Gly Val Pro Asp Arg Phe		
195	200	205
Thr Gly Ser Gly Ser Gly Thr Asp Phe Thr Leu Thr Ile Asn Ser Val		
210	215	220
Lys Ala Glu Asp Leu Ala Val Tyr Tyr Cys Gln Gln Tyr Tyr Ser Tyr		
225	230	235
Val Thr Phe Gly Ala Gly Thr Lys Leu Glu Ile Lys Arg Ala Val Asp		
245	250	255
Ala Ala Ala		

<210> 116

<211> 12

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 116

Asn Leu Ile Val Glu Leu Ile Arg Gly Thr Gly Ser

1

5

10

<210> 117

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 117

Lys Thr Asp Leu Val Cys Arg Ala Thr

1

5

<210> 118

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 118

Arg Ile Val Ile Cys Gly Arg Val Thr

1

5

<210> 119

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 119

Arg Gly Thr Leu Pro Ala Arg Gly Thr

1

5

<210> 120

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 120

Val Gly Arg Gln Arg Asp Thr Gln Ser
1 5

<210> 121

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 121

Phe Leu Arg Val Asp Ala Arg Glu Thr
1 5

<210> 122

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 122

Val Ala Gly Met Leu Gly Lys Gly Thr
1 5

<210> 123

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 123

Arg Trp Glu Leu Ala Asn Arg Ser Thr

1

5

<210> 124

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 124

Pro Ser Ala Leu Gly Thr Arg Glu Thr

1

5

<210> 125

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 125

Lys Asn Asp Leu Val Ser Arg Ala Thr

1

5

<210> 126

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 126

Gln Ile Val Ser Ala Trp Arg Glu Thr

1

5

<210> 127

<211> 11

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 127

Cys Ala Leu Pro Ala Arg His Ile Gly Arg Cys

1

5

10

<210> 128

<211> 11

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 128

Cys Gln Leu Pro Ala Arg Ala Thr Ser Ser Cys

1

5

10

<210> 129

<211> 11

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 129

Cys Ile Thr Ser Gln Arg Glu Thr Gly Trp Cys

1

5

10

<210> 130

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 130

Cys Arg Arg Ser Thr Thr Gly Ile Cys

1

5

<210> 131

<211> 11

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 131

Cys Ser Thr Thr Leu Tyr Lys Arg Gly Thr Cys

1

5

10

<210> 132

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 132

Arg Val Asp Leu Pro Ala Arg Glu Thr

1

5

<210> 133

<211> 12

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 133

Lys His Ile Lys Asp Trp Glu His Leu Glu Glu Phe
1 5 10

<210> 134

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 134

Lys Arg Lys Asp Gly Glu His Trp Leu
1 5

<210> 135

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 135

Arg Gln Ala Lys Ser Trp Ser Ser Leu
1 5

<210> 136

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 136

Tyr Gln Ala Lys Glu Trp Ser Asn Leu
1 5

<210> 137

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 137

Lys Asp Trp Glu His Arg Val Pro Ser
1 5

<210> 138

<211> 6

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 138

Lys Asp Trp Glu His Leu
1 5

<210> 139

<211> 6

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 139

Lys Asp Trp Ser His Leu

1 5

<210> 140

<211> 12

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 140

Pro Lys Ser Asp Pro Gln Met Gly Lys Arg Arg Arg

1 5 10

<210> 141

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 141

His Pro Arg Pro Gln Leu Ala Ser Leu

1 5

<210> 142

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 142

His Pro Asp Pro Gln Ser Ser His Ser

1 5

<210> 143

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 143

Arg Phe Thr Asp Pro Gln Leu His Pro

1 5

<210> 144

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 144

Lys Gln Asp Pro Gln Gln Gln Lys Gln

1 5

<210> 145

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 145

Val Pro Asp Ser Gln Leu Glu Trp Pro

1 5

<210> 146

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 146

His Cys Asp Pro Gln Leu Tyr Gln Glu

1

5

<210> 147

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 147

Asp Pro Gln Met Phe Arg Arg His Cys

1

5

<210> 148

<211> 9

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 148

Phe Lys Asp Gly Gln Leu Arg Pro Gln

1

5

<210> 149

<211> 11

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 149

Cys Pro Asp Pro Gln Leu Arg Leu His Arg Cys
1 5 10

<210> 150

<211> 11

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 150

Cys Pro Asp Pro Gln Leu Asn Gly Thr Arg Cys
1 5 10

<210> 151

<211> 11

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 151

Cys Pro Asp Pro Gln Leu Ser Ser Leu Arg Cys
1 5 10

<210> 152

<211> 11

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 152

Cys Pro Asp Pro Gln Leu Arg Leu His Arg Cys

1

5

10

<210> 153

<211> 11

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 153

Cys Pro Asp Pro Gln Leu Thr Leu His Arg Cys

1

5

10

<210> 154

<211> 11

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 154

Cys Pro Asp Pro Gln Leu Ser Leu Gln Arg Cys

1

5

10

<210> 155

<211> 11

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 155

Cys Pro Asp Ala Gln Leu Ser Gly Thr Arg Cys

1

5

10

<210> 156

<211> 10

<212> PRT

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 156

His Pro Asp Pro Gln Leu Ser Leu His Arg

1

5

10

<210> 157

<211> 42

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 157

gcggaattcg acgtcgccat gggtgggaa caactggagc ag

42

<210> 158

<211> 37

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 158

gcgaagcttg tcgaccggcg gtttgcggg ctggctg

37

<210> 159
 <211> 45
 <212> DNA
 <213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
 <223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
 natural origin

<400> 159
 gcggaattcg acgtcgccat ggccttcttc ggcgacggcg gcgac

45

<210> 160
 <211> 37
 <212> DNA
 <213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
 <223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
 natural origin

<400> 160
 gcgaagcttg tcgaccggcg gtttgccggg ctggctg

37

<210> 161
 <211> 1136
 <212> DNA
 <213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
 <223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
 natural origin

<400> 161
 gaattcacac acaatcagat ttatagagag atttataaaa aaaaaaaaaac atatggactt 60
 tcaagtgcag attttcagct tctctctcat cagcgctca gttatcatct ctaggggatc 120
 catgggctgg gaacaactgg agcagtgccg ctatccggtg cagcggtcgg tcgccctcta 180
 cctggcgccg cggctgtcgt ggaaccaggt cgaccaggtg atccgcaacg cccctggccag 240
 ccccggcagc ggcggcgacc tggggaagc gatccgcgag cagccggagc aggccctct 300
 ggccctgacc ctggcgcccg ccgagagcga gcgcttcgtc cggcagggca ccggcaacga 360
 cgaggccggc gcggccaacg gcccgccgga cagcgccgac gccctgctgg agcgcaacta 420
 tcccactggc gcggaagtcc tcggcgacgg cggcgacgtc agcttcagca ccccgccgac 480
 gcagaactgg acggtggagc ggctgctcca ggcgaccgc caactggagg agcgcggtta 540
 tgtgttcgtc ggctaccacg gcaccttccc cgaagcggcg caaagcatcg tcttcggcgg 600
 ggtgcgcggc cgcagccagg acctcgacgc gatctggcgc ggtttctata tcgccggcga 660
 tccggcgctg gcctacggct acgccaggga ccaggaaccc gacgcacggc gccggatccg 720

```

caacggtgcc ctgctgcggg tctatgtgcc gcgtcgagc ctgcggggt tctaccgcac 780
cagcctgacc ctggccgcgc cggagggcgc gggcgaggtc gaacgggtga tcggccatcc 840
gtgcgcgtcg gcctgggacg ccatcaccgg ccccgaggag gaaggcgggc gcctggagac 900
cattctcggc tggccgctgg ccgagcgcac cgtggtgatt cctcggcgca tccccaccga 960
cccgcgcaac gtccggcggc acccgaccc gtccagcacc cccgacaagg aacaggcgat 1020
cagcgccctg ccggactacg ccagccagcc cggcaaaccc cggctcgacg gaggtggagg 1080
ttctaacctc atcggtgaac ttaaccggcg taccggttct taaactcgag tctaga 1136

```

<210> 162

<211> 827

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 162

```

gaattcacac acaatcagat ttatagagag atttataaaa aaaaaaaac atatggactt 60
tcaagtgcag attttcagct tcctcctcat cagcgcccca gttatcatct ctagggggac 120
catggccttc ctggcgacg gcggcgacgt cagcttcagc acccgcgcca cgcagaactg 180
gacggtggag cggctgctcc aggcgcaccg ccaactggag gaggcggtct atgtgttcgt 240
cggtaccac ggacacttcc tcgaagcggc gcaaaacatc gtcttcggcg gggtgcgcgc 300
gcgcagccag gacctcgacg cgatctggcg cggtttctat atcgccggcg atccggcgct 360
ggcctacggc tacgcccagg accaggaaac cgacgcacgc ggcgggatcc gcaacgggtg 420
cctgctgcgg gtctatgtgc cgctcgcag cctgcccggc ttctaccgca ccagcctgac 480
cctggccgcg ccggaggcgg cggcgagggt cgaacggctg atcgcccatc cgctgcgcgt 540
gcgcctggag gccatcaccg gccccgagga ggaaggcggg cgctgggaga ccattctcgg 600
ctggcgctg gcgcagcga ccgtggtgat tcctcggcgg atccccaccg acccgcgcaa 660
cgtcggcggc gacctcgacc cgtccagcat ccccgacaag gaacaggcga tcagcgccct 720
cgcgactac gccagccagc ccggcaaac ccggtcgac ggaggtggag gttctaacct 780
catcgtgaa cttatccgcg gtaccgggtc ttaactcga gctaga 827

```

<210> 163

<211> 1046

<212> DNA

<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>

<223> Description of Artificial Sequence: synthetic, no
natural origin

<400> 163

```

gaattcacac acaatcagat ttatagagag atttataaaa aaaaaaaac atatggattt 60
tcaagtcag attttcagct tcctgctaag cagtgcctca gtcataatat ctaggaggaca 120
aattgttctc acccagtcct cagcaatcat gtctgcaccc ccaggggaga aggtcaccat 180

```



```

gacctgcagt gccagttcaa gtgtaagtaa aatgcaatgg tatcagcaga agtcaggcac 240
ctcccccaaa agatggattt atgacacatc caaactggcc tctggagtcc ctggctcgctt 300
cagtggcagt gggctctgga cctcttactc tctcacaate agcagcatgg aggctgaaga 360
tgctgccact tattactgcc agcagtggag tagtaacccg ctcacgttcg gtgctgggac 420
caagctggag ataaaaggct ctactagtgg ttccgggaag agctctgaag gtaaagggtga 480
ggtccagctg cagcagctctg gacctgagct ggtaaatcct ggggcttcag tgaagatgtc 540
ctgcaaggcc tctggataca cattcattac ctatgttatg cactgggtga agcagaagcc 600
tgggcagggc cttgagtga ttggatatat taatcctaac aaagacggtg caaagtccaa 660
tgagaagttc aaaggcaagg ccacactgac ttcagacaaa tcctccaaca cagcctacat 720
ggagctcagc agcctgacct ctgaggactc tgcggtctat tactgtgcaa gagactatga 780
ttacgactgg ttgtctact ggggccaggg gactctggtc actgtctctg cagtcgacga 840
acaaaaactc atctcagaag aggatctgaa tgctgtgggc caggacacgc aggaggtcat 900
cgtggtgcc cactccttgc cctttaaggt ggtggtgac tcagccatcc tggccctggt 960
ggtgtcacc atcatctccc ttatcatcct catcatgctt tggcagaaga agccacgtta 1020
ggcgcccgct cgagcatgca tctaga 1046

```




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : C12N 15/13, 15/40, 15/55, 15/62, 15/82, 15/31, 5/10, C07K 19/00, A01H 5/00		A3	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/23593
(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP99/07844		(43) International Publication Date: 27 April 2000 (27.04.00)	
(22) International Filing Date: 15 October 1999 (15.10.99)		(74) Agent: VOSSIUS & PARTNER; Siebertstrasse 4, D-81675 München (DE).	
(30) Priority Date: 98119630.6 16 October 1998 (16.10.98) EP 666/BOM/98 16 October 1998 (16.10.98) IN		(81) Designated States: BR, CA, IN, MX, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(71) Applicant: FRAUNHOFER-GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FÖRDERUNG DER ANGEWANDTEN FORSCHUNG E.V. [DE/DE]; Leonrodstrasse 54, D-80636 München (DE).		Published With international search report.	
(72) Inventors: FISCHER, Rainer; Senserbachweg 218, D-52074 Aachen (DE). SCHILLBERG, Stefan; Bremberg 24, D-52072 Aachen (DE). NÄHRING, Jörg; Kullenhofwinkel 38A, D-52074 Aachen (DE). SACK, Markus; Brabanter Weg 17, D-52134 Aachen (DE). MONBECKE, Michael; Roemondstrasse 42, D-52072 Aachen (DE). LIAO, Yu-Cai; Vaalserstrasse 48, D-52064 Aachen (DE). SPIEGEL, Holger; Höhenweg 78, D-52072 Aachen (DE). ZIMMERMAN, Sabine; Rochusstrasse 48, D-52062 Aachen (DE). EMANS, Neik; Val de la Berwinne 13, B-4890 Thimister-Clermont (BE). HOLZEM, Achim; Höhenweg 61, D-52072 Aachen (DE).		(88) Date of publication of the international search report: 27 July 2000 (27.07.00)	

(54) Title: MOLECULAR PATHOGENICIDE MEDIATED PLANT DISEASE RESISTANCE

(57) Abstract

The invention provides gene constructs comprising a nucleotide sequence(s) encoding an antibody, antibodies, or part(s) thereof, that specifically bind to structural or non structural proteins of a pathogen(s). The invention also provides a nucleotide sequence(s) encoding a peptide, or a protein(s), or enzymes that are detrimental or toxic to the said pathogen(s). The invention provides the means and methods for the *in vivo* assembly of two or more proteins, encoded by separate nucleotide sequences, into a protein complex. These antibodies, toxins or complexes may be expressed and targeted to cellular membranes or plant cell compartments in different orientations and also can be cleaved *in vivo* by different proteases to become active. These agents are named "molecular pathogenicides". The means and methods are provided for immobilizing a recombinant protein capable of binding a pathogen, by recombinant DNA techniques. The means and methods are also provided for expressing molecular pathogenicides in membranes of monocotyledonous or dicotyledonous plants.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int: Jonal Application No
PCT/EP 99/07844

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 C12N15/13 C12N15/40 C12N15/55 C12N15/62 C12N15/82
C12N15/31 C12N5/10 C07K19/00 A01H5/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C12N C07K A01H

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 96 09398 A (RIJKSLANDBOUWHOGESCHOOL ;STIEKEMA WILHELMUS JOHANNES (NL); BAKKER) 28 March 1996 (1996-03-28)	1,2,5,6, 9-12,14, 17,18, 21-37
Y	the whole document	19,20
Y	ZIMMERMANN SABINE ET AL: "Intracellular expression of TMV-specific single-chain Fv fragments leads to improved virus resistance in Nicotiana tabacum." MOLECULAR BREEDING AUG., 1998, vol. 4, no. 4, August 1998 (1998-08), pages 369-379, XP002135713 ISSN: 1380-3743 the whole document	19,20

-/-

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubt on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"A" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

17 April 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

03/05/2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5616 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Maddox, A

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. l. Application No.
PCT/EP 99/07844

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 96 00783 A (CIBA GEIGY AG ;KOZIEL MICHAEL GENE (US); CAROZZI NADINE BARBARA (U) 11 January 1996 (1996-01-11) the whole document ---	1,2,5,6, 9,11,12, 14,17, 18,21-37
X	WO 95 11305 A (ZENECA LTD ;ELY SUSAN (US)) 27 April 1995 (1995-04-27) the whole document ---	1-5,9, 11,12, 18,21-37
X	WO 97 13856 A (MEDICAL RES COUNCIL ;RUSSELL STEPHEN JAMES (GB)) 17 April 1997 (1997-04-17) the whole document ---	1,2,14, 15, 21-32, 34,37
A	WO 96 39806 A (CLEVELAND CLINIC FOUNDATION) 19 December 1996 (1996-12-19) page 112 -page 124 ---	1-37
A	EP 0 479 180 A (HOECHST AG) 8 April 1992 (1992-04-08) the whole document ---	1-37
A	WO 92 12237 A (UNIV JOHNS HOPKINS) 23 July 1992 (1992-07-23) the whole document ---	1,2,9-12
A	WO 98 03538 A (CALIFORNIA INST OF TECHN) 29 January 1998 (1998-01-29) the whole document ---	3,4
A	CONRAD, U., ET AL.: "Compartment-specific accumulation of recombinant immunoglobulins in plant cells: an essential tool for antibody production and immunomodulation of physiological functions and pathogen activity" PLANT MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, vol. 38, September 1998 (1998-09), pages 101-109, XP002135721 the whole document ---	14
E	WO 99 66026 A (JOHN INNES CENTRE ;CHRISTOU PAUL (GB)) 23 December 1999 (1999-12-23) the whole document ----- -/-	1,2,5-9, 11,12, 18, 21-29, 31,32, 34,35

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. l. Application No.
PCT/EP 99/07844

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
E	WO 00 14260 A (DUAN YONG PING ; GABRIEL DEAN W (US); UNIV FLORIDA (US)) 16 March 2000 (2000-03-16) the whole document	1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

Int. Jonal Application No

PCT/EP 99/07844

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9609398 A	28-03-1996	CA 2200434 A EP 0782626 A JP 10506274 T	28-03-1996 09-07-1997 23-06-1998
WO 9600783 A	11-01-1996	AU 696661 B AU 2573595 A BG 101171 A BR 9508154 A CA 2193859 A CN 1151759 A CZ 9603821 A EP 0804579 A HU 76089 A JP 11505401 T NZ 287124 A PL 318164 A SK 166996 A US 5686600 A	17-09-1998 25-01-1996 31-10-1997 14-07-1998 11-01-1996 11-06-1997 18-03-1998 05-11-1997 30-06-1997 21-05-1999 29-04-1999 26-05-1997 06-08-1997 11-11-1997
WO 9511305 A	27-04-1995	AU 7942394 A	08-05-1995
WO 9713856 A	17-04-1997	AU 708073 B AU 7222296 A CA 2232155 A EP 0854922 A JP 2000500963 T	29-07-1999 30-04-1997 17-04-1997 29-07-1998 02-02-2000
WO 9639806 A	19-12-1996	US 5866787 A AU 6382796 A BR 9608588 A CA 2224004 A EP 0836377 A JP 11507232 T	02-02-1999 30-12-1996 14-09-1999 19-12-1996 22-04-1998 29-06-1999
EP 0479180 A	08-04-1992	CA 2052808 A JP 5260971 A PT 99161 A ZA 9107949 A	06-04-1992 12-10-1993 30-09-1992 28-10-1992
WO 9212237 A	23-07-1992	US 5989886 A AU 1198592 A CA 2099268 A EP 0565626 A JP 6507306 T	23-11-1999 17-08-1992 03-07-1992 20-10-1993 25-08-1994
WO 9803538 A	29-01-1998	CA 2236036 A	29-01-1998
WO 9966026 A	23-12-1999	NONE	
WO 0014260 A	16-03-2000	NONE	